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The following Collection confifs of Effays and Fugitiv Peeces, ritten at various times, and on differentoccafions, az wil appeer by their dates and fubjects. Many of them were dictated at the moment, by the impulfe of impreffions made by important political events, and abound with a correfpondent warmth of expreffion. This freedom of language wil be excured by the frends of the revolution and of good guvernment, who wil recollect the fenfations they hav experienced, amidft the anarky and diftraction which fucceeded the cloze of the war. On fuch occafions a riter wil naturally giv himfelf up to hiz feelings, and hiz manner of riting wil flow from hiz manner of thinking.
most of thoze peeces, which hav appeered before in periodical papers and Magazeens, were publifhed with fictitious fignatures; for I very erly difcuvered, that altho the name of an old and refpectable karacter givs credit and confequence to hiz ritings, yet the name of a yung man iz often prejudicial to hiz performances. By conceeling my name, the opinions of men hav been prezerved from an undu bias arizing from perfonal prejudices, the faults of the ritings hav been detected, and their merit in public eftimation afcertained.
the favorable reception given to a number of theze Effays by an indulgent public, induced
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me to publifh them in a volum, with fuch alterations and emendations, az I had heerd fuggefted by frends or indifferent reeders, together with fome manufcripts, that my own wifhes led me to hope might be ufeful.
dyring the courfe of ten or twelv yeers, I hav been laboring to correct popular errors, and to affift my yung brethren in the road to truth and virtue ; my publications for theze purpofes hav been numerous; much time haz been fipent, which I do not regret, and much cenfure incurred, which my hart tells me I do not dezerv. The influence of a yung writer cannot be fo powerful or extenfiv az that of an eftablifhed karacter; but I hav ever thot a man's ufefulnefs depends more on exertion than on talents. I am attached to America by berth, education and habit ; but abuv all, by a philofophical view of her fituation, and the fuperior advantages fhe enjoys, for augmenting the fum of focial happinefs.

1 should hav added another volum, had not recent experience convinced me, that ferv large publications in this country wil pay a printer, much lefs an author. Should the Effays here prefented to the public, proov undezerving of notice, I fhal, with cheerfulnefs, refign my other papers to oblivion.
tia reeder wil obzerv, that the orthography of the volum iz not uniform. The reezoniz, that many of the effays hav been publifhed before, in the common orthography, and it would hav been a laborious tafk to copy the whole, for the fake of changing the fpelling.

## P R E F A C E.

in the effays, ritten within the laft yeer, a confiderable change of fpelling iz introduced by way of experiment. This liberty waz taken by the writers before the age of queen Elizabeth, and to this we are indeted for the preference of modern feelling over that of Gower and Chaucer. The man who admits that the change of houfbonde, mynde, jgone, moneth into. hufband, mind, gone, month, iz an improovment, muft acknowlege alfo the riting of helth, breth, rong, tung, munth, to be an improovment. There iz no alternativ. Every poffible reezon that could ever be offered for altering the fpelling of wurds, ftil exifts in full force; and if a gradual reform fhould not be made in our language, it wil proov that we are lefs under the influence of reezon than our anceftors.

Hartford, Fune, 1790.
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NEW YORK, 1788.
On the EDUCATION of YOUTH in AMERICA.


HE Education of youth is, in all governments, an object of the firft confequence. The imprefions received in carly life, ufually form the characters of individuals; a union of which forms the general character of a nation.
The mode of Education and the arts taught to youth, have, in every nation, been adapted to its particular ftage of fociety or local circumftances.
In the martial ages, of Greece, the principal ftudy of its Leginlators was, to acquaint the young men with the ufe of arms, to infpire them with an undaunted courage, and to form in the hearts of both fexes, an invincible attachment to their country. Such was the effect of their regulations for thefe purpofes, that the very women of Sparta and Athens, would reproach their own fons, for furviving their companions who feil in the field of battle.

Among the warlike Scythians, evcry male was not only taught to ufe arms for attack and defence; but was obliged to fleep in the field, to carry heavy burthens, and to climb rocks and precipices, in order to habituate himfelf to hardfhips, fatigue and danger.

In Perfia, during the flourifhing reign of the great Cyrus, the Education of youth, according to Xenoplion, formed a principal branch of the regulations of the empire. The young men were divided into clafles, each of which had fome particular duties to perform, for which they were qualified by previcus inftructions and exercife.

While nations are in a barbarous fate, they have few wants, and confequently few arts. Their principal objects are, defence and fubfiffence ; the Education of a favage therefore extends little farther, than to enable him to ufe, with dexterity, a bow and a tomalawk.

But in the progrels of manners and of arts, war ceafés to be the employment of whole nations; it becomes the bufinefs of a few, who are paid for defending their country. Artificial wants multiply the number of occupations; and thefe require a great diverfity in the mode of Education. Every youth mutt be inftructed in the bufinefs by which he is to procure fubfiftence. Even the civilities of behavior, in polifhed fociety, become a fcience; a bow and a curtefy are taught with as much care and precifion, as the elements of Mathematics. Education proceeds therefore, by gradual advances, from fimplicity to corrupion. Its firft object, among rude nations, is fafety; its next, utility ; it afterwards extends to convenience ; and among thic opulent part of civilized nations, it is direfed principally to thow and amufement.
In defpotic ftates, Education, like reiigion, is made fublervient to government. In fome of the vaft empires of Afia, children are always infruled in the occupation of their parents; thus the fame arts are always continued in the fame families. Such an inftitution cramps genius, and limits the progrefs of national improvement ; at the fame time it is an almoft immoveable barrier againft the introduction of vice, luxury, faction and changes in government. This is one of the principal caufes, which have operated in combining numerous millions of the human race under one form of government, and preferving national tranquillity
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quiility for incredible periods of time. The empire of China, whofe government was founded on the patriarchical difcipline, has not fuffered a revolution in laws, manners or language, for many thoufand years.

In the complicated fyftems of government which are eftablifhed among the civilized nations of Europe, Education has lefs influence in forming a natienal character ; but there is no ftate, in which it has not an infeparable connection with morals, and a confequential influence upon the peace and happinefs of fociety.

Education is a fubjeet which has been exhaufted by the ableft writers, both among the ancients and moderns. I am not vain enough to fuppofe. I can fuggett any new ideas upon fo trite a theme as Education in general; but perhaps the manner of conducting the youth in America may be capable of fome improvement. Our conflitutions of civil government are not yet firmly eftablifhed; our national character is not yet formed; and it is an object of vaft magnitude that fyftems of Education thould be adopted and purfued, which may not only diffufe-a knowlege of the fciences, but may implant, in the minds of the American youth, the principles of virtue and of liberty; and infpire them with juft and liberal ideas of government, and with an inviolable attachment to their own country. It now becomes every American to examin the modes of Education in Europe, to fee how far they are applicable in this country, and whether it is not poffible to make fome valuable alterations, adapted to our local and political circumftances. Let us examin the fubject in two views. Firft, as it refpeets arts and fciences. Secondly, as it is connected with morals and government. In each of thefe articles, let us fee what errors may be found, and what improvernents fuggeited, in our prefent pracice.

The firt error that I would mention, is, a too general attention to the dead languages, with a neglect of our -wn.

## 4 E D U C A T I O N.

This practice proceeds probably from the common ufe of the Greek and Roman tongues, before the Englifh was brought to perfection. There was a long period of time, when thefe languages were almoft the only repofitories of fcience in Europe. Men, who had a tafte for learning, were under a neccfity of recurring to the fources, the Greek and Roman authors. Thefe will ever be held in the higheft eftimation both for ftile and fentiment; but the mont valuable of them have Englith trandlations, which, if they do not contain all the elegance, communicate all the ideas of the originals. The Englifh language, perhaps, at this moment, is the repofitory of as much learning, as one half the languages of Europe. In copioufnefs it exceeds all modern tongues; and though inferior to the Greek and French in foftnefs and harmony, yet it exceeds the French in variety; it almoft equals the Greek and Roman in encrgy, and falls very little fhort of any language in the regularity of its contruction.*

In deliberating upon any plan of inftruction, we Thould be attentive to its future influence and probable advantages. What advantage docs a merchant, a mechanic, a farmer, derive from an acquaintance with the Greck and Roman tongues? It is true, the etymology of words cannot be well underfood, without a knowlere of the original languages of which ours is compofed. But a very accurate knowlege of the meaning of words and of the truc conftruction of fentences, may be obtained by the help of Dictionaries and good Englifh writers; and this is all that is neceffary in the common occupations of life. But fuppofe there is fome advantage to be derived from an acquaintance with the dead languages, will this compenfate for the lofs of five or perhaps feven years of valuable time? Life is Chort, and every hour thould be employed to good purpofes. If there are no fudics of more confequence to boys, than thofe of Latin and Greek, let thefe languages employ their time ; for idlenefs is the bane of youth. But

[^0]
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But when we have an elegant and copious language of our own, with innumerable writers upon ethics, geography, hiftory, commerce and government ; fubjects immediately interefting to every man; how can a parent be juffified in keeping his fon feveral years over rules of Syntax, which he forgets when he fhuts his book; or which, if remembered, can be of little or no ufe in any branch of bufinefs?' This abfurdity is the fubject of common complaint; men fee and feel the impropriety of the ufual practice; and yet no arguments that have hitierto been ufed, have been fufficient to change the fyftem; or to place an Englifh fchool on a footing with a Latin one, in point of reputation.

It is not my wifh to difcountenance totally the ftudy of the dead languages. On the other hand I fhould urge a more clofe attention to them, among young men who are defigned for the learned profeffions. The poets, the orators, the philofophers and the hiftorians of Greece and Rome, furnifh the moft excellent models of Stile, and the richeft treafures of Science. The flight attention given to a few of thefe authors, in our ufual courfe of Education, is rather calculated to make pedants than fcholars; and the time employed in gaining fuperficial knowlege is really wafted.

> "A little learning is a dangerous thing,
> " Drink deep, or tafte not the Pierian fpring."

But my meaning is, that the dead languages are not neceffary for men of bufinefs, merchants, mechanics, planters, \&xc. nor of utility fufficient to indemnify them for the expenfe of time and money which is requifite to acquire a tolerable acquaintance with the Greek and Roman authors. Merchants often have occafion for a knowlege of fome foreign living language, as, the French, the Italian, the Spanifh, or the German; but men, whofe bufinefs is wholly domeftic, have little or no ufe for any language but their own; much lefs, for languages known only in books.

There is one very neceffary ufe of the Latin language, which will always prevent it from falling inta negiect ;
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neglect ; which is, that it ferves as a common interpreter among the learned of all nations and ages. Epitaphs, infcriptions on monuments and medals, treaties, \&c. deligned for perpetuity, are written in Latin, which is every where underfood by the learncd, and being a dead language is liable to no change.

But the high eftimation in which the learned languages have been held, has difcouraged a due attention to our own. People find themfelves able without much ftudy to write and fpeak the Englifh intelligibly, and thus have been led to think rules of no utility. This opinion has produced various and arbitrary practices, in the ufe of the language, even among men of the moft information and accuracy ; and this diverfity has produced another opinion, both falle and injurious to the language, that there are no rules or principles on which the pronunciation and confruction can be fettled.

This neglect is fo gencral, that there is fcarcely an infitution to be found in the country, where the Englifh tongue is taught regularly, from its elements to its true and elegant conftruation, in profe and verfe. Perhaps in moft fchools, boys are taught the definition of the parts of fpeech, and a few hard names which they do not underftand, and which the teacher feldum attempts to explain; this is called learning grammar. This praftice of learning quefions and anfwers without acquiring any ideas, has given rife to a common remark, that grammar is a dry fudy; and fo is every other ftudy which is profecuted without improving the head or the heart. The ftudy of geography is equally dry, when the fubject is not underfood. But when grammar is taught by the help of vifible objefts; when children perccive that differences of words arife from differences in things, which they may learn at a very early period of life, the fudy becomes entertaining, as well as improving. In general, when a ftudy of any kind is tirefome to a perfon, it is a prefumptive evidence that he does not make any proficiency in knowlege, and this is alnoft always the fault of the inftructor.

## E D U C A T I O. N.

In a few infances perhaps the fudy of Englifh is thought an object of confequence; but here alfo there is a great error in the common practice; for the fudy of Euglifn is preceded by feveral years attention to Latin and Greek. Nay, there are men, who contend that the beft way to become acquainted with Englifn, is to learn Latin firtt. Common fenfe may juftly fmile at fuch an opinion; but experience proves it to be falfe.

If language is to be taught mechanically, or by rote, it is a matter of little confequence whether the rules are in Englifh, Latin or Greek: But if children are to acquire ideas, it is certainly eafier to obtain them in a language which they underftand, than in a foreign tongue. The difinctions between the principal parts of fpeech are founded in nature, and are within the capacity of a fchool boy. Thefe diftinctions fhould be explained in Englifh, and when well underfood, will facilitate the acquifition of other languages. Without fome preparation of this kind, boys will often find a foreign language extremely difficult, and fometimes be difcouraged. We often fee young perfons of both fexes, puzzling their heads with French, when they can hardly write two fentences of good Englifh. They plod on for fome months with much fatigue, little improvement, and lefs pleafure, and then relinquif the attempt.

The principles of any fcience afford pleafure to the nudent who comprehends them. In order to render the ftudy of language agrecable, the diftinctions between words fhould be illuftrated by the differences in vifible objects. Examples fhould be prefented to the fenfes, which are the inlets of all our knowlege. That nouns are the names of things, and that adjectives exprefs their qualities, are abftract definitions, which a boy may repeat five years without comprehending the meairing. But that table is the name of an article, and hard or fquare is its property, is a diffinction ouvious to the fenfes, and confequently within a child's. capacity.

## 3 E D U C A T I O N.

There is one general practice in fchools, which I cenfure with diffidence; not becaufe I doubt the propriety of the cenfure, but becaufe it is oppofed to deep rooted prejudices: This practice is the ufe of the Bible as a fchool book. There are two reafons why this practice has fo generally prevailed: The firf is, that families in the country are not generally fupplied with any other book: The fecond, an opinion that the reading of the fcriptures will imprefs, upon the minds of youth, the important truths of religion and morality. The firt may be cafily renoved; and the purpofe of the laft is counteracted by the practice itfelf.

If people defign the dottrines of the Bible as a fytem of religion, ought they to appropriate the book to purpofes forcign to this defign ? Will not a familiarity, contracted by a carelefs difrefpecful reading of the facred volume, weaken the influence of its precepts upon the heart ?

Let us attend to the effect of familiarity in other things.

The rigid Puritans, who firt fettled the New England States, often chofe their burying ground in the center of their fettlements. Convenience might have been a motive for the choice ; but it is probable that a ftronger reafon was, the influence which they fuppofed the frequent burials and conftant fight of the tombs would have upon the lives of men. The choice, however, for the latter purpofe, was extremely injudicious; for it may be laid down as a general rule, that thofe who live in a conflant view of death, will become lardened to its terrors.

No perfon has lefs fenfibility than the Surgeon, who has been accuftomed to the amputation of limbs. No perfon thinks lefs of death, than the Soldier, who has frequently walked over the carcaffes of his flain comrades; or the Sexton, who lives among the tombs.

Objects that affect the mind ftrongly, whether the fenfations they excite are painful or pleafureable, always lofe thcir effect by a frequent repetition of their impreffions.
preffions.* Thofe parts of the fcripture, therefore, which are calculated to ftrike terror to the mind, lofe their influence by being too frequently brought into view. The fame objection will not appiy to the hiftory and morality of the Bible; felect paffages of which may be read in fchools to great advantage. In fome countries, the common people are not permitted to read the Bible at all : In ours, it is as common as a newfpaper, and in fchools, is read with nearly the fame degree of refpect. Both thefe practices appear to be extremes. My wifh is not to fee the Bible excluded from fchools, but to fee it ufed as a fyfrem of religion and morality.

Thefe remarks fuggeft another error which is often committed in our inferior fchools: I mean that of putting boys into difficult fciences, while they are too young to exercife their reafon upon abftrace fubjects. For example; boys are often put to the fudy of mathematics, at the age of eight or ten years; and before they can either read or write. In order to how the impropriety of fuch a practice, it is neceffary to repeat what was juft now obferved, that our fenfes are the avenues of knowlege. This fact proves that the moft natural courfe of Education is that which employs, firft the fenfes or powers of the body, or thofe faculties of the mind which firft acquire ftrength ; and then proceeds to thofe ftudies which depend on the power of comparing and combining ideas. The art of writing is mechanical and imitative; this may therefore employ boys, as foon as their fingers have frengeth fufficient

[^1]
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ficient to command a pen. A knowledge of letters. requires the exercife of a mental power, memory; but this is coeval almont with the firft operations of the human mind; and with refpect to objects of fenfe, is almoft perfect even in childhood. Children may therefore be taught reading, as foon as their organs of fpeech have acquired Atrength fufficient to articulate the founds of words.*

But thofe fciences, a knowlege of which is acquired principally by the reafoning faculties, fhould be poftponed to a more advanced period of life. In the courfe of an Englifh Education, mathematics fhould be perhaps the laft ftudy of youth in fchools. Years of valuable time are fometimes thrown away, in a fruitlefs application to fciences, the principles of which are above the comprehenfion of the fudents.

There is no particular age, at which every boy is qualified to enter upon mathematics to advantage. The proper time can be beft determined by the inftructors, who are acquainted with the different capacities of their pupils.

Another error, which is frequent in America, is that a mafter undertakes to teach many different branches in the fame fchool. In new fettlements, where people are poor, and live in fcattered fituations, the practice is often unavoidable : But in populous towns, it muft be confidered as a defegive plan of Education. For fuppofe the teacher to be equally mafter of all the branches which he attempts to teach, which feldom happens, yet his attention muft be diftracted with a multiplicity of objects, and confequently painful to himfelf and not ufeful to the pupils. Add to this the continual interruptions which the ftudents of one branch fuffer from thofe of another, which mult retard the progrefs of the whole

[^2]whole fchooi. It is a much more cligible plan to appropriate an apartment to each branch of Education, with a teacher who makes that branch his fole employment. The principal academies in Europe and America are on this plan, which both reafon and experience prove to be the moft ufeful.

With refpect to literary inffitutions of the firf rank, it appears to me that their local fituations are an object of importance. It is a fubject of controveryy, whether a large city or a country village is the mof eligible fituation for a college or univerfity. But the arguments in favor of the latter, appear to me decifive. Large cities are always fcenes of diffipation and amufement, which have a tendency to corrupt the hearts of youth and divert their iminds from their literary purfuits. Reafon teaches this doctrinc, and experience has uniformly confirmed the truth of it.

Strict difcipline is effential to the profperity of a public feminary of fcience; and this is eftablifhed with more facility, and fupported with more uniformity, in a fmall village, where there are no great objeets of curiofity to interrupt the fludies of youth or to call their attention from the orders of the fociety.

That the morals of young men, as well as their applieation to fcience, depend much on retirement, will be generally acknowleged; but it will be faid alfo, that the company in large towns will improve their manners. The queftion then is, which fhall be facrificed; the advantage of an uncorrupted beart and an improved bead; or of poiifhed manners. But this queftion fuppofes that the virtues of the heart and the polifh of the gentleman are incompatible with each other; which is by no means true. The gentleman and the fcholar are often united in the fame perfon. But both are not formed by the fame means. The improvement of the head requires clofe application to books ; the refinement of manners rather attends fome degree of diffipation, or at leaft a relaxation of the mind. To preferve the purity of the heart, it is fometimes neceffary, and always uifeful, to place a youth beyond the reach of bad examples ;
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examples; whereas a general knowlege of the world, of all kinds of company, is requifite to teach a univerfal propriety of behavior.

But youth is the time to form both the head and the heart. The underftanding is indeed ever enlarging; but the feeds of knowlege fhould be planted in the mind, while it is young and fufceptible ; and if the mind is not kept untainted in youth, there is little probability that the moral charakter of the man will be unblemifhed. A genteel addrefs, on the other hand, may be acquired at any time of life, and muft be acquired, if ever, by mingling with good company. But were the cultivation of the underftanding and of the heart, inconfiftent with genteel manners, ftill no rational perfon could hefitate which to prefer. The goodnefs of a heart is of infinitely more confequence to fociety, than an elegance of manners ; nor will any fuperficial accomplifhments repair the want of principle in the mind. It is always better to be vulgarly right, than politely zurong.

But if the amufements, diffipation and vicious examples in populous cities render them improper places for feats of learning ; the monkifh mode of fequeftering boys from other fociety, and confining them to the apartments of a college, appears to me another fault. The human mind is like a rich field, which, without conftant care, will ever be covered with a luxuriant growth of weeds. It is extremely dangerous to fuffer young men to pais the moft critical period of life, when the paffions are ftrong, the judgement weak, and the heart fufceptible and unfufpecting, in a fituation where there is not the leaft reftraint upon their inclinations. My own obfervations lead me to draw the veil of filence over the ill effects of this practice. But it is to be wifhed that youth might always be kept under the infpection of age and fuperior wifdom; that literary inftitutions might be fo fituated, that the ftudents might live in decent families, be fubject, in fome meafure, to their difcipline, and ever under the control of thofe whom they refpect.

Perhaps

Perhaps it may alfo be numbered among the errors in our fyitems of Education, that, in all our univerfities and colleges, the ftudents are all reflricted to the fame courfe of fudy, and by being claffed, limited to the fame progrefs. Clafling is neceffary, but whether ftudents thould not be removeable from the lower to the higher claffes, as a reward for their fuperior induftry and improvements, is fubmitted to thofe who know the effect of emulation upon the human mind.

But young gentlemen are not all defigned for the fame line of bufinefs, and why fhould they purfue the fame fludies? Why fhould a merchant trouble himfelf with the rules of Greek and Roman fyntax, or a planter puzzle his head with conic fections? Life is too fhort to acquire, and the mind of man too feeble to contain, the whole circle of fciences. The greateft genius on earth, not even a Bacon, cun be a perfeet mafter of every branch; but any moderate genius may, by fuitable application, be perfect in any one branch. By attempting therefore to teach young gentlemen every thing, we make the moft of them mere fimatterers in fcience. In order to qualify perfons to figure in any profeflion, it is neceflary that they fhould attend clofely to thofe branches of learning which lead to it.

There are fome arts and fciences which are neceffary for cevery man. Every man friould be able to fyeak and write his native tongue with correctners ; and have fome knowlege of mathematics. The rules of arithmetic are indifpenfably requifite. But befides the learning which is of common utility, lads fhould be directed to purfue thofe branches which are connefed more immediately with the bufinefs for which they are deftined.
It would be very ufeful for the farming part of the community, to furnifh country fchools with fome ealy fyltem of practical hufbandry. By repeatedly reading fome book of this kind, the mind would be ftored with ideas, which might not indeed be underfood in youth, but which would be called into practice in fome fubfequent period of life. This would lead the mind to the fubject of agriculture, and pave the way for improvements.

Young
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Young gentlemen, defigned for the mercantile line, after having learned to write and fpeak Englifh correctly, might attend to French, Italian, or fuch other living language, as they will probably want in the courle of bulinefs. Thefe languages fhould be learned early in youth, while the organs are yet pliable ; otherwife the pronunciation will probably be imperfect. 'Thefe ftudies might be fucceeded by fome attention to chronology, and a regular application to geography, mathematics, hiftory, the general regulations of commercial nations, principles of advance in trade, of infurance, and to the general principles of government.

It appears to me that fuch a courfe of Education, which might be completed by the age of fifteen or fixteen, would have a tendency to make better merchants than the ufual practice which confines boys to Lucian, Ovid and Tully, till they are fourteen, and then turns them into a ftore, without an idea of their bufinefs, or one article of Education neceffary for them, except perhaps a knowlege of writing and figures.

Such a fyftem of Englifh Education is alfo much preferable to a univerfity Education, even with the ufual honors ; for it might be finifhed fo early as to leave young perfons time to ferve a regular apprenticefhip, without which no perfon hould enter upon bufinefs. But by the time a univerfity Education is completed, young men commonly commence gentlemen; their age and their pride will not fuffer them to go thro the drudgery of a compting houfe, and they enter upon bufincfs without the requifite accomplifhments. Indeed it appears to me that what is now called a libcral Education, difqualifies a man for bufinefs. Habits are formed in youth and by practice ; and as bufinefs is, in fome meafure, mechanical, every perfon fhould be exercifed in his employment, in an early period of life, that his hahits may be formed by the time his apprenticefhip expires. An Education in a univerfity interferes with the forming of thefe habits; and perhaps forms oppofite habits; the mind may contract a fondnefs for cafe, for pleafure or for books, which no efforts
can overcome. An academic Education, which fhould furnifh the youth with fome ideas of men and things, and leave time for an apprenticefhip, before the age of tiwenty one years, would in my opinion, be the moft eligible for young men who are defigned for activ employments.

The method purfued in our colleges is better calculated to fit youth for the learned profeffions than for bufinefs. But perhaps the period of itudy, required as the condition of receiving the ufual degrees, is too thort. Four years, with the moft affiduous application, are a fhort time to furnifh the mind with the neceffary knowlege of the languages and of the feveral fciences. It might perhaps have been a period fufficiently long for an infant fettlement, as America was, at the time when moft of our colleges were founded. But as the country becomes populous, wealthy and refpectable, it may be worthy of confideration, whether the period of azademic life fhould not be extended to fix or feven years.
'But the principal defect in our plan of Education in America, is, the want of good teachers in the academies and common fchools. By good teachers I mean, men of unblemifhed reputation, and poffeffed of abilities, competent to their flations. That a man mould be mafter of what he undertakes to teach, is a point that will not be difputed ;'and yet it is certain that abilities are often difpenfed with, either thro inattention or' fear of expenfe.

To thofe who employ ignorant men to inftruf their children, permit me to fuggeft one important idea: That it is better for youth to have no Education, than to have a bad one; for it is more dificicult to eradicate habits, than to imprefs new ideas. The tender fhrub is eafily bent to any figure ; but the tree, which has acquircd its full growth, refifts all impreffions.

Yet abilities are not the fole requifites. The infructors of youth ought, of all men, to be the moft prudent, accomplifhed, agreeable and refpectable. What avail a mian's parts, if, while he is the "wifeft
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and brighteft," he is the "meaneft of mankind ?" The pernicious effects of bad example on the minds of youth will probably be acknowleged; but with a view to $i \mathrm{~m}$ provement, it is indifpenfably neceffary that the teachers fhould poffefs good breeding and agreeable manners. In order to give full effect to infructions, it is requifite that they fhould proceed from a man who is loved and refpected. But a low bred clown, or morofe tyrant, can command neither love nor refpect; and that pupil who has no motive for application to books, but the fear of a rod, will not make a fcholar.
The rod is often neceflary in fchool; efpecially after the children have been accuftomed to difobedience and a licentious behavior at home. All government originates in families, and if neglected there, it will hardly exift in fociety; but the want of it muft be fupplied by the rod in fchool, the penal laws of the flate, and the terrors of divine wrath from the pulpit. The government both of families and fchools thould be abfolute. There fhould, in families, be no appeal from one parent to another, with the profpect of pardon for offences: The one fhould always vindicate, at leaft apparently, the condut of the other. In fchools the mafter fhould be abfolute in' command; for it is utterly impofible for any man to fupport order and difcipline among children, who are indulged with an appeal to their parents. A proper fubordination in families would generally fuperfede the neceffity of feverity in fchools; and a ftrict difcipline in both is the beff foundation of good order in political fociety.

If parents fhould fay, "we cannot give the inftructors of our children unlimited authority over them, for it may be abufed and our children injured ;" I would anfwer, they muft not place them under the direction of any man, in whofe temper, judgement and abilities, they do not repofe perfect confidence. The teacher thould be, if fuch can be found, as judicious and reafomable a man as the parent.

There can be little improvement in fchools, without fric: fubordination ; there can be no fubordination, without
without principles of efteem and re!pect in the pupils; and the pupils cannot efteem and refpect a man who is not in hinfelf refpectable, and who is not treated with refpect by their parents. It may be laid down as an invariable maxim, that a perfon is not fit to fuperintend the Education of children, who has not the qualifications which will command the efteem and refpect of his pupils. This maxim is founded on a truth which every perfon may have obferved; that children always love an amiable man, and always efteem a reppectable one. Men and women have their paffions, which often rule their judgement and their conduct. They have their caprices, their intereffs and their prejudices, which at times incline them to treat the moft meritorious characters with difrefpect. But children, artlefs and unfufpecting, refign their hearts to any perfon whote manners are agreeable, and whofe conduct is refpectable. Whenever, therefore, pupils ceafe to refpect their teacher, he fhould be inflantly difmiffed.

Refpect for an inftructor will often fupply the place of a rod of correftion. The pupil's attachment will lead him to clofe attention to his fudies; he fears not the rod fo much as the difpleafure of his teacher ; he waits for a fmile, or dreads a frown; he receives his inftructions and copies his manners. This generous principle, the fear of offending, will prompt youth to cxertions; and inftead of feverity on the one hand, and of llavith fear, with reluctant obedience on the other, mutual efteem, refpect and confidence ftrew flowers in the road to knowlege.

With refpect to morals and civil fociety, the other riew in which I propofed to treat this fubject, the effects of Education are fo certain and extenfiv, that it behooves every parent and guardian to be particularly attentiv to the characters of the men, whofe province it is to form the minds of youth.

From a firange inverfion of the order of nature, the caufe of which it is not neceffary to unfold, the moit important bufinefs in civil fociety, is, in many parts of Ameriaz, committed to the mot worthafs charafers. C.

The
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The Education of youth, an employment of more confequence than making laws and preaching the gofpel, becaufe it lays the foundation on which both law and gofpel reft for fuccefs; this Education is funk to a level with the moft menial fervices. In moft inftances we find the higher feminaries of learning intrufted to men: of good characters, and poffeffed of the moral virtues and focial affections. But many of our inferior fchools, wiich, fo far as the heart is concerned, are as important as colleges, are kept by men of no breeding, and many of them, by men infamous for the moft deteftable vices.* Will this be denied? will it be denied, that before the war, it was a frequent praftice for gentlemen

* How diffcrent this practice from the manner of educating youth in Rome, during the flourifhing ages of the republic! There the attention to children commenced with their birth; an infant was not educated in the cottage of a hireling nurfe, but in the very bofon of its mother, whofe principal praife was, that fhe fuperintended her family. Parents were careful to choofe fome aged matron to take care of their children ; toform their firft habits of fpeaking and acting; to watch their growing paffions, and direct them to their proper objects; to guard them from all immoder fports, preferve their minds innocent, and direct their attention to liberal purfuits.
"-Filius-non in cella emptre mutricis fed gremio ac finu matris educabatur, cujus precipua laus, tueri domum, et infervire liberis. - Eligebatur antem aliqua inajor natu propinqu:a, cujus probatis fpectatifque moribus, omnis cujufpiam faniliac foboles committeretur, coram qua neque dicere fas erat cuod turpé dictu, neque facere quod inhoneltum factu videretill. Ac non ftudia modo curafque, fed remifiones etiam lufus que pucrorum, fanctitate quadam ac verccundia temperabat." In this manner were educated the Gracchi, Cxfar, and other celebrated Romans. "Qur difciplina ac feveritas eo pertinebat, ut fincera et intergra ct mullis pravitatibus detorta unius cujufque matura, toto fatem pectorc, arriperet artes honeftas.". ——Tacitus de Oras. Dial. 28.
The hiftorian then procecds to mention the corruption of manners, and the vicious mode of Education, in the later ages. of Rone. He fays, children were committed to fome maid, with the vileft flaves; with whom they were initiated in their low converfation and manners. "Horum fabulis et erroribus eneri flatim et rudes animi imbuntur ; nee quis quam in toto domo penfi habet, quid coram infante domino aut dicat ant $\mathrm{faciata}^{\text {" }}$ Ibm, 22 .
to purchafe conviets, who had been tranfported for their crimes, and employ them as private tutors in their families?

Gracious Heavens! Muft the wretches, who have forfeited their lives, and been pronounced unworthy to be inhabitants of a foreign country, be entrufted with the Education, the morals, the charafter of American youth ?

Will it he denied that many of the inftructors of youth, whofe examples and precepts thould form their minds for good men and ufeful citizens, are ofien found to fleep away, in fchool, the fumes of a debauch, and to fun the ears of their pupils with frequent blafphemy? It is idle to fupprefs fuch truths ; nay more, it is wicked. The practrce of employing low and vicious characters to direct the fludies of youth, is, in a high degree, criminal ; it is deffructive of the order and peace of focicty; it is treafon againft morals, and of courfe, againft government ; it ought to be arraigned before the tribunal of reafon, and condemned by all intelligent beings. The practice is fo exceedingly abfurd, that it is furprifing it could ever have prevailed among rational peoplc. Parents wifh their children to be well bred, yet place them under the care of clowns. They wifh to fecure their hearts from vicious principles and babits, yet commit them to the care of men of the moft profligate lives. 'They wifh to have their children taught obedience and refpert for fuperiors, yet give them a mafter that both parents and children defpife. A practice fo glaringly abfurd and irrational has no name in any language! Parents themfelves will not affociate with the men, whofe company they ollige their children to keep, even in that moft impertant period, when habits are forming for life.*

## Are

[^3]
## 20 E D U C A $\quad$ I $\quad$ O N .

Are parents and guardians ignorant, that childrers always imitate thofe with whom they live or affuciate ? That a boy, bred in the woods, will be a favage? That another, bred in the army, will have the manners of a foldier? 'That a third, bred in a kitchen, will fpeak the language, and pofiefs the ideas, of fervants? And that a fourth, bred in genteel company, will have the manners of a gentleman? We cannot believe that many people are ignorant of thefe truths. Their conduct therefore can be aferibed to nothing but inattention or fear of expenfe. It is perhaps literally irue, that a wild life among favages is preferabie to an Education in a kitchen, or under a drunken tutor; for favages would leave the mind uncorrupted with the vices, which reign among flaves and the depraved part of civilized nations. It is therefore a point of infinite importance to fociety, that youth thould not affociate with perfons whofe manners they ou!ght not to imitate; much lefs thould they be doomed to pafs the moft fufceptable period of life, with clowns, profigates and dlaves.

There are people fo ignorant of the conftitution of our natures, as to declare, that young people fhould fee vices and their confequences, that they may learn
they do fo, in deed. For to one they will give a fipend of two huadred crowns, and loth to offer the other two hundred thillings. God, that fitteth in the Heaven, laugheth their chace to fcorn and rewardeth their liberality as it thould : for he futtereth them to have tame and well ordered borfics; but wild and unfortunate cbildren: and thercfore in the end they find more plealine in their horfe, than comfort in their child."

This is old language, but the faits ftated are modern trutbs. The barbarous Gothic prattice has furvived all the attacks of common feafe, and in many parts of America, a gentleman's groom is on a level with his fchoolmatier, in point of reputation. Lut hear another authority for the practice in Englund.
"As the cafe now flauds, thofe of the firft quality pueir tuthors but little above hath fo much as they do their footmen." -Guar.tian, No. 24.
"' 1 ' is montrons indeed that men of the beft eftates and fanibies aremore folicitoms about the tutelage of a favorite du wr burfo, than of their hcirs male."-Ibm.
to deteft and fhun them. Such reafoning is like that of the novel writers, who attempt to defend their delineations of abandoned charaders; and that of flage players, who would vindicate the obfeene exhibitions of a theater ; but the reafoning is totally falfe.* Vice always fpreads by being publifhed; young people aro taught many vices by fiction, books or public exhibitions ; vices, which they never would have known, had they never read fuch books or attended fuch public places. Crimes of all kinds, vices, judicial trials neceflarily obfcene, and infamous punifhments, fhould, if poliible, be concealed from the young. An examination in a court of juftice may teach the tricks of a knave, the arts of a thief, and the evafions of hackneyed offenders, to a dozen young culprits, and even tempt thofe who have never committed a crime, to make a trial of their fkill. A newfpaper may fpread crimes ; by communicating to a nation the knowlege of an ingenious trick of villainy, which, had it been fupprefied, might have died with its firf inventor. It is not true that the effects of vice and crimes deter others from the practice; except when rarely feen. On the other hand, frequent exhibitions either ceafe to make any impreffions on the minds of fpectators, or elfe reconcile them to a courfe of life, which at firft was difagreeable.
> "Vice is a monfter of fo frightful mein, As to be hated, needs but to be feen ; Yet feen too oft, familiar with: her face, We firft endure, then pity, then embrace."

For thefe reafons, children fhould keep the beft of company, that they might have before them the befe manners, the beft breeding, and the beft converfation. Their minds fhould be kept untainted, till their reafoning faculties have acquired ffrength, and the good principles which may be planted in their minds, have taken deep root. They will then be able to make a firm and

[^4]and probably a fuccefsful refiffance, againft the attacks of fecret corruption and brazen libertinifm.

Our legiflators frame laws for the fupprefion of vice and immorality; our divines thunder, from the pulpit, the teriors of infinite wrath, againft the vices that ftain the characeers of men. And do laws and preaching efiect a reformation of manners? Experience vo:!ld not give a very favorable anfwer to this inquiry. The reafon is obvious ; the attempts are directed to the wrong objects. Laws can only check the public effe?ts of vicious principles; but can never reach the principles themfelves; and preaching is not very intelligible to people, till they arrive at an age when their principles are rooted, or their habits firmly eftablifhed. An attempt to eradicate old habits, is as abfurd, as to lop of the branches of a huge oak, in order to root it out of a rich foil. The moit that fuch clipping will effeec, is to prevent a further growth.

The oniy practicabie method to reform mankind, is to begin with children; to banifh, if poffible, from their company, every low bred, drunken, immoral character. Virtue and vice will not grow together in a great degree, but they will grow where they are planted, and when one has taken root, it is not eafily fupplanted by the other. The great art of correcting mankind therefore, confifts in prepoficfing the mind with good principles.

For this reafon fociety requires that the Education of youth fhould be watched with the molt fcrupulous attention. Education, in a great meafure, forms the moral characters of inen, and morals are the bafis of government.* Education fhould therefore be the firtt carc of L Legiflature; not merely theinfitution of fchools, but the furininhing of them with the bef men for teachers. A good fyflem of Education thould be the firlt article in the code of political regulations; for it is much eaficr to introduce and eftabiilh an effectual fyitem for preferving morals, than to correat, by penal ftatutes,

* Plus ibi beni mores valent, quam alibi bona leges.

Tac, de Mor, Germ, 19.
fatutes, the ill effects of a bad fyhtem. I am fo fully perfuaded of this, that I thall aimoft adore that great man, who fhall change our practice and opinions, and make it refpeftable for the firt and beft men to fuperintend the Education of youth.

Another defeet in our fehools, which, fince the revolution, is become incxcufeable, is the want of proper books. The collections which are now ufed coniff of eflays that refpect foreign and ancient nations. The minds of youth are perpetually led to the hiftory of Greece and Rome or to Great Eritain ;'boys are con:ftantly repeating the declamations of Demothenes and Cicero, or debates upon fome political queftion in the Britifh Parliment. Thefe are excellent fpecimens of good fenfe, polifhed fiiie and perfect oratory ; but they are not interefting to children. They cannot be very ufeful, except to young gentlemen who want them as models of reafoning and eloquence, in the pulpit or at the bar.

But every child in America fhould be acquainted with his own country. He fhould read books that furnifh him with ideas that will be ufeful to him in life and practice. As foon as he okens his lips, he fhould rehearfe the hifory of his own country; he thould lifp the praife of liberty, and of thofe illuftrious heroes and Itatefmen, who have wrought a revolution in her favor.

A felection of effays, refpecting the fettlement and geography of America; the hiffory of the late revolution and of the moft remarkable characters and events that diffinguifhed it, and a compendium of the principles of the federal and provincial governments, fhould be the principal fchool book in the United States. Thefe are interefting objects to evcry man; they call home the minds of youth and fix them upon the interefts of their own country, and they affift in forming attachments to it, as well as in enlarging the underflancing.
" It is obferved by the great Montefquieu, that the laws of education ought to be relative to the principles of the gevermment.,"*

[^5]
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In defpotic governments, the people fhould have little or no education, except what tends to infpire them with a fervile fear. Infurmation is fatal to defpotifm.

In monarchics, education fhould be partial, and 2dapted to the rank of each clafs of citizens. Sut " in a republican government," fays the fame writer, "the whole power of education is required." Here every clafs of people thould know and love the laws. This knowlege fhould be diffufed by means of fchools and newfpapers; and an attachment to the laws may be formed by early impreflions upon the mind.

Two regulations are effential to the continuance of republican governments: r. Such a diftribution of lands and fuch principles of defcent and alienation, as thall give every citizen a power of acquiring what his induftry merits.* 2. Such a fyftem of education as gives every citizen an opportunity of acquiring knowlcre and fitting himfelf for places of trult. Thefe are furdamental articles; the fine qua noin of the exiftence of the American republics.

Hence the abfurdity of our copying the manners and adopting the inftitutions of Monarchies.

In feveral States, we find laws pafied, eftablifhing provifion for colleges and acadomies, where people of property may educate their fons ; but no provifion is made for inltrueting the poorer rank of poople, even in reading and writing. Yet in thefe fame States, every citizen who is worth a few thillings amually, is entitled to vote for legillators. $t$ This appears to me a moft glaring folecifm in governmeat. 't he conftitutions are repullican, and the laws of education are monarchical. The former extend civil rights to every honeft indufrious man; the latter deprive a large pioportion of the citizens of a moft valuable privilege.

In cur American republics, where governments is

[^6]in the hands of the people, knowlege fhould be univerlally diffured by means of public fchools. Of fuch confequence is it to fociety, that the pcople who make laws, fhould be well informed, that I conceive no Legiilature can be juftified in neglecting proper eftablifhments for this purpofe.

When I fpeak of a diffufion of knowlege, I do not mean merely a knowlege of fpelling books, and the New 'Teftament. An acquaintance with ethics, and with the general principles of law, commerce, money and government, is neceffary for the yeomanry of a republican fate. This acquaintance they might obtain by means of books calculated for fchools, and read by the children, during the winter months, and by the circulation of public papers.
"In Rome it was the common exercife of troys at fchool, to learn the law's of the twelve tables by heart, as they did their poets and claffic authors." ${ }^{*}$ What an excellent practice this in a free government!

It is faid, indeed by many, that our common people are already too well informed. Strange paradox! The truth is, they have too much knowlege and fpirit to refign their Mare in gevernment, and are not fufficiently informed to govern themfelves in all cafes of difficulty.

There are fome acts of the American legiflatures which aftonith men of information ; and blunders in legiflation are frequently afcribed to bad intentions. But if we cxamin the men who compofe there legiflatures, we fhall find that wrong meafures generally proceed from ignorance either in the men themfelves, or in their conftituents. They often miftake their own intereft, becaufe they do not forefee the remote confequences of a meafure.

It may be true that all men cannot be legiflators ; but the more generally knowlege is diffured among the fubftantial ycomanry, the more perfect will be the laws of a republican flate.

Every fmall diftrict flould be furnifhed with a fchooi, at leaft four months in a ycar ; when boys are not othcrwife
"Miḍdleton's life of Cicero, volume I, page 14.
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erwife employed. This fchool fhould be kept by the moft reputable and well informed man in the diffrict. Here children fhould be taught the ufual branches of learning : fubmiffion to fuperiors and to laws; the moral or focial duties ; the hiftory and tranfactions of their own country ; the principles of liberiy and government. Here the rough manners of the wilderinefs thould be foftened, and the principles of virtue and good behaviour inculcated. The virtues of men are of more confequence to fociety than their abilities; and for this reafon, the beart fhould be cultivated with more affiduity than the bead.

Such a general fyftem of education is neither impraaticable nor difificult ; and excepting the formation of a federal government that fhall be efficient and permanent, it demands the firft attention of American patriots. Until fuch a fyftem fhall be adopted and phorfued; until the Statefman and Divine finall unite their cfforts in forming the human mind, rather than in loping its excreflences, after it has been neglefted; until Legiflators difcover that the only way to make good citizens and fubjects, is to nourilh them from infancy ; and until parents fhall be convinced that the zoorf of men are not the proper teachers to make the beft; mankind cannot know to what a degree of perfection fociety and government may be carried. America affords the faireft opportunities for making the experiment, and opens the moft encouraging profpeit of fuccefs.*

[^7]
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In a fyftem of education, that fhould embrace every part of the community, the female fex claim no inconfiderable fhare of our attention.

The women in America (to their honor it is mentioned) are not generally above the care of educating their own children. Their own education fhoud therefore enable them to implant in the tender mind, fuch fentiments of virtue, propriety and dignity, as are fuited to the freedom of our governments. Children fhould be treated as children, but as children that are, in a future time, to be men and women. By treating them as if they were always to remain children, we very often fee their childifhnefs adhere to them, cven in middle life. The filly language called baby talk, in which moft perfons are initiated in infancy, often breaks out in difcourfe, at the age of forty, and makes a man appear very ridiculous.* In the fame manner, vulgar, obfcene and illiberal ideas, imbibed in a nurfery or a kitchen, often give a tinclure to the conduct through life. In order to prevent every evil bias, the ladies, whofe province it is to direct the inclinations of children on their firf appearance, and to choofe their nurfes, thould be poffeffed, not only of amiable manners, but of juft fentiments and enlarged underftandings.

But the influence of women in forming the difpofitions
The character of the Jcws, as flarpers, is derived from the cruel and villanous profcriptions, which they have fuffered from the bigotry of Chriftians in every part of Europe.
Moft of the criminals condemned in America are foreigners. The execution of a native, before the revolution, was a novelty. The diftribution of property in America and the principles of government favor the rights of men ; and but few men will commencè enemies to fociety and government, if they can receive the benefits of them. Unjuft governments and tyrannical diftinctions have made moft of the villains that ever exifted.

* It has been already obferved that a child always imitates what he fees and hears: For this reafon, he fhould hear no language which is not correct and decent. Every word fpoken to a child, fhould be pronounced with clearnefs and propriety. Banifh from children all diminutive words, all whining and all bad grammar. A boy of fix years old may be tanght to fpeak as correctly, as Cicero did before the Roman Senate.
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tions of youth, is not the fole reafon why their edacation fhould be particularly guarded ; their influence in controling the manuers of a nation, is another powerful reafon. Woinen, once abandoned, may be inftrumental in corrupting fociety ; but fuch is the delicacy of the fex, and fuch the reftraints which cuftom impofes upon them, that they are generally the laft to be corrupted. There are innumerable inftances of men, who have been reftrained from a vicious life, and even of very abandonded men, who have been reclaimed, by their attachment to ladies of virtue. A fondnefs for the company and converfation of ladies of character, may be confidered as a young man's beft fecurity againft the attractives of a diffipated life. A man who is attached to good company, feldom frequents that which is bad. For this reafon, fociety requires that females fhould be well educated, and extend their influence as far as poffible over the other fex.

But a diftinction is to be made between a good education, and a bowy one ; for an education, merely fuperficial, is a proof of corruption of tafte, and has a mifchievous influence on manners. The education of females, like that of males, fhould be adapted to the principles of the government, and correfpond with the ftage of fociery. Education in Paris differs from that in Peterfburg, and the education of females in London or Paris fhould not be a model for the Americans to copy.

In all nations a good education, is that which renders the ladies correct in their manners, refpectable in their families, and agrecable in fociety. That education is always wrong, which raifes a woman above the duties of her ftation.

In America, female cducation fhould have for its object what is ufefrul. Young ladies thould be taught to fpeak and write their own language with purity and elegance; an article in which they are often defcient. The French language is not neceflary for ladies. In fome cafes it is convenient, but, in gencral, it may b confidered as an article of luxury. As an accomplifh.
ment, it may be fudied by thofe whofe attention is not employed about more important concerns.

Some knowlege of arithmetic is neceffary for every lady. Geography fhould never be neglected. Belles Letters learning feems to correfpond with the difpofitions of moft females. A tafte for Poetry and fine writing thould be cultivated; for we expect the moft delicate fentiments from the pens of that fex, which is poffeffed of the fineft feelings.

A courfe of reading can hardly be preforibed for all ladies. But it fhould be remarked, that this fex cannot be too well acquainted with the writers upon human life and manners. The Spectator fhould fill the firft place in every lady's library. Other volumes of periodical papers, tho inferior to the Spectator, Mould be read; and fome of the beft hiftories.

With refpect to novels, fo much admired by the young, and fo generally condemned by the old, what fhall I fay? Perhaps it may be faid with truth, that fome of them are ufeful, many of them pernicious, and moft of them trifling. A hundred volumes of modern novels may be read, without acquiring a new idea. Some of them contain entertaining ftories, and where the defcriptions are drawn from nature, and from characters and events in themfelves innocent, the perufal of them may be harmlefs.

Were novels written with a view to exhibit only one fide of human nature, to paint the focial virtues, the world would condemn them as defe?ive: But I fhould think them more perfect. Young people, efpecially females, fhould not fee the vicious part of mankind. At beft novels may be confidered as the toys of youth; the rattle boxes of fixteen. The mechanic gets his pence for his toys, and the novel writer, for his books; and it would be happy for fociety, if the latter were in all cales as innocent play things as the former.

In the large towns in America, mufic, drawing and dancing, conflitute a part of female education. They, howevcr, hold a fubordinate rank; for my fair friends will pardon me, when I declare, that no man ever marries a woman for her performance on a harpfichord, or
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her figure in a minuet. However ambitious a woman may be to command admiration abroad, her real merit is known only at boome. Admiration is ufelefs, when it is not fupported by domeftic worth. But real honor and permanent efteem, are always fecured by thofe who prefide over thicir own families with dignity.*

Before I quit this fubject, I beg leave to make fome remarks on a practice which appears to be attended with important confequences; 1 mean that of fending boys to Europe for an education, or fending to Europe for teachers This was right before the revolution; at leaft

* Nothing can be more fatal to domeftic happinefs in Ame-. rica, than a tafte for copying the luxurious manncrs and amufements of England and France. Dancing, drawing and mufic, are principal articles of education in thofe kingdoms; therefore every girl in America muft pafs two or three years at a boarding fchool, tho her father cannot give her a farthing when the marries. This ambition to educate females above their fortunes pervades every part of America. Hence the difproportion between the well bred females and the males in our large towns. A mechanic or fhopkeeper in town, or a farmer in the country, whofe fons get their living by their father's employments, will fend their daughters to a boarding fchool, where their ideas are elevated, and their views carriced above a connexion with men in thofe occupations. Such an education, without fortune or beauty, may pofibly pleafe a girl of fifteen, but muft prove her greateft misfortune. This fatal miftake is illuftrated in every large town in America. In the country, the number of males and females, is nearly cqual ; but in towns, the number of genteelly bred women is greater than of men ; and in fome towns, the proportion is, as three to one.

The heads of young people of both fexes are often turned by reading defcriptions of fplendid living, of coaches, of plays, and other amufements. Such defcriptions excite a defire to enjoy the fame pleafures. A fortme becomes the principal object of purfiit ; fortunes are fcarce in America, and not eafily acquired; difappointment fuccecds, and the youth who begins life with expecting to enjoy a coach, clofes the profpect with a fmall living, procured by labor and economy.

Thus a wrong education, and a tafte for pleafures which our fortune will not enable us to enjoy, of en plunge the Americans into diftrefs, or at leaft prevent early marriages. Too fond of fhow, of drefs and expenfe, the fexes wifh to pleafe each other ; they miftake the means, and both are difappointed.
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leaff fo far as national attachments where concerned; but the propriety of it ceafed with our political relation to Great Britain.

In the firft place, our honor as an independent nation is concerned in the eftabliflument of literary inftitutions, adequate to all our own purpofes; without fending our youth abroad, or depending on other nations for books and inftructors. It is very little to the reputation of America to have it faid abroad, that after the heroic atchievements of the late war, thefe independent people are obliged to fend to Europe for men and books toteach their children A B C.
But in another point of view, a foreign education is directly oppofite to our political interefts, and ought to be difcountenanced, if not prohibited.
Every perfon of common obfervation will grant, that moft men prefer the manners and the government of that country where they are educated. Let ten American youths be fent, each to a different European kingdom, and live there from the age of twelve to twenty, and each will give the preference to the country where he has refided.

The period from tweive to twenty is the mof important in life. The impreffions made before that period are commonly effaced ; thofe that are made during that period always remain for many years, and generally thro life.

Ninety nine perfons of a hundred who pafs that period in England or France, will prefer the people, their manners, their laws, and their government, to thofe of their nativ country. Such attachments are injurious, both to the happinefs of the men, and to the political interefts. of their own country. As to private happinefs, it is univerfally known how much pain a man fuffers by a change of ${ }^{-}$habits in living. The cuftoms of Europe are and ought to be different from ours; but when a man has been bred in one country, his attachnrents to its manners make them, in a great meafure, neceffary to his happinefs. On changing his refidence, he muft therefore break his former habits, which is always a painfu! facrifice:
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 E D U C A T I O N.facrifice ; or the difcordance between the manners of his own country, and his habits, muft give him inceffint uncafinefs; or he muft introduce, into a circle of his friends, the manners in which he was educated. Thefe confequences may follow, and the laft, which is inevitable, is a public injury. The refinement of manners in every country fhould keep pace exactly with the increafe of its wealth ; and perhaps the greateft evil America now feels is, an improvement of tafte and manners which its wealth cannot fupport.

A foreign education is the very fource of this evil ; it gives young gentlemen of fortune a relifh for manners and amufements which are not fuited to this country ; which however, when introduced by this clafs of people, will always become fathionable.

But a corruption of manners is not the fule objection to a foreign education : An attachment to a foreign government, or rather a want of attachment to our own, is the natural effect of a refidence abroad, during the period of youth. It is recorded of one of the Greek cities, that in a treaty with their conquerors, it was required that they fhould give a certain number of male children as hoftages for the fulfilment of their engagements. The Greeks abfolutely refufed, on the principle that thefe children would imbibe the ideas and embrace the manners of foreigners, or lofe their love for their own country: But they offered the fame number of old inen, without hefitation. This anecdote is full of good fenfe. A man fhould always form his habits and attachments in the country where he is to refide for life. When thefe habits are forined, young men may travel without danger of lofing their patriotifin. A boy who lives in England from twelve to twenty, will be an Engli/bmen in his manners and his feelings; but let him remain at home till he is twenty, and form his attachments, he may then be feveral years abroad, and ftill be an American.* There may be exceptions to this obfervation; but living

[^8]Dr.
living examples may be mentioned to prove the truth of the general principle here advanced, refpesting the influence of habit.

It may be faid that foreign univerlities furnifh much better opportunities of improvement in the fciences than the American. This may be true, and yet will not juftify the practice of fending young lads from their own country. There are fome branches of fcience which may be fudied to much greater advantage in Europe than in America, particularly chymiftry. When there are to be acquired, young gentlemen ought to fpare no pains to attend the beft profefiors. It may, therefore; be ufeful, in fome cafes, for ftudents to crofs the atlantic to complete a courfe of fudies; but it is not neceflary for them to go early in life, nor to continue a long time. Such inftances need not be frequent even now; and the neceffity for them will diminith in proportion to the future advancement of literature in America.
It is, however, much queflioned, whether, in the ordinary courfe of fludy, a young man can enjoy greater advantages
Dr. Mirldleton, "' till he had completed his education at home ; for nothing can be more pernicious to a nation, than the necelfity of a foreign one."-Life of Cicero, vol. 1.p. 4 S .

Dr. Moore makes a remark precifely in point. Speaking of a foreign education, propofed by a certain Lord, who objected to the public fchools in England, he fays, "I have attended to his Lordhip's objections, and after due confideration; and weighing every circumftance, I remain of opinion, that no counitry but Great Britain is proper for the education of a Eritilh fubject, who propofes to pafs his life in his own country. The moft important point, in my mind, to be fecured in the education of a young man of rank of oul country, is to make him an Englifhman ; and this can be done no where fo effectually as in England." See his View of Society aid Mamners, \&c. vol. 1 . page 197, where the reader will find many judicicus remarks irpon this fubject. The following are too pertinent to be omitted.-" It is thought, that by an early foreign education, all ridiculous Englifh prejudices, will be avoided. This may be true ; but other prejudices, perhaps as ridiculous, and much more detrimental, will be formed. The firl cannot be attenderl with many inconveniencies; the fecond may render the yound people unhappy in their own country when they return, and disagreeable to their countrymen all the reft of theirlives." Thefe remarks, by a change of names are applicable to America.
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advantages in Europe timan in America. Eaperience inclines me to raife a doubt, whether the danger to which a youth muft be expofed among the fons of diffipation abroad, will not turn the fcale in favor of our American colleges. Certain it is, that four fifths of the great literary characters in America never croffed the atlantic.

But if our univerfities and fchools are not fo good as the Englifh or Scotch, it is the bufinefs of our rulers to improve them, not to endow them merely; for endowments alone will never make a flourifhing feminary; but to furnifh them with profetfors of the firt abilities and moft affiduous application, and with a complete apparatus for eftablifhing theories by experiments. Nature has been profufe to the Americans, in genius, and in the advantages of climate and foil. If this country, therefore, fhould long be indebted to Europe for opportunities of acquiring any branch of fcience in perfection, it mult be by means of a criminal neglect of its inhabitants.

The difference in the nature of the American and European governments, is another objection to a foreign education. Men form modes of reafoning, or habits of thinking on political fubjects, in the country where they are bred; thefe modes of reafoning may be founded on fact in all countries; but the fame principles wil! not apply in all gevermments, becaufe of the infinite variety of national opinions and habits. Before a man can be a good Legiflator, he muft be intimately acquainted with the temper of the people to be governed. No man can be thus acquainted with a people, withour refiding amongt them and mingling with all companies. For want of this accuaintance, a Turgot and a Price may reafon moft abfurdly upon the Conftitutions of the American ftates; and when any perfon has been long accultomed to believe in the propriety or impropriety of certain maxims or regulations of government, it is very difficult to change his opinions, or to perfuade him to adapt his reafoning to new and different circum:Atances.
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One half the European Proteftants will now contend that the Roman Catholic religion is fubverfive of civil government. Tradition, books, education, have concurred to fix this belief in their minds ; and they will not refign their opinions, even in America, where fome of the higheft civil offices are in the hands of Roman Catholics.
It is therefore of infinite importance that thofe who direct the councils of a nation, thould be educated in that nation. Not that they fhould reftrist their perfonal acquaintance to their own country, but their firf ideas, attachments and habis fhould be acquired in the country which they are to govern and defend: When a knowlege of their own country is oblained, and an attachment to its laws and interefts deeply fixed in their hearts, then young gentlemen may travel with infinite advantage and perfect fafety. I wifh not therefore to difcourage traveiling, but, if poffible, to render it more ufeful to individuals and to the community. My meaning is, that men fhould travel, and not boys.
It is time for the Americans to change their ufual route, and travel thro a country which they never think of, or think beneeth their notice : I mean the United States.

While there States were a part of the Britifh Empire, our intereft, our feelings, were thofe of Englifhmen ; our dependence led us to refpect and imitate their manners, and to look up to them for our opinions. We little thought of any national intereft in America; and while our commerce and governments were in the hands of our parent country, and we had no common intereft, we little thought of improving our acquaintance with each other, or of removing prejudices, and reconciling the difcordant feelings of the inhabitants of different Provinces. But independence and union render it neceffary that the citizens of different States fhould know each others characters and circumfances; that all jealoufies fhould te removed; that mutual refpeft and confidence fhould fucceed, and a harmony of views and interefts be cultivated by a friendiy intercourfe.

D 2

A tour thro the United States ought now to be confidered as a necelfiary part of a liberal education. Inftead of fending young gentlemen to Europe to view curiofities and learn vices and follies, let them fpend twelve or eighteen months in examining the local lituation of the different States; the rivers, the foil, the population, the improvements and commercial advantages of the whole ; with an attention to the firit and manners of the inhabitants, their laws, local cuftoms and inflitutions. Such a tour thould at leaft precede a tour to Europe; for nothing can be more ridiculous than a man travelling in a foreign country for information, when he can give no account of his own. When, therefore, young gentlemen have finihited an academic education, let them travel thro America, and afterwards to Europe, if their time and fortunes will permit. But if they cannot make a tour thro both, that in America is certainly to be preferred; for the people of America, with all their information, are yet extremely ignorant of the geography, policy and manners of their neighbouring States. Lxcept a few gentlemen whofe public employments in the army and in Congrefs, have extended their knowlege of America, the people in this country, even of the higher claffes, have not fo correct information refpecting the United States, as they have refpesing England or France. Suel ignorance is not only difgraceful, but is materially prejudicial to our political friendthip and federal operations.

Americans, unhackle your minds, and at like independent beings. You have been chiildren long enoush, labjeit to the control, and fublervient to the intereft of a liaughty parent. You have now an intereft of your own to augnent and defend: You have an empire to saife and fupport by your exertions, and a national charafer to citablifh and extend by your wifdom and viriacs. To effee thefe great objeets, it is neceflary to frame a liberal plan of policy, and build it on a broad fyfien of education. Before this fynem can be formed and embraced, the Americans nuft believe, and ast from the belief, that it is dilhonorable to walle life in mimicking the follies of other nations and ba?king in the funfline of foreign glory.
[The
[The following fhould have been added, in a note, on page 5 , after the fecond paragraph.

In our colleges and univerfities, fludents read fome of the ancient Poets and Orators; but the Hiftorians, which are perhaps more valuable, are generally neglected. The ftudent juft begins to read Latin and Greek to advantage, then quits the ftudy. Where is the feminary, in which the ftudents read Herodotus, Thucydides, Xenophon, Polybius, Dionyfius Halicarnaffeus, Livy, Velleius, Paterculus and Tacitus? How fuperficial muft be that learning, which is acquired in four years! Severe experience has taught me the errors and defects of what is called a liberal education. I could not read the beft Greek and Roman authors while in college, without neglecting the eftablifhed claffical ftudies ; and after I left college, I found time only to dip into books, that every.fcholar fhould be mafter of; a circumftance that often fills me with the deepeft regret. "Quis enim ignorat et eloquentiam et cæteras artes defciviffe ab ifta vetere gloria, non inopia hominum, fed defidia juventutis, et negligentia parentum, et infcientia precipientium, et oblivione moris antiqui ?-Nec in auctoribus cognofcendis, nec in evolvenda antiquitate, nec in notitia vel rerum, vel hominum, vel temporum fatis operæ infumitur."-Tacitus, de Orat. Dial. 28. 29.]
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## No. II.

NEW YORK, 1788.

## PRINCIPLES of GOVERNMENT and COMMERCE.

ALL mankind are, by nature, free, and have a right to enjoy life, liberty and property.
Une perfon has no right to take from another his life, health, peace, or good name; to take away or leffen his freedom of thinking and acting, or to injure his eftate in the finalleft degree.

A collection of individuals forms a fociet; ; and every fociety muft have government, to prevent one man from hurting another, and to punifh fuch as commit crimes. Every perfon's fafety requires that he fhould fubmit to be governed; for if one man may do harm without fuffering punifhment, every man has the fame right, and no perfon can be fafe.

It is neceflary therefore that there fhould be laws to control every man. Laws thould be made by confent or concurrence of the greateft part of the faciety.

The whole body of people in fociety is the fovercign power or fate ; which is called, the body politic. Every man forms a part of this ftate, and fo has a thare in the fovereignty; at the fame time, as an individual, he is a fubject of the ftate.

When a fociety is large, the whole fate cannot meet together for the purpofe of making laws; the people therefore agree to appoint deputies, or reprefentativs, to act for them. When thefe agents are chofen and met together, they reprefent the whole ftate, and act as the fovereign power. The people refign their own au thority to their reprefentativs ; the acts of thefe deputies are in effect the acts of the people; and the people have no right to refufe obedience.

It is as wrong to refufe obedience to the laws made by our reprefentativs, as it would be to break laws made
by ourfelves. If a law is bad and produces general harm, the people may appoint new deputies to repeal it; but while it is a law, it is the act and will of the fovereign power, and ought to be obeyed.

The people in free governments, make their own laws by agents or reprefentativs, and appoint the executiv officers. An executiv officer is armed with the authority of the whole flate and cannot be refifted. He cannot do wrong, unlefs he goes beyond the bounds of the laws.

An executiv officer can hardly be too arbitrary; for if the laws are good, they fhould be frictly executed and religioully obeyed: If they are bad, the people can alter or repeal them ; or if the officer goes beyond his powers, he is accountable to thofe who appoint him. A negleet of good and wholefome laws is the bane of fociety.

Judges and all executiv oficers fhould be made as much as poffible, independent of the will of the people at large. 'They fhould be chofen by the reprefentativs of the people and anfwerable to them only: For if they are elected by the people, they are apt to be fwayed by fear and affection; they may difpenfe with the laws, to favor their friends, or fecure their office. Befides, their election is apt to occafion party fpirit, cabals, bribery and public diforder. Thefe are great evils in a ftate, and defeat the purpofes of government.

The people have a right to advife their reprefentativs in certain cafes, in which they may be well informed. But this right cannot often be exercifed with propriety or fafety : Nor fhould their inftrueions be binding on their reprefentativs: For the people, moft of whom live remote from each other, cannot always be acquainted with the general intereft of the fate; they cannot know all the reafons and arguments which may be offered for, or againft a meafure, by people in diftant parts of the fate ; they cannot tell at home, how they thenfelves would think and act, in a general affembly of all the citizens.
In this fituation, if the people of a certain diftrict, bind their reprefentativ to vote in a particular manner, they
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they may bind him to do wrong. They make up their minds, upon a partial view of facts, and form a refolution, which they themfelves, on a fair fate of all the facts, in the general affembly, might fee reafons to change. There have been inftances, in which thefe binding, pofitiv inftructions, have obliged a reprefentativ to give his vote, contrary to the conviction of his own mind and what he thought the good of the ftate ; confequently his vote was a violation of his oath.

But the opinions of the people fhould, if pofible, be collested ; for the general fenfe of a nation is commonby right. When people are well informed, their general opinion is perhaps always right. But they may be uninformed or mifinformed and confequently thear meafures may be repugnant to their own interet. Tinis is often the cafe, with particular diftriats of people ; and hence the bad policy of giving binding inftrustions to reprefentativs. The fenfe of a nation is collested by the opinions of people in particular diftrits ; but as fome of thefe opinions may be wrong, a reprefentativ Should be left with diferetionary powers to act for the good of the ftate.

Reprefentativs are chofen by the inhabitants of certain diftricts, becaufe this is moft convenient: But when they aft as lawgivers, they act for the whole ftate. When a man is confidering the propriety of a general meafure, he is not to be influenced by the intereft of a fingle diffrict or part of a ftate : but by the collectiv intereft of the whole flate. A good lawgiver will not afk folely what is $m y$ intereft, or the interelt of my town or confituents ? but, what will promote the interelt of the community ; ' wobat will produce the greateft pofifible gool, to the greateft number of prople?'

When a legiflativ budy makes laws, it ats for itfolf only, and can alter or repeal the laws when they become inconvenient. But when it makes grants or contracts, it act as a party, and cannot take back its grant, or change the nature of its contrafts, without the confent of the other party. A fate has no more right to neglect or refure to fu!fil its engagements, than an individual.
'There

There may be an exception in the cafe of a grant, for if a fate has made a grant, which, contrary to its expectations, clearly endangers the fafety of the community, it may refume that grant. The public fafety is a confideration fuperior to all others. But the danger muft be great and obvious ; it muft be generally feen and felt, before the ftate can be juftified in recalling its grant. To take back a gift, or break a contract, for fimall caufes or flight inconveniencies, is a moft wanton abufe of power. Bargains, conveyances, and voluntary grants, where two parties are concerned, are facred things; they are the fupports of focial confidence and fecurity; they ought not to be fported with, becaufe one party is ftronger than the ather ; they fhould be religioully obferved.

As the fate has no right to break its own promifes, fo it has no right to alter the promifes of individuals. When one man has engaged to pay his debt in whear, and his creditor expects the promife to be fulfiled, the legillature has no right to fay, the debt fhall be paid in flax or horfes. Such an act faps all the fupports of good faith between man and man ; it is the worft kind of tyranny.

For this reafon, all tender laws, which oblige a creditor to take, for his debt, fome article which he never intended nor engaged to take, are highly $u n j u f t$ and $t y-$ rannical. The intention of the contracting parties fhould be ftrietly regarded ; the ftate may enforce that intention, but can never have a right to interfere and defeat it. A legiflature has no right to put a bargain on any footing, but that on which the parties bave placed it or are zuilling to place it.

If a frate is poor, and people owe more money than can be procured, a legiflature may perhaps go fo far as to furpend the collection of debts; or to ordain that a certain part only of the debts fhall be recoverable immediately, and the payment of the remainder fufpended. This may eafe the debtors ; but can be juftified in extreme cafes only, when the people are gencrally and greatly involved.
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A people fhould not generally be in debt : The confumers of goods fhould not get credit. Heavy and numerous debts are great evils to 2 ftate. If the people will giv and take extenfiv credit, the ftate fhould check their imprudence, by putting debts out of the protection of law. When it becomes a practice to collect debts by law, it is a proof of corruption and degeneracy among the people. Laws and courts are neceffary to fettle controverted points between man and man; but a man fhould pay an acknowledged debt, not becaufe there is a law to oblige him, but becaufe it is juft and boneft, and becaufe he has PROMISED to pay it.

Money, or a medium in trade, is neceffary in all great ftates; but too much is a greater evil than too little. When people can get money without labor, they neglect bulinefs and become idle, prodigal and vicious; and when they have nothing but money, they are poor indeed. Spain was ruined by its mines of gold and litver in South A merica. That kingdom poffeffed all the money in Europe, and yet was the pooreft; it will never be rich and flourifhing, till its mines are exhauftel. The difcovery of rich mines in this country, would be the greateft misfortune, that can befall the United States.

Money is a mere reprefentativ of property; it is the change which facilitates trade. But the wealth of a country is its produce ; and its frength confifts in the number of its induftrious inhabitants. A man cannot become rich, unlef's he earns more than he fpends. It is the fame with a country. The labouring men are the fupport of a nation.

The value of money depends on the quantity in circulation. A medium of trade refipects all commercial sations; and like water, it will find its level. Money will go where it is wanted, if the people have any thing to purchafe it. If one flate or country has more money than another, it is a proof that the people are more indufrious or faving. It would be happy for the world, if no more money could be made : There is already too much. Silver is become very burdenfome, merely becaufe there is too much in the world. If there inere but
one quarter of the money which now circulates, one quarter of a dollar would buy as much as a dollar will now.
Hence the miftaken policy of thofe people who attempt to increafe the medium of trade by coinage of by a paper currency. They can add to the quantity, as much as they pleafe ; but not to the value. If $A-$ merica were fhut out from all intercourfe with other nations, and ten millions of dollars were circulating in the country, every article of life would have a certain price. If in this cafe, wheat thould be one dollar a buthel, let the money be inftantly doubled, the price of wheat would then be two dollars, and the price of every article would rife in the fame proportion. So that twenty millions of dollars would be worth no more than ten, becaufe they would buy no more of the ufeful commodities : America would be no richer in the one cafe than in the other.

But as there is a communication with other nations, a million of dollars, added to the circulating fpecie, does not increafe the permanent medium in quantity ; for juft fo much money as is added, will leave the country. If there is too much money in a country, the price of labor will rife, and the produce cannot find market abroad without a lofs. This was the cafe with American produce, at the clofe of the war. If money is fcarce in a country, the price of labor will be low, and confequently the produce of that country will be cheap at home, and a great profit will be made on the exportation. This profit will be returned, partly in goods and partly in money, and the country is enriched.

But the great principle, which fhould conftitute the corner ftone of government, is public jufice. The fountain head thould be pure, or the fireams will be foul indeed. That Legillatures, or bodies politic, fhould make laws, annex penalties for difobedience, inflitute courts for deciding controverfies and trying offenders, and execute punifhments on thofe that are convicted ; yet at the fame time negleet to do jultice themfelves by paying their own debts; this is of all abfurdities the
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moft glaring. To compel individuals to perform contracts and yet break their own folemn promifes; to punifh individuals for neglect, and yet fet a general example of delinquency, is to undermine the foundation of focial confidence, and thake every principle of commutativ juftice.

Thefe are general principles in government and trade, and ought to be deeply impreffed upon the minds of cvery American.

## No. III.

NEW YORK, 1788.

## B I LLS of RIGHTS.

0NE of the principal objections to the new Federal Conflitution, is, that it contains no Bill of Rights. This objection, I prefume to affert, is founded on ideas of government that are totally falfe. Men feem determined to adhere to old prejudices, and reafon wrong, becaufe our anceftors reafoned right. A Bill of Rights againft the encroachments of Kings and Barons, or againft any power independent of the people, is perfectly intelligible ; but a Bill of Rights againft the encroachments of an electiv Legiflature, that is, againft our own encroachments on ourfelves, is a curiofity in government.

The Englifh nation, from which we defcended, have been gaining their liberties, inch by inch, by forcing concefions from the crown and the Barons, during the courfe of fix centuries.* Magna Charta, which is called the palladium of Englifh liberty, was dated in 1215, and the people of England were not reprefented in Pariiament till the year 1265. Magna Charta eftablifhed the rights of the Barons and clergy againft the encroachinents of royal perogativ; but the commons or people were hardly noticed in that deed. There was hut one claufe in their favor, which fitpulated, that " no villain or ruftic fhould, by any fine, be bereaved of his carts, plows and inftruments of hufbandry." As for the reft, they were confidered as a part of the property belonging to an eftate, and were transferred, as ohher moveables, at the will of their owners. In the fucceeding reign, they vere permitted to fend Reprefentativs

[^9]
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BILLS of RIGHTS.
tativs to Parliament ; and from that time have been gradually affuming their proper degree of confequence in the Britifh Leginaturc. In fuch a nation, every law or fatute that defines the powcrs of the crown, and circumferibes them within determinate limits, muft be confidered as a barrier to guard popular liberty. Every acquifition of freedom muft be eftablifhed as a right, and folemnly recognized by the fupreme power of the nation; left it hould be again refumed by the crown under pretence of ancient prerogativ : For this reafon, the habeas corpus act paffed in the reign of Charles 2d, the ftatute of the 2 d of William and Mary, and many others which are declaratory of certain privileges, are juftly confidered as the pillars of Englifh freedom.

Thefe ftatutes are however not efteemed becaufe they are unalterable; for the fame power that enacted them; can at any moment repeal them; but they are efteemed, becaufe they are barriers crected by the Reprefentativs of the nation, againft a power that exiffs independent of their own choice.

But the fame rcafons for fuch declaratory conflitutions do not exift in America, where the fupreme power is the people in their Reprefentativs. The Bills of Rights, prefixed to fevcral of the conftitutions of the United States, if confidered as affigning the reafons of our feparation from a foreign government, or as folemn deelarations of right againft the encroachments of a foreign jurifdiction, are perfectly rational, and were doubtlefs neceffary. But if they are confidered as barriers againft the encroachments of our own Legiflatures, or as conftitutions unalterable by pofterity, I venture to pronounce them nuratory, and to the laft degree, abfurd.

In our governments, there is no power of legiflation, independent of the people ; no power that has an intereft detached from that of the public ; confequently there is no power exiffing againft which it is neceffary to guard. White our Legiflatures therefore remain electiv, and the rulers have the fame intereft in the laws, as the fubjects have, the rights of the pcople will bo perfectly fecure without any declaration in their favor.

But this is not the principal point. I undertake to prove that a ftanding Bill of Rights is abfurd, becaufe no confitutions, in a free government, can be unalterable. The prefent generation have indeed a right to declare what they deem a privilege; but they have no right to fay what the next generation thall deem a privilege. A State is a fupreme corporate that never dies. Its powers, when it acts for itfelf, are at all times equally extenfiv ; and it has the fame right to repeal a law this year, as it had to make it the laft. If therefore our porterity are bound by our conftitutions, and can neither amend nor annul them, they are to all intents and purpofes our flaves.

But it will be enquired, have we then no right to fay, that trial by jury, the liberiy of the prefs, the habeas corpus writ, and other invaluable privileges, fliall never be infringed nor deftroyed? By no means. We have the fame right to fay that lands fhall defcend in a particular mode to the heirs of the deceafed proprietor, and that fuch a mode fhall never be altered by future generations, as we have to pafs a law that the trial by jury thall never be abridged. The right of Jury trial, which we deem invaluable, may in future ceafe to be a privilege ; or other modes of trial more fatisfactory to the people, may be devifed. Such an event is neither impoffible nor improbable. Have we then a right to fay that our pofterity fhall not be judges of their own circumflances? The very attempt to make perpetzal conflitutions, is the aflumption of a right to control the opinions of future generations ; and to legiflate for thofe over whom we have as little authority as we have over a nation in Afia. Nay we have as little right to fay that trial by jury thall be perpetual, as the Englifh, in the reign of Edward the Confeffor, had, to bind their pofterity forever to decide caufes by fiery Ordeal, or fingle combat. There aate perhaps many laws and regulations, whicis from their confonance to the eternal rules of juftice, will always be good and conformable to the fenfe of a nation. But moft infitutions in fociety, by reafon of an unceafing change of circumfances, either become altogether
altogether improper, or require amendment ; and every nation has at all times, the right of judging of its cirs cumftances and determining on the propriety of changing its laws.

The Englifh writers talk much of the omnipotence of Parliament ; and yet they feem to entertain fome feruples about their right to change particular parts of their conftitution. I queftion much whether Parliament would not hefitate to change, on any occafion, an article of Magna Charta. Mr. Pitt, a few years ago, attempted to reform the mode of reprefentation in Parliament. Immediately an uproar was raifed againft the meafure, as unconfitutional. The reprefentation of the kingdom, when firft eftabliihed, was doubtlefs equal and wife ; but by the increafe of fome cities and boroughs, and the depopulation of others, it has become extremely unequal. In fome boroughs there is fcarcely an elector left to enjoy its privilegcs. If the nation feels no great inconvenience from this change of circumftances, under the old mode of reprefentation, a reform is unnecefflary. But if fucha change has produced any national evils of magnitude enough to be felt, the prefent form of eleeting the Reprefentativs of the nation, however confitutional, and venerable for its antiquity, may at any time be amended, if it fhould be the fenfe of Parliament. The expeciency of the alteration mult always be a matter of opinion ; but all fcruples as to the right of making it are totally groundlefs.

Magna Charta may be confidered as a contract between two parties, the King and the Barons, and no contract can be altered but by the confent of both parties. But whenever any article of that deed or contraft thall become inconvenient or oppreffiv, the King, Lords and Commons may cither amend or annul it at pleafure.

The fame reafoning applies to each of the United States, and to the Federal Republic in general. But an important queftion will arife from the foregoing remarks, which malt be the fubjef of another paper.

No. IV.

## No. IV.

NEW YORK, 1788.

## On G OVERNMENT.

'THE important queftion I propofed to difcufs in this number, is this: "Whether, in a free State, there ought to be any diftinction between the powers of the people, or electors, and the powers of tie Reprefentativs in the Legillature." Or in other words, "whether the legilativ body is not, or ought not to be, a flanding convention, invefted with the whole power of their corfituents."
In fupporting the affirmativ of this queftion, I mult face the opinions and prejudices of my countrymen; yet if we attend clofely to the merits of the queftion, ftripped of all its fpecious covering, we inall perhaps find more arguments in favor of the opinion, than we at firft furpect.
In the firft place, a Legiflature muft be the fupreme power, whofe decifions are laws binding upon the whole State. Unlefs the Leg:lature is the fupreme power, and invefted with all the authority of the State, its acts are not laws, obligatory upon the whole State.* I am fenfible that it is a favorite idea in this country, bandied about from one demagogue to another, that rulers are the fervants of the people. So far as their buinefs is laborious and embarrafing, it implies a degree of fervitude; but in any other view, the opinion is totally falfe. The people ought at leaft to place their rulers, who are generally men of the firt abilities and integrity, on a level with themfeives; for that is an odd kind of government indeed, in which, fervants govern their mafers. The

* The firf convention of deputies in a fate, is ufually defigne: to dirent the mode in which future legiflatures fhall be cogniz ed. This convention cannot abridge the powers of future leginatures, any further than they are abridged by the moral law, which forbids all wrong in general.

The truth is, a Reprefentativ, as an individual, is on ${ }^{3}$ footing with other people; as a Reprefentativ of a State, he is invefted with a flare of the fovereign authority, and is fo far a governor of the people. In thort, the colleetiv body of the Reprefentativs, is the collectiv fenfe and authority of the people; and fo far are the members from being the fervants of the people, that they are juit as much mafers, rulers, governors, whatever appellation we give thein, as the people would be themfelves in a convention of the whole State.

But in the fecond place, the public good or fafety requires that the powers of a Legiflature fhould be coextenfiv with thofe of the people. That a Legiflature fhould be competent to pafs any law that the public fafety and intereft may require, is a pofition that no man will controvert. If therefore it can be proved that the refervation of any power in the hands of the people, may at times interfere with the power of the Legiflature to confult the public intereft, and prevent its excrcife, it mult be acknowleged, that fuch a refervation is not only impolitic, but unjuf. That a Legiflature fhould have unlimited power to do rigbt, is uaqueftionable; but fuch a power they cannot have, unlefs they have all the power of the State; which implics an unlimited power to do zurong. For inflance, fuppofe the conftitution of any flate to declare, that no flanding army thall be kept up in time of peace; then the Legillature cannot raife and maintain a fingle foldier to guard our fronticrs, without violating the conflitution. To fay that new enliftments every year will fave the conftitution, is idle; for if a body of troops raifed for thirty years is a ftanding army, then a body raifed for twenty years, or for fix months, is a flanding army; and tine power to raife troops for a year, is a power to raife them at any time and maintain them forever; but with the addition of much trouble and a load of expenfe. Since therefore there never was, and probably never will be a time, till the millenium fhall arrive, when troops will not be neceffary to guard the frontiers of Staics, a claufe in a conftitution, refrititing a Legif-
lature from maintaining troops in time of peace, will unavoidably difable them from guarding the public intereft. That a power to raife and equip troops at pleafure, may be abufed, is certain ; but arat the public fafety cannot be eftablifhed without that power, is cqually certain. The liberty of a people does not reit on any refervation of power in their hands paramount to their Legiflature ; it reits fingly on this principle, a zinion of intergfs between the governors aind governed. While a Legiflator himfelf, his family and his property, are all liable to the confequences of the laws which he makes for the State, the rights of the people are as fafe from the invafon of power, as they can be on this fide heaven. This union of intereft depends parily on the laws of property; but mofily on the freedom of cliction. The right of electing rulers is the people's prerogativ ; and while this remains unabridged, it is a fufficient barrier to guard all their other rights. This prerogativ fhould be kept facred; and if the people ever fuffer any abridgment of this privilege, it muit be their own tolly and an irrecoverable lofs.

Still further, I maintain that a peopie have no right to fay, that any civil or political regulation hall be perpetual; becaufe they have no right to make laws for thofe who are not in exiftence. This will be admitted; but ftill the people contend that they have a right to prefcribe rules for their Legiflature, rules which fhall not be changed but by the people in a convention. But what is a convention? Why a body of men chofen by the people in the manner they choofe the members of the Legillature, and commonly compofed of the fame men ; but at any rate they are neither wifer nor better. The fenfe of the people is no better known in a convention, than in the Legiflature.*

[^10]But admit the right of eftablifhing certain rules or principles which an ordinary Legiflature cannot change, and what is the confequence ? It is this, a change of circumftances mas fuperfede the propriety of fuch rules, or render alterations necefliary to the fafety or freedom of the State; yet there is no power exifting, but in the people at large, to make the neceffiary alterations. A convention then mult be called to tranfact a bufinefs, which an ordinary Legiflature can tranfaat juft as well; a convention differing from the Legiflature merely in name, and in a few formalities of their proceedings. But when people have enjoyed a tolerable fhare of happinefs under a government, they will not readily ftep out of the common road of proceeding; and evils infenfibly increafe to an enormous degree, before the people can be perfuaded to a change. The refervation therefore of certain powers may, by an imperceptible change of circumftances, prove highly pernicious to a State. For example: When the Commons of England were firft admitted to a thare in the legiflation of that kingdom, which was probably in the reign of Henry III, in 1265,* the reprefentation was tolerably equal. But the changes
were called Convcntions, or parliamentary Conventions: But the difference between thefe Conventions and an ordinary Parliament, is merely a difference in the manner of affembling ; a Convention being an affembly or meeting of Lordsand Commons, on an emergency, without the King's writ, which is the regular conftitutional mode of fummoning them, and by cuftom neceflary to render the meeting a Parliament. But the powers of this affembly, whether denominated a Convention or a Parliament, have ever been confidered as coextenfive and finoreme. I would juft remark further, that the inppofibility of cflablifing ferpetual, or even permanent forms of govermenent, is proved already by the experience of two States in America. Penfylvania and Genrgia, have fuffered under bad Confitutions, till they are glad to go thro the procefs of calling a new. Convention. After the new forms of governinent have heen tricd fome time, the people will difoover new defects, and muft either call a third Convention, or let the governments go on without amendment, becaufe their Legiflatures, which ought to have fupreme power, cannot make altertations. - [1789.]

* This is the date of the firft writs now extant, for fummoning the Knights and Burgeffes.
changes in the population of different parts of the kingdom have deftroyed all equality. The mode of election therefore fhould be reformed. But how fhall it be done? If there is a conflitution in that kingdom, which fettles the mode of election, and that conftitution is an act of the people, paramount to the power of the Parliament, and unchangeable by them, a convention of the people muft be called to make an alteration which would be as well made in Pariiament. This would occafion infinite trouble and expenfe.

But the danger is, that as an cvil of this kind increafes, fo will the lethargy of the people, and their habits of vice and negligence. Thus the difeafe acquires force, for want of an early remedy, and a diffolution cafues. But a Legiflature, which is always watching the public fafety, will more early difcover the approaches of dicorders, and more fpeedily apply a remedy. This is not precifely the cafe with the Britifh conftitution ; for it was not committed at once to parchment and ratified by the people. It confifts rather of praetice, or common law, with fome ftatutes of Parliament. But the Englifh have been too jealous of changing their practice, even for the better. All the writers on the Englifh conftitution agree, that any Parliament can change or amend every part of it ; yet in practice, the idea of an unalterable conflitution has had too much influence in preventing a reform in their reprefentation.

But we have an example nearer home directly in point. The charter of Connechicut declares that each town fhall have liberty to fend one or two deputies to the General Court ; and the conftant practice has been to fend two. While the towns were few, the number of Reprefentativs was not inconvenient ; but fince the complete fettiement of the State, and the multiplication of the towns, the number has fwelled the Legifiature to an unwieldly and expenfive fize. The houfe of Reprefentativs confifts of about 170 members : An attempt has been made, at feveral feffions, to leffen the reprefentation, by limiting each town to one Deputy. A queftion arifes, have the Affembly a right to leffen the reprefentation?
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reprefentation ? In moft States, it would be decided in the negativ. Yet in that State it is no queffion at all ; for there is a flanding law exprefsly delegating the whole power of all the freemen to the Legiflature. But I bring this inftance to prove the poffibility of changes in any fyftem of government, which will require material alterations in its fundamental principles; and the Legiflature fhould always be competent to make the neceifary amendments, or they have not an unlimited power to do right.*

The difinction between the Legiflature and a Convention is, for the firt time, introduced into Connecticut, by the recommendation of the late convention of States, in order to adopt the new conflitution. The Leginature of the State, without adverting to laws or pracicice, immediately recommended a convention for that purpofe. Yet a diftinction between a Convention and a Legifature is, in that State, a palpable abfurdity, even by their own laws; for there is no conftitution in the State, except its laws, which are always repealable by an ordinary Legiflature ; and the laws and uniform prastice, from the firft organization of the government, declure that the Legifature has all the power of all the people. A convention therefore can have no more power, and differs no more from an ordinary Legitlature, than one Legiflature does from another. Or rather it is no more than a Legiflature chofen for one particular purpege of fupremacy; whereas an ordinary Legiflature is competent to all purpofes of fupremacy. But

[^11]But had the Legiflature of that State ratified or rejected the new conftitution, without confulting their conftituents, their act would have been valid and binding. This is the excellence of the conffitution of Comecticut, that the Legiflature is confidered as the borly of the foople; and the people have not been taught to make a diftinction which fhould never exit, and confider themfelves as mafters of their rulers, and their power as paramount to the laws. To this excellence in her frame of government,'that State is indebted for uniformity and fability in public meafures, during a period of one hundred and fifty years; a period of unparalleled tranquillity, never once difturbed by a violent obffruction of juftice, or any popular commotion or rebellion. Wretched indeed would be the people of that State, fhould they adopt the vulgar maxim, that their rulers are their Jeryants. We then may expect that the lazus of thofe fervants will be treated with the fame contempt, as they are in fome other States.*

But from the manner in which government is conftituted, it is evident that there is no power refiding in the State at large, which does not refide in the legiflature. I know it is faid that government originates in compait; but I am very confident, that if this is true, the compact is different from any other kind of compact that is known among men. In all other compaefs, agreements or covenants, the affent of every perfon concerned, or who is to be bound by the compact, is requifite to render it valid and obligatory upon fuch per-
fon.

[^12]fon. But $I$ very much queftion whether this ever takes place in any conftitution of government.

Perhaps fo far there is an implied compast in government, that every man confents to be bound by the opir ion of a majority; but this is all a fuppofition; for the confent of a hundredth part of a fociety is nevcr obtained.

The truth is, government originates in neceffity and itility; and whether there is an implied compact or not, the opinions of the ferv muft be overruled, and fubmit to the opinions of the many. But the opinions of a majority cannot be known, but in an Affeinbly of the whole fociety; and no fart of the fociety has a right to decide upon a meafure which equally affects the whole, without a confultation with the whole, to hear their arguments and objections. It is faid that all power refides in the people ; but it muft te remembercd, thiat let the fupreme power be where it will, it can be exercifed only in an Afcimbly of the whole State, or in an Affermbly of the Reprefentativs of the whole State.

Suppofe the power to refide in the people, yet they cannot, and they have no right to exercife it in their fcattcred diffricts, and the reafon is very obvious ; it is impofible that the propriety of a mealure can be afcertained, without the beft general information, and a full knowlege of the opinions of the men on whom it is to operate.

By opinions here I would not be underRood to mean, the various opinions formed on a view of a particular intereft, for thefe opinions may be obtained by fending to each diftric, and collecting inftructions; but I mean the opinions of the zubole fociety, formed on the information and debates of the whole focicty. There opinions can beformed no where but in a Convention of the whoie State, or of ticicir Reprefonitativs. So far therefore are the people from having a power paramount to that of their Reprefentativs in Convention, that they can exercife no act of fupremacy or legination at all, but in a Convention of the whole State by Reprcfentativs.* Unleís therefore,

* "In a democracy there can be noexercife oflovercignty but by fuffrage : In Engoland, where the people do not debate in a collectiye therefore, it can be proved that a Convention, fo called, which is compofed moftly of the fame men as a Legiflature, pofieffes fome wifdom, power or qualifications, which a Legifiature does not and cannot, then the diffinction is ufelefs and trifling. A Legillature is fuppofed to confift of men whom the people judge beft qualified to fuperintend their interefts; a convention cannot be compofed of better men; and in fact we find it generally compofed of the fame men. If therefore no act of fovereignty can be excrcifed but in an Afiembly of Reprefentalivs, of what confequence is it, whether we call it a Convention or a Legifature? or why is not the Affembly of Reprefentativs of a peopic, at all times a Convention, as well as a Legifature?

To me it appears that a diftinction is made without a difference; but a diftinction that will often prevent good meafures, perpetuate evils in government, and by creating a pretended power paramount to the Legillature, tend to bring laws into contempt.
POSTSCRIPT.-This reafoning applies folely to the individual States, and not to the United States, before they were formed into a federal body. An ims portant dietinction muft be obferved between the ConAtitution of a fovereign State, and of thirtecin diffinct fovereignties. In a fovereign State, whatever they may fuggeft to the contrary, the voices of a majority are binding upon the minority, even in framing the firlt plan of government. In general, a majority of the votes of the Reprefentativs in Legillature or Convention have been admitted as obligatory upon every member of the State, in forming and eftablifhing a Conflitution: But when the Conflitution has been fubmitted to the people, as it is called, in town mectings or other fmall affemblies, the affent of every individual could not be exprefsly obtained ; and the diffent of any number, lefs than half the freemen prefent, who might not be one half the whole number in the State, could not prevent the
collective body, but by reprefentation, the exercife of this fowereignty confifts in the choice of Reprefentatiocs." Blackfone's Com. 6. I. ch. 2. 'I his is the fole power of the people in America.
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the eftablithment of the government, nor invalidate the obligation of every man to fubmit peaceably to its operation. The members of a ftate or community, cannot from necefity, be confidered as parties to a contract, where the affent of every man is neceffary to bind him to a performance of the engagement. But the feveral States, enter into a negociation like contrary parties; they agree that the affent of every individual State, fhall be requifite to bind that State ; and the frame of government, fo agreed upon, is confidered as a compact between independent fovereignties, which derives its binding force from the mutual and unanimous confent of the parties, and not mercly from a neceffity that the major part of the people Chould compel the reft to fubmiffion.

But in this very compact, the States have refigned their independent fovereignty, and become a fingle body or ftate, as to certain purpofes; for they have folemnly contracted with each other, that three fourths of their number may alter and amend the firt compact. They are therefore no longer feparate individuals and contracting parties; but they form a fingle State or body politic ; and a majority of three fourths can exert every act of fovereignty, except in two or three particulars, exprefsly referved in the compact.

No. V.

## No. V.

NEW YORK, I788.

## On G OVERNMENT.

THHE conftitution of Virginia, like that of Connecticut, flands on the true principles of a Republican Reprefentativ Government. It is not fhackled with a Bill of Rights, and every part of it, is at any time, alterable by an ordinary Legiflature. When I fay every part of the conftitution is alterable, I would except the right of ele ions, for the Reprefentativs have not power to prolong the period of their own delegation. This is not numbered among the rights of legillation, and deferves a feparate confideration. This right is not yefted in the Legiflature; it is in the people at large; it cannot be alienated without changing the form of government. Nay the right of election is not only the bafis, but the zubole frame or effience of a republican conflitution ; it is not merely one, but it is the only legiflativ or conflitutional act, which the people at large can with propriety exercife.

The fimple principle for which I contend is this, " That in a reprefentativ democracy, the delegates ciofen for Legiflators ought, at all times, to be competent to every poffible act of legiflation under that form of government ; but not to change that form." Befides it is contrary to all our ideas of deputation or agency for others, that the perfon acting fhould have the power of extending the period of agency beyond the time feecified in his commiflion. The Reprefentativ of a people is, as to his powers, in the fituation of an Attorney, whofe letters commiffion him to do every thing which his conftituent would do, where he on the fpot; but for a limited time only. At the cxpiration of that time his powers ceafe ; and a Reprefentativ has no more right to extend that period, than a pienipotentiary has to renew his commiffion. The Eritifh Parliament, by prolonging
prolonging the period of their exiftence from one to three, and from three to feven years, committed an unjuft act; an act however which has been confirmed by the acquiefcence of the nation, and thus received the higheft confritutional fanction. I am fenfible that the Americans are much concermed for the liberties of the Britifh nation ; and the act for making Parliaments feptennial is often mentioned as an arbitrary, oppreffiv act, deftructiv of Englifh liberty.* The Englith are doubtlefs obliged to us for our tender concern for their happinefs; yet for myfelf I entertain no fuch ideas: The Engiifh have generally underftood and advocated their rights as well as any nation, and I am confident that the nation enjoys as much happinefs and freedom, and much more tranquillity, under feptennial Parliaments, than they would with annual elętions. Corruption to obtain offices will ever attend wealth ; it is generated with it, grows up with it, and will always fill a country with violent factions and illegal practices. Such are the habits of the people, that money wili have a principal influence in carrying elections; and fuch vaft fums are neceffiary for the purpofe, that if elections were annual, none but a few of the wealthieft men could defray the expenfe; the landholders of modcrate eftates would not offer themfelves as candidates; and thus in fact annual elections, with the prefent habits of the people, would actually diminilh the influence of the Commons, by throwing the advantage into the hands of a corrupt miniftry, and a few overgrown nabobs. Before annual elections would be a bleffing to the Englifh, their habits muft be changed; but this cannot be effected by human force. I wifh my countrymen would believe that other nations underftand and can guard their privileges, without any lamentable outcries from this fide of the Atlantic. Government will always take its complexion from the habits of the people ; habits are continually changing from age to age; a body of Legillators taken from the people, will generally repre-

[^13]fent thefe habits at the time when they are chofen: Hence thefe two important conclufions, ift, That a leginlativ body fhould be frequently renewed and always taken from the people : 2d, That a government which is perpetual, or incapable of being accommodated to every change of national habits, muft in time become a bad government.

With this view of the fubject, I cannot fupprefs my furprife at the reafoning of Mr. Jefferfon on this very point.* He coniders it as a defect in the conftitution of Virginia, that it can be altered ly an ordinary Legiflature. He obferves that the Convention which framed the prefent conftitution of that State, "received no powers in their creation which were not given to every Legiflature before and fince. So far and no farther authorifed, they organized the government by the ordinance entitled a Conftitution or form of govcinment. It pretends to no higher authority than the other ordinances of the fame fefion ; it does not fay, that it fhall be perpetual ; that it fhall be unalterable by other Legillatures; that it fhall be tranfeendant above the powers of thofe, who they knew would have equal powers with themfelves."

But fuppofe the framers of this ordinance had faid, that it fould be perpetual and unalterable; fuch a declaration would have been void. Nay, altho the people themfelves had individually and unanimounly declared the ordinance perpetual, the declaration would have been invalid. One Afiembly cannot pafs an act, binding upon a fubfequent Afembly of equal authority; $\dagger$ and the people in 1776 , had no authority, and confequently could delegate none, to pafs a fingle act which the people in 1777, could not repeal and annul. And Mr. Jefferfon himfelf, in the very next fentence, affigns a reafon, which is an unanfwerable argument in favor of my pofition, and a complete refutation of his own. Thefe are his words. "Not only the filence of the inftrument is a proof they thought it would be alterable, but

* Notes on Virginia, page 197. Lond. Edit. Query 13. 'Contracts, where a Legiflature is a party, are excepted.
but their own practice alfo: For this very Convention, meeting as a Houfe of Delegates in General Affembly with the new Senate in the autumn of that year, paffed acts of Affembly in contradiction to their ordinance of government ; and every AJembly from that time to this, bas done the fame."

Did Mr. Jefferfon reflect upon the inference that would be juftly drawn from thefe facts? Did he not confider that he wäs furnifhing his opponents with the moft effectual weapons againt himfelf? The acts pafied by cevery fulfequent Affembly in contradietion to the firf ordinance, prove that all the Affemblies were fallible men; and confequently not competent to make perpetual Conתitutions for future generations. To give Mr. Jefferfon, and the other advocates for unchangeable Confitutions, the fulleft latitude in their argument, I will fuppofe every freeman of Virginia, could have been affembled to deliberate upon a form of government, and that the prefent form, or even one more perfect, had been the refult of their Councils ; and that they had declared it unalterable. What would have been the confequence ? Experience would probably have difcovered, what is the fact ; and what forever will be the cafe ; that Conventions are not poffeffed of infinite wifdom; that the wifert men cannot devife a perfect fyftem of government. After all this folemn national tranfaction, and a formal declaration that their proceedings fhould be unalterable, fuppofe a fingle article of the Conffitution fhould be found to interfere with fome national ber:* efit, fome material advantage ; where would be the power to change or reform that article? In the fame general Affembly of all the people, and in no other body. But muft a State be put to this inconvenience, to find a remedy for every defect of conftitution ?

Suppofe, hovever, the Corvention had been empowered to declare the form of government unalterable: What would have been the confequence? Mr. Jefferfon himfelf has related the confequence. Every fucceeding Affembly has found errors or defcets in that frame of government, and has happily applied a remedy. But had
not every Legillature had power to make thefe alterations, Virginia muft have gone thro the farce, and the trouble of calling an extraordinary Legiflature, to do that which an ordinary Legiflature could do juft as well, in their annual feffion; or thofe errors muft have remained in the conftitution, to the injury of the State.

The whole argument for Bills of Rights and unalterable Conltitutions refts on two fuppolitions, viz. that the Convention which frames the government, is infallible ; and that future Legiflatures will be lefs honeft, lefs wife, and lefs attentiv to the intereft of the State, than a prefent Convention: The firft fuppofition is always falfe, and the laft is generally fo. A declaration of perpetuity, annexed to a form of government, implies a fuppofition of perfect wijdom and probity in the framers; which is both arrogant and impudent ; and it implies a fuppofed power in them, to abridge the power of a fucceeding Convention, and of the future ftate or body of people. The laft fuppofition is, in every poffible inftance of legiflation, fale ; and an attempt to exercife fuch a power, a high handed act of tyranny. But fetting afide the argument, grounded on a want of power in one Affembly to abridge the power of another, what occafion have we to be fo jealous of future Legillatures? Why fhould we be fo anxious to guard the future rights of a nation? Why fhould we not diftruf the people and the Reprefentativs of the prefent age, as well as thofe of future ages, in whofe acts we have not the fmalleft intereft? For my part, I believe that the peeple and their Reprefentativs, two or three centuries hence, will be as honeft, as wife, as faithful to themfelves, and will underfand their rights as well, and be as able to defend them, as the people are at this-period. The contrary fuppofition is abfurd.

I know it is faid, that other nations have loft their liberties by the ambitious defigns of their rulers, and we may do the fame. The experience of other nations, furnifhes the ground of all the arguments ufed in favor of an unalterable confitution. The advocates feem determined that pofterity fall not lofe their liberty, even
even if they fhould be willing and defirous to furrender it. If a few declarations on parchment, will fecure a fingle bleffing to pofterity, which they would otherwife lofe, I refign the argument, and will receive a thoufand declarations. Yet fo thoroughly convinced am I of the oppofite tendency and effect of fuch unalterable declarations, that, were it poffible to render them valid, I fhould deem every article an infringement of civil and political liberty. I fhould confider every article as a reftriction which might impofe fome duty which in time might ceafe to be uleful and neceffary, while the obligation of performing it might remain; or which in its operation might prove pernicious, by producing effésts which were not expected, and could not be forefeen. There is no one fingle right, no privilege, which is commonly deemed fundamental, which may not, by an unalterable eftablifhment, preclude fome amendment, fome improvement in future adminittration of government. And untefs the advocates for unalterable conftitutions of government, can prevent all changes in the wants, the inclinations, the habits, and the circumftances of people, they will find it difficult, cven with all their declarations of unalterable rights, to prevent changes in government. A paper declaration is a yery feeble barrier againft the force of national habits, and inclinations.

The lofs of liberty, as it is called, in the kingdoms of Europe, has, in feveral inftances, been a mere change of government, effected by a change of habits, and in fome inftances this change has been favorable to liberty. The governinent of Denmark, was changed from a mixed form, like that of England, to an abfolute monarchy, by a foiemn deliberate act of the people or States. Was this a lofs of liberty? So far from it, that the change removed the oppreflions of faction, reftored liberty to the fubjef and ranquility to the kingdom. The change was a bleffing to the people. It indeed lodged a power in the Prince to difpofe of life and property ; but at the fame time it lodged in him a power to defend both; a power which before was lodged no where; and
it is infinitely better that fuch a power fhould be vefted in a fingle band, than that it fhould not exiff at all. The monarcly of France has grown out of a number of petty ftates and lordhhips ; yet it is a fact, proved by hiftory and experience, that the fubjects of that kingdom have acquired liberty, peace and happinefs, in proportion to the diminution of the powers of the petty fovereignties, and the extenfion of the prerogativs of the Monarch. It is faid that Spain loft her liberties under the reign of Charles Vth ; but I queftion the truth of the affiertion; it is probable that the fubject has gained as much by an abridgement of the powers of the nobility, as he loft by an annihilation of the Cortez. The United Netherlands fought with more bravery and perfeverance to preferve their rights, than any other people fince the days of Leonidas; and yet no fooner eftablifhed a government, fo jealoully guarded as to defeat its own defigns, and prevent the good effects of government, than they neglected its principles; the freemen refigned the privilege of election, and committed their liberties to a rich ariftocracy. There was no compulion, no external force in producing this revolution; but the form of government, which had been eftablifhed on paper, and folemnly ratified, was not fuited to the genius of the fubjects. The burghers had the right of electing their rulers; but they neglected it voluntarily; and a bill of rights, a perpetual conflitution on parchment, guaranteeing that right, was a uielefs form of words, bécaufe oppofed to the temper of the people. The government affumed a complexion, more correfpondent to their habits, and tho in theory no conflitution is more cautioufly guarded againft an infringement of popular privileges, yet in practice it is a real ariftocracy.

The progrefs of government in England has been the reverfe: The people have been gaining freedom by intrenching upon the powers of the nobles and the royal prerogativs. Thefe changes in government do not proceed from bills of rights, unalterable forms and perpctual eftobliftments; liberty is never fecured by fuch paper declarations, nor loft for want of them. The truth is,

Government originates in neeeffity, and takes its form and ftruqure from the genius and habits of the people; and if on paper a form is not accommodated to thore habits, it will affume a new form, in fite of all the formal fanctions of the fupreme authority of a State. Were the monarchy of France to be diffolved, and the wifett fyltem of republican government ever invented, folemniy declared, by the King and his council, to be the conflitution of the kingdom; the people with their prefent habits, would refufe to receive it ; and refign their privileges to their beloved fovereign. But fo oppofite are the habits of the Americans, that an attempt to erect a monarchy or an ariftocracy over the United States, would expofe the authors to the lofs of their heads.* The truth is, the people of Europe, fince they have become civilized, have, in no kingdom, poffeffed all the true principles of liberty. They could not therefore lofe what they never poffeffed. There have been, from time immemorial, fome rights of government, fome prerogativs vefted in fome inan or body of men, independent of the fuffrages of the body of the fubjects. This circumftance diftinguifhes the governments of Europe and of all the world, from thofe of America. There has been in the free nations of Europe an incerfant fruggle between freedom or national rights, and hereditary prerogativs. The conteft has ended varioufly in different kingdoms; but generally in depreffing the power of the nobility ; afcertaining and limiting the prerogativs of the crown, and extending the privileges of the people. The Americans have feen the records of their ftruggles ; and without confidering that the objects of the conteft do not exift in this country; they are laboring to guard rights which there is no party to attack. They are as jealous of their rights, as if there exifted liere a King's prerogativs, or the powers of nobles, independent of their own will and choice, and ever eager

[^14]to fwallow up their liberties. But there is no man in America, who claims any rights but what are common to every man; there is no man who has an intereft in invading popular privileges, becaufe his attempt to curtail another's rights, would expofe his own to the fame abridgement. The jealoufy of people in this country has no proper object againft which it can rationally arm them; it is therefore directed againg themfelves, or againft an invafion which they imagine may happen in future ages. The conteft for perpetual bills of rights againft a future tyranny, refembles Don Quixote's fighting windmills; and I never can reflect on the declamation about an unalterable confitution to guard certain rights, without wifhing to add another article, as neceffary as thofe that are generally mentioned; viz. "that no future Convention or Legiflature mall cut their own throats, or thofe of their conftituents." While the habits of the Americans remain as they are, the people will choofe their Legillature from their own body; that Legiflature will have an intereft infeparable from that of the people, and therefore an act to reftrain their power in any article of legiflation, is as unneceffary as an act: to prevent them from committing fuicide.

Mr. Jefferfon, in anfwer to thofe who maintain that the form of government in Virginia is unalterable, becaufe it is called a confitution, which, "ex vi termini, means an áct above the power of the ordinary Legiflature, afferts that confitution, fatute, law and ordinance, are fynonymous terms, and convertible as they are ufed by writers on government. Conftitutio dicitur jus quod a principe conditur. Conftitutum, quod ab imperatoribus refcriptum fatutumve eft. Statutum, idem quod lex.* Here the words confitution, fatute and lawv, are defined by each other ; they were ufed as convertable terms by all former writers, whether Roman or Britifh; and before the terms of the civil law were introduced, our Saxon anceftors ufed the correfpondent Englifh words, bid and fet. $\dagger$ From hence he concludes that

[^15]that no inference can be drawn from the meaning of the word, that a confitution has a higher authority than a law or flatute. This conclution of Mr. Jefferfon is juft.

He quotes Lord Coke alfo to prove that any parliament can abridge, furpend or qualify the aats of a preceding Parliament. It is a maxim in their laws, that " Leges pofteriores priores contrarias abrogant." After having fully proved that confitution, fuitute, law and ordinance, are words of firmilar import, and that the confitution of Virginia is at any time alterable by the ordinary Legifiture, he proceeds to prove the danger to which the rights of the people are expofed, for want of an unalterable form of government. The firt proof of this danger he mentions, is, the power which the Affembly exercifes of determining its own quorum. The Britifh Parliament fixes its own quorum : The former Aflemblies of Virginia did the fame. During the war the Legiflature determined that forty members fhould be a quorum to proceed to bufinefs, alcho not a fourth part of the whole houfe. The danger of delay, it was juciged, would wartant the meafure. This precedent, our writer fuppofes, is fubverfive of the principles of the government, and dangerous to liberty.

It is a difiate of natural law that a majority Boukd govern; and the principle is univerfally received and eftablifhed in all focieties, where no other mode has been arbitrarily fixed. 'This natural right cannot be alienated in perpettum ; for altho a Legifiature, or even the body of the people, may refign the powers of government to forty, or to four men, when they pleafe, yet they may likewife refume them at pleafure.

The people may, if they pleafe, create a dictator on an emergency in war, but his creation would not dcferoy, but merely fulpend the natural right of the Lex majoris partis. Thus forty members, a minority of the Legiflature of Vitginis, were cmpowered during a dangerous invafion, to legiflate for the State ; but any fubfequent Affembly might have divefted them of that power. During the operation of the law, vefting them with this
power, their acts were binding upon the State ; becaufe their power was derived from the general fenfe of the State; it was actually derived from a legal majority. But that majority could, at any moment, refume the power and practice on their natural right.

It is a fanding law of Connecticut, that forty men fhall be a quorum of the Houfe of Reprefentativs, which confiffs of about 170 members. This law, I am confident, never excited a murmur, or a fufpicion that the liberties of the people were in danger; yet this law creates an oligarshy; it is an infringement of natural right; it fubjecis the State to the poffibility, and even the probability of being governed at times by a minority. The acquiefcence of the State, in the exitence of the law, gives validity, and even the fanction of a majority, to the acts of that minority ; but the majority may at any time refume their natural right, and make the affient of more than half of the members, neceffary to give validity to their determinations.

The danger thercfore arifing from a power in the Affembly to determine their own quorum, is merely ideal, for no law can be perpetual ; the authority of a majority of the people, or of their Reprefentativs, is always competent to repeal any act that is found unjuft or inconvenient. The acquiefcence however of the people of the States mentioned, and that in one of them for a long courfe of years, under an oligarchy ; or their fubmiflion to the power of a minority, is an inconteftible proof of what 1 have before obferved, that theories and forms of government are empty things; that the fpirit of a government frings immediately from the temper of the, people, and the exercife of it will generally take its tone from their feelings. It proves likewife that a union of interefs between the rulers and the people, which union will always coexilt with free elections, is not only the beft, but the only fecurity for their liberties which they can wifh for and demand. The Government of Connecticut is a folid proof of thefe truths. The Afferibly of that State, have always had power to abolifh trial by jury, to reftrain the liberty of the prefs, to fufpend the habeas
habeas corpus act, to maintain a ftanding army, in thort to command every engine of defpotifin; yet by fome means or other, it happens that the rights of the people are not invaded, and the fubjects have generally been better fatisfied with the laws, than the people of any other State. The reafon is, the Legiflature is a part of the people, and has the fame intereff. If a law fhould prove bad, the Legiflature can repeal it ; but in the unalterable bills of rights in fome of the States, if an article fhould prove wrong and oppreffiv, an ordinary Legiflature cannot repeal or amend it ; and the State will hardly think of calling a fpecial Convention for fo trifling a purpofe. There are fome articles, in feveral of the State Conftitutions, which are glaring infractions of the firft rights of freemen ; yet they affest not a majorisy of the community; and centuries may elapfe before the evil can be redrefled, and a refpectable clafs of men reftored to the enjoyment of their rights.*

To prove the want of an unalterabbe Confitution in Virginia, Mr. Jefferfon informs us that in 1776, during the diftreffed circumftances of the State, a propofition was made in the Houfe of Delegates to create a Diftator, invefted with every power, legiflativ, executiv and judicial, civil and military. In June, 178 r , under a great calamity, the propofition was repeated, and was near being paffed. By the warmth he difcovers in reprobating this propofal, one muff fuppofe that the creation of a Diftator even for a few months, would have buried every remain of freedom. Yet he feems to allow that the ftep would have been juffified, had there exifted an irreffible neceffity.

## Altho

[^16]Altho it is poffible that a cafe may happen, in which the creation of a Dictator might be the only refort to fave life, liberty, property and the State, as it happened in Rome more than once ; yet I hould dread his power as much as any man, were 1 not convinced that the fame men that appointed him, could, in a moment, Atrip him of his tremendous authority. A Dictator, with an army fuperior to the ftrength of the State, would be a defpot ; but Mr. Jefferfon's fears feem grounded on the authority derived from the Legillature. A conceffion of power from the Legiflature, or the people, is a voluntary fufpenfion of a natural unalienable right; and is refumeable at the expiration of the period fpecified, or the moment it is abufed. A State can never alienate a natural right; for it cannot legiflate for thofe who are not in exiftence. It may confent to fufpend that right for great and temporary purpofes; but were every freeman in Virginia to aflent to the creation of a perpetual Dictator, the act in itfelf would be void. The expedient of creating a Dictator is dangerous, and no free people would willingly refort to it ; but there may be times when this expedient is neceffary to fave a State from ruin, and when every man in a State would cheerfully give his fuffrage for adopting it. At the fame time, a temporary inveftiture of unlimited powers in one man, may be abufed; it may be an influential precedent ; and the continuance of it, may furnifh the Dictator with the means of perpetuating his office. The diftrefs of a people muft be extreme, before a ferious thought of a Dictator can be juftifiable. But the people who create, can annihilate a Dictator ; cheir right to govern themfelves cannot be refigned by any act whatever, altho extreme cafes may vindicate them in furpending the exercife of it. Even prefcription cannot exift againft this right; and every nation in Europe has a natural right to depofe its King, and take the government into its own hands ; altho it may forever be inexpedient for any of them to exercife the right.


NEW YORK, 1788.

## On G OVERNMENT.

IHAVE faid,* "that the people ought rot to give binding inftrustions to Reprefentativs." "That they cannot exercife any act of fupremacy or legillation at all but in a Convention of the whole State, or of the Reprefentativs of the whole State." And "That the right of election is the only conftitutional right which they can with propriety exercife." That thefe politions, however repugnant to the. received opinions of the prefent age, are capable of political demonftration, is to me unqueftionable. They all convey nearly the fame idea, and if true, they contravene, in fome meafure, a fundamental maxim of American politics, which is, that " the fovereign power refides in the people."

I am not defirous of fubverting this favorite maxim; but I am very defirous it fhould be properly qualified and underfood; for the abufe of it is capable of fhaking any government ; and I have no doubt that the miftakes which this maxim has introduced, have been the principal fources of rebellion, tumult and diforder in Several of the American Srates.

It is doubtlefs true, that the individuals who compore a political fociety or ftate, have a fovereign right to eftablifh what form of government they pleate in their own territories. But in order to deliberate upon the fubject, they muft all convene together, as in Rome and Athens; or muft fend deputies, velted with powers to a\&t for them, as is the practice in England and America. If they adopt the firft method, then the Supreme Legillativ power refides, to all intents and purpofes, in the whole body of the people. If, from the local circumftances of the people, the whole body cannot meet for deliberation,

[^17]eration, then the Legiflativ powers do not refide in the people at large, but in an affembly of men delegated by the whole body.

To prove this laft pofition, it is neceffary to enquire, what is the object of law, and on what principles nught it to be founded ? A law, if I underftand the term, is an act of the subole State, operating upon the whole State, either by command or prohibition: It is thus diftinguithed from a refolve which more properly refpects an individual or a part of the State.* The object of a law is to prevent pofitiv evil or produce pofitiv good to the whole State; not merely to a particular part. The principle therefore on which all laws fhould be founded, is, a regard to the greateft good which can be produced to the greate $l$ number of individuals in the State. The principle is fo obvious, that I prefume it will not be controverted. Permit me then to enquire, whether the people of any diftriet, county or town, in their local meetings, are competent to judge of this general good? A law, which is, in its operation general, muft be founded on the beft general information: The people themfelves have no right to confent to a law, without this general information : They have no right to confent to a law, on a view of a local intereft; nor without hearing the objections and arguments, and examining the amendments, fuggefted by every part of the community, which is to be affeeted by that law. To maintain the contrary

[^18]trary is to defend the moft glaring contradictions. But can the inhabitants, in detached affociations, be acquainted with there objections and arguments? Can they know the minds of their brethren at the diftance of three or five hundred miles? If they cannot, they do not porfefs the right of legiflation. Little will it avail to fay, that the people acquire the neceflary information by newfpapers, or other periodical publications: There are not more than two States in the thirteen, where one half the freemen read the publie papers. But if every freeman read the papers, this would not give him the information neceffary to qualify him for a Leginator ; for but a fmall part of the intelligence they contan is official, which alone can be the ground of law ; nor can the collectiv fenfe of a nation or fate be gathered from newfo papers. The whole body of people, or Reprefentativs of the whole body, are the only vehicles of information which can be trufted, in forming a judgement of the true intereft of the whole State.

If the collecriv fenfe of a State is the bafis of law, and that fenfe can be known officially no where but in an Affembly of all the people or of their Reprefentativs; or in other words, if there can be no fuch thing as a collection of Sentiments made in any other manner, than by a Convention of the whole people or their Delegates, where is the right of inflructing Reprefentatius? The fenfe of the people, taken in fmall meetings, without a general knowlege of the objections, and reafonings of the whole State, ought nat to be confidered as the true fenfe of the State ; for not being poffeffed of the beft general information, the people often form wrong opinions of their own intereft. Had I the journals of the feveral L.egiņatures in America, I would prove to every man's fatisfaction, that moof of the fchemes for paper money, tender laws, fufpenfion of laws for the recovery of debts, and moft of the deftructiv meafures which have been purfued by the States, have originated in towns and counties, and been carried by pofitiv inftru?tions from conftituents to Reprefentativs. The freemen, in thefe. cafes, have wrong ideas of their own intereft ; their er-
ror, in the firft inftance, is afcribeable merely to ignorance, or a want of that juft information, which they themfelves would obtain in a General Affembly.* The right therefore of prefcribing rules to govern the votes of Reprefentativs, which is fo often affumed, frequently amounts to a right of doing infinite mifchief, with the beft intentions. There is perhaps no cafe in which the people at large are fo capable of knowing and purfuing their own intereft, as their Delegates are when affembled for confultation and debate. But the practice of giving binding infructions to Reprefentativs, if it has any foundation, is built on this maxim, that the conftituents, on a view of their local interefts, and either with none, or very imperfect information, are better judges of the propriety of a law, and of the general good, than the moft judicious men are (for fuch generally are the Reprefentativs) after attending to the beft official information from every quarter, and after a full difcuffion of the fubject in an Affermbly, where clafhing interefts confíire to detect error, and fuggef improvements. This maxim is obvioufly falfe; and a practice built on it, cannot fail to produce laws; inaccurate, contradictory, capricious and fubverfive of the firft rights of men. Perhaps no country, except America, ever experienced the fatal effects of this practice, and I blufh to remark, what candor itfelf muft avow, that few arbitrary governments, haye in fo fhort a period, exhibited fo many legal infraciions of facred right; fo many public invafions of private property ; fo many wanton abufes of legillativ powers ! Yet the people are generally honeft; and as well informed as the people of any country. Their errors procced from ignorance ; from falfe maxims of governments. The people attempt to legillate without the neceffary qualifications for lawgivers; yes, they legiflate at home! and while this practice fubfifts, our public meafures will be often weak, imperfeit, and changeable ; and fometimes extremely iniquitous. From thefe confiderations,

[^19]confiderations, it appears that the powers of a Reprefentativ fhould be wholly difcretionary when he acts as a Legiflator ; but as an agent for a town or fmall fociety, he may have pofitiv inftructions. His conftituents, in the laft cafe, are competent to infrult him, becaufe they are the whole body concerned ; but in the firft inftance, they are but a part of the State, and not competent to. judge fully of the intereft of the whole.

Io place the matter in the ffrongeft point of light, let us.fuppofe a fmall State, in which the whole body of people meet for the purpofe of making laws. Suppofe in this democracy, the people of a town or other diftrit. fhould defire a particular aft, for inftance, a tender law. Would the inhabitants of this town, have a right to meet a few weeks before the General Afiembly, where they all would expect to be prefent, to debate and vote; and in this town meeting take an oath, or otherwife bind themfelves to vote for the act? Would they have 3 right to fhut their ears againft argument ; to lay a reftraint upon their own minds; to exclude the poffibility of conviction, and folemnly fwear to vote in a certain manner, whether right or wrong! If in this cafe, the people of a diftrict have no right to lay a reftraint upon themfelves before they enter the General Aflembly, neither have they a right, in reprefentativ democracies, to lay fuch a reftraint upon their Delegates. The very reafon why they are incompetent to direct their Deputies, is that they cannot determine how to act themfelves, till they come into the Afiembly. The very doctrine of reprefentation in government excludes the right of giving binding inftructions to Deputies. 'The defign of choofing Reprefentativs is to collect the zuifdom of the State ; the Deputies are to unite their Councils; to meet and conjult for the public fafety: But pofitiv inftructions prevent this effiedt ; they are dictated by local interefts, or opinions formed on an imperfect view of facts and arguments ; in thort they totally counteract the good effects of public deliberations, and prevent thofe falutary meafures which may refult from united Councils. They make the opinions of a fuall
part of the State a rule for the whole; they imply a decifon of a queftion, before it is heard; they reduce a Reprefentativ to a mere machine, by refraining the exercife of his reafon ; they fubvert the very principles of republican government.

But let us attend to the inconfiftency of the practice. The oath required of a Reprefentativ, before he takes his feat, binds him to vote or aft from a regard to the public good, according to bis judgement and the beft of bis abilities. Some of the Conffitutions contain an oath that binds a Reprefentativ, nor to afent to, or vote for, any. all that be foall decm injurious to the people. But what opinion, what judgement can a man exercife, who is under the reffraint of pofitiv inftructions ? Suppofe a man fo inftrufted fhould in confcience believe that a bill, if enacted, would be prejudicial to his conftituents, yet his orders bind him to vote for it ; how would he act between his oath and his inftructions? In his oath hehas fworn to aft according to his judgment, and for the good of the people; his inftructions forbid him to ufe his judgment, and bind him to vote for a law which he is convinced will injure his conftituents. He muft then either abandon his orders or his oath ; perjury or difobedience is his only alternativ.
This is no imaginary fituation : I prefume that many men have experienced it. One very worthy member of the Legiflature in this State* a few ycars fince, was in that very predicament; and I heard him exprefs great anxiety upon the occafion.

How noble was the conduct of that gentleman in Sandwich (Mafi.) who, being chofen to reprefent the town in the late Convention, and inftructed to vote againf the Conflitution, at all events; notwith Alanding any thing that might be foid in favor of it ; rather than fubmit to be fettered in this manner, refigned his appointment. The name of this gentleman, Thomas Bourn, Efq. ought to be held in veneration by every true friend to his country, and his addrefs to the electors on that occation, ought to be written in letters of gold. It is

[^20]recorded in thefe words : "Fellow Townfmen-The line of conduct which has appeared to me right, I have ever wifhed to purfue. In the decline of life, when a few revolving funs at mof will bring me to the bar of impartial juftice, I am unwilling to adopt a different, and lefs honeft mode of aeting. It is true, my fentiments at prefent are not in favor of the Conftitution ; open however to conviction, they may be very different, when the fubjeft is fairly difcuffed by able and upright men. To place myfelf in a fituation, where conviftion could be followed only by a bigotted perfiftence in error; would be extremely difagreeable to me. Under the reftrictions with which your Delegates are fettered, the greatef ideot may anfwer your purpole as well as the greatef man. The fuffrages of our fellow men, when they neither repofe confidence in our integrity, nor pay a tribute of refpect to our abilities, can never be agreeable. I am therefore induced pofitivly to decline accepting a feat in Convention, whilft I fincerely wifh you, gentlemen, and my countrymen, every bleffing which a wife and virtuous adminiftration of a free government can fecure."

Such a bold and honeft independence of mind are the marks of a good Legiflator. With fuch men as Mr. Bourn, in the legiflativ department, our lives, liberties and properties are fafe. Such a genius, rifing amidft the oblcurity of errors and falfe maxims; like a ftar emerging from chaos, fpreads the rays of truth and illuminates the furrounding hemifphere. Confidering the circumftances in which this gentleman was then placed, I had rather be the author of that fhort addrefs, than of all the labored differtations which have been written upon the propofed conftitution.

Another error, which is connected with the practice of inftructing Reprefentativs, and may perhaps be one caufe of it, is the opinion that a Deputy chofen by a certain number of freemen, is their Reprefentativ only or particularly: It feems to be believed that a Reprefentativ is bound to attend to the particular interef? of the men who elect bim, rather than to the general interef. If this
were true, it would obviate, in fome meafure, the objections againft inftructions. But with refpect to every general act, the opinion is clearly falle. The reafon why men are chofen by fmall focieties of freemen, and not by the whole body, is, that the whole body cannot be well acquainted with the moft able men in the different parts of the State. It is the beff expedient to correct the defects of government, or rather, it is the beft practicable mode of election. To render the mode perfect, the whole body of freemen fhould be at liberty to choofe their Delegates from the whole body. This would deftroy, in a great meafure, the local views and attachments which now embarrafs government ; every Reprefentativ would be chofen by the whole body; and the intereft of the whole number of conftituents would be his object.

This mode is either impracticable or hazardous; notwithflanding this, when a Delegate is elected by a part of the State, he is really the Reprefentativ of the zubole, as much as if he were elected by the whole. The conflituents of every Reprefentativ are not folely thore who voted for him, but the whole State, and the man that achs from a local intereft, and attends merely to the wifhes of thofe men who elected him, violates his oath, and abufes his truft. Hence the abfurdity of inftructions, which are generally diftated by a partial intereft, and can perhaps in no cafe be the fole rule of a Legiflator's conduct. When therefore a Reprefentativ fays, fuch is the wijh of my confituents; fuch are their directions; his declaration is but partially true ; for his infructions are the wifhes of a part only of his conflituents. His conflituents, whom he actually reprefents, and whofe greateft intereft is the fole rule of his conduct, are the whole body of freemen. This is an important truth, and I muft repeat it ; the man who is deputed to make laws for a State, and fuffers a local intereft to influence his conduct, abufes a facred truft; and the Reprefentativ who obeys his infructions, in oppofition to the conviction of his own mind, arifing from a general view of public good, is guilty of a /pecies of perjury.

Such are the opinions, which after long deliberation, I have formed refpecting the principles of a republicar government. I feel a diffidence in publifhing fentiments fo repugnant to the principles received by my countrymen, and recognized by fome of the State Conflitutions. But a ftrong perfuafion of the truth of thefe opinions, acquired by reafoning, and confirmed by feveral years obfervations, forbids ine to fupprefs them.
A fummary of the truths, deduced from the foregoing reafoning, is this : That the power of a State is at all times equal ; that neither the people themfelves, not a Convention of their Delegates, have cither the power or the right to make an unalterabie Conftitution ; that the power of creating a legiflativ body, or the fovereign right of election, is folely in the people; but the fovereign power of making laws is folely in an Affembly of their Reprefentativs; that the people have no right to give binding inftructions to their Reprefentativs; confequently a diftinction between a Convention and a $\operatorname{Leg}_{-}$ iflature, can be merely a difference of forms; that Reprefentativs have no right to prolong the period of their delegation; that being taken from the mafs of the people, and having a common intereft with them, they will be influenced, even by private intereft, to promote the public good; and that fuch a government, which is a novelty on earth, is perhaps the beft that can be framed, and the only form which will always have for its objeet, the general good.

No. VII.

## No. VII.

## PHILADELPHIA, I787.

## REMARKS on the MANNERS, GOVERNMENT, and DEBT of the UNites States.

$S$INCE the declàration and eftablifhment of a general peace, and fince this country has had an opportunity to experience the effects of her independence, events have taken place, which were little expected by, the friends of the revolution. It was expected, that on the ratification of peace, by the belligerent powers, A merica would enjoy perfect political tranquillity. The Atatefman in his clofet, and the divine in his addreffes to heaven, predicted and anticipated the happỳ period, when every man would reft, unmolefted, under his own vine and his own fig tree. The merchant forefaw, in vifion, the ports of all nations open to his fhips, and the returns of a favorable commerce pouring wealth into his coffers. The honeft laborer, in the foop and the field, was told that independence and peace would forever remove the fears of oppreffion, would lighten his burthen, and give him legal fecurity for the uninterrupted poffeflion of his rights. This flattering profpect infpired an irrefiftible enthufiafm in war. The contention for freedom was long and arduous ; the prize was obtained ; the delufion vanifhed, and America is furprized at the difappointment.
Inftead of general tranquillity, one State has been involved in a civil war, and moft of them are torn with factions, which weaken or deftroy the energy of govcrnment. Inftead of a free commerse with all the world, our trade is every where fettered with reftraints and impofitions, dictated by foreign intereft : and inthead of pouring wealth into our country, its prefent
tendency is, to impoverifl both the merchant and the pubiic. Intead of legal fecurity of rights under governinents of our own choice, and under our own control, we find property at leaft unfafe, even in our beft toned governiment. Our charters may be wrefted from us without a fault, our contracts may be changed or fet afide without our conient, by the breath of a popular Legiflature. Inftead of a dimunition of taxes, our public charges are multiplied; and to the weight of accumulating debts, we are perpetually making acceffions by expenfiv follies. Inftead of a union of States and meafures, effential to the welfare of a great nation; each State is jealous of i:s neighbor, and ftruggling for the fuperiority in wealth and inportance, at the hazard even of our federal exittence.

This is the dark fide of our public affaits; but fuch are the facts. The public and private embarraffinents, which are both feen and felt, are the topics of inceffant declamation. The rhapfodies of orators, and the publications in gazettes, from the northern to the fouthern extremity of the United States, concur in deprecating the prefent fate of this country, and communicate the intelligence of our diftreffes to the whole civilized world. Nor are newfpapers the only heralds of our calamities. The contempt of government among one clafs of men, the filent murmurs of poverty in the peaceful cottage, and numerous bankrupts in every quarter, are irreffftible evidence to a thinking mind, that fomething is wrong.

But declamation is idle, and murmurs fruitlefs. Time has been when the minds of people were alarmed at the approaches of defpotifin: Then harangues roufed attention; then mobs raifed the temple of freedom, and declared themfelves ready to be facrificed upon her alar. But violent paffions in the public as well as in the human body, are always tranfitory. That enthufiafm which was cailed public Spirit, heroic virtue, and love of country, has long ago fubfided, and is abforbed in the general feady principle, private intereft. That enthufiafin is not to be rekindled. The expoftulations
tulations of our rulers and patriotic writers, have no more effect in reviving public ípirit, than the attraction of a meteor in raifing a tide.
Men, who embraced revolution principles, becaufe independence might fave a few chillings in taxes, or extend the imaginary fphere of freedom; who expected that peace would place them in a paradife of blefings, where they might riot without the fatigue of exertion; fuch men had narrow views of the confequence of detaching A merica from a tranfatlantic jurifdiction. They viewed but a fmall part of the great event: They are, they ought to be difappointed. , Such men expect effects without caures, and are ready to defpond, or commence enemies to a glorious event, becaufe miracles are not wrought to verify their ill founded predistions.
In this view, this infeat view of things, the revolution ought to be confidered as extremely unfortunate; for to the prefent generation, it muft certainly prove fo.
But on the general fcale of human happinefs, every man of reflection mult rejoice at the illuftrious event. Even the propriety of the independence of thefe States, is fo obvioully diftated by their local fituation, that a generous European ought to have confented to the meafure on this fingle principle. But taking into confideration the vant field which is here opened for improvements in fcience, in government, in religion, and in morals ; the philofopher will felicitate himfelf with the profpect of difcoveries favorable to arts and happinefs; the flatefman will rejoice that there is a retreat from the vafialage of Europe; the divine will blefs God that a place has been referved for an uncorrupted church ; and the philanthropitt, who compares the yeomanry of America with the peafantry of Europe, will congratulate himfelf on an event which has removed millions of people from the ambition of princes, and from a participation of the vices, which mark the decline of nations.

The revolution of America, whatever may be the prefent effects, mult, on the univerfal fcale of policy,
prove fortunate, not only for the parties, but for mian-kind in general. The period, however, when this country will realize the happy confequences of her feparation, muft be remote ; protably beyond the lives of the prefent gencration.

It is worth our curiofity to inquire into the caures of our prefent political cvils; not the more obvious caufes, which every man fees and laments, but thofe radical caufes which lie hid from common oblervation; whofe operations are imperceptible, but whofe effects are vifible, even to a vulgar eye.

A fundamental miftake of the Americans has been, that they confidered the revolution as completed, when it was but juft begun. Having raifed the pillars of the building, they ceafed to exert themfelves, and feemed to forget thrat the whole fuperflruture was then to be erected. This country is independent in government; but totally dependent in manners, which are the bafis of government. Men feem not to attend to the difference between Europe and America, in point of age and improvement; and are difpofed to rufh, with heedlefs emulation, into an imitation of manners, for which we are not prepared.
Every perion tolerably well verfed in hifory, knows that nations are often compared to individuals and to vegetables, in their progrefs from their origin to maturity and decay. The refemblance is ftriking and juft. This progrefs is as certain in nations as in vegetables; it is as obvious, and its caufes more eafily underfood ; in proportion as the fecret fprings of action in government are more eafily explained, than the mecharical principles of vegetation.

This progrefs therefore being affumed as a conceded faßt, fuggefts a forcible argument againt the introduction of European manners into America. The bufinefs of men in fociety is, firft, to fecure their perfons and eftates by arms and wholefome laws; then to procure the conveniencies of life by arts and labor; but it is in the laft fages only of national improvement, when luxury and amufements become public benefits, by diffipating
diflipating accumulations of wealth, and furnihhing employment and food for the poor. And luxury then is not beneficial, except when the wealth of a nation is wafted within itfelf. It is perhaps always true, that an old civilized nation cannot, with propriety, be the model for an infant nation, either in morals, in manners or falhions, in literature or in government.

The prefent ambition of Americans is, to introduce as faft as poffible, the fafhionable amufements of the European courts. Confidering the former dependence of America on England, her defcent, her connexion and prefent intercourfe, this ambition cannot furprife us. But it mult check this ambition to refle\{ on the confequences. It will not be denied, that there are vices predominant in the moft polite cities in Europe, which are not only unknown, but are feldom mentioned in America; and vices that are infamous beyond conception. I prefume it will not be denied that there mult be an amazing depravation of mind in a nation, where a farce is a publication of more confequence than Milton's Poem ; and where an opera dancer, or an Italian finger, receives a falary equal to that of an Ambaflador. The facts being known and acknowleged, I prefume the confequence will not be denied. Not that this charge is good againft every individual ; even in the worft times, there will be found many exceptions to the general character of a nation.
If thefe vices and the depravation of mind do aciually exift, it is a proof of a gradual corruption ; for there was a time when thcy did not exift. There was a time when decency was a virtue, even at Venice. The progrefs is alio flow, unlefs hattened by fome external circumftances. It was more than two thoufand years from the building of Rome to the pontificate of Alexander the Vith whofe naked revelings filled the meafure of public vice, and ftrike the human mind with horror.

A conftant increafe of wealth is ever followed by a multiplication of vices: This feens to be the deftiny of human affairs; wildom, therefore, dire?ts us to retard ${ }_{2}$
if poffible, and not to accelerate the progrefs of corruption. But an introduction of the fathionable diverfions of Europe into America, is an acceleration of the growth of vices which are yet in their infancy, and an introduction of new ones too infamous to be mentioned. A dancing fchool among the Tufcaroras, is not a greater abfurdity than a mafquerade in America. A theater, under the beft regulations, is not effential to our public and private happinefs. It may afford entertainment to individuals; but it is at the expenfe of private tafte and public morals. The grcat misfortune of all exlibitions of this kind is this; that they reduce all tafte to a level. Not only the vices of all claffes of people are brought into view, but of all ages and nations. The intrigues of a nobleman, and the fcurrility of fhoe blacks, are prefented to the view of both fexes, of all ages; the vices of the age of Elizabeth and of Charles Ild are recorded by the mafterly pens of a Shakefpeare and a Congreve, and by repeated reprefentation, they are "hung on high," as the poet expreffes it, "to poifon half mankind." The fact is, that all charasters muft be prefented upon a theater, becaufe all characters are fpectators; and a nobleman and a failor, a dutchefs and a wafher woman, that attend conftantly on the exhibitions of vice, become equally depraved; their taftes will be nearly alike as to vice ; the one is as prepared for a crime as the other. It is for this reafon, that many of the amufements of nations more depraved than ourfelves, are highly pernicious in this country. They carry us forward by hafty frides, to the laft fages of corruption; a period that every benevolent man will deprecate and endeavor to retard. This circumftance, the difference in the ftages of our political exiftence, ficuld make us fhun the vices which may be politic and even neceffary in older ftates; and endeavor to preferve our manners by being our own ftandards. By attaching ourfelves to foreign manners, we counteract the good effecis of the revolution, or rather render them incomplete. A revolution in the form of government, is but a revolutien
in name; unlefs attended with a change of principles and manners, which are the fprings of government.

This leads ine to treat more particularly of the influence of fafhions on the interefts of thefe States; an article in which the ladies are deeply interefted.

Fafhion in itfelf is a matter of indifference, as affecting neither morals nor politenels. It is of no confequence whether a lady is clad with a gown or a frock; or whether a gentleman appears in public with a cap or a wig. But there may be times and fituations in which the unoft triffing things become important. The practice of initating foreign modes of drefs, cannot coft America lefs than 100,0001 . a year. I fpeak not of the necefliary articles of drefs; but merely of changes of fafhions.

To underftand this fact, it is neceffary to advert to the different circumftances of this country, and of the European kingdoms, which we take as our models.

Two circumftances diftinguifh moft of the commercial coụntries of Europe from America; a feudal divifion of real property, and manufactures. Where vaft effates are hereditary and unalienable, a great part of the people are dependent on the rich, and if the rich do not employ them, they muft farve, 'Thus in England and France, a great landholder pofiefies a hiundred times the property that is neceffary for the fubififtence of a family; and each landlord has perhaps a hundred families dependent on him for fubbiftence. On this ftatement, if the landlord fhould live penurioufly, and fupply his own family only with neceffaries, all his dependents muft farve. In order to fubfirt the ninety nine families, he muft create wants, which their employment mult fupply; for the natural wants of a few rich people will nor furnih employment for great multitudes of poor. Hence the good policy, the neceffity of luxury in moft European kingdoms. Hence originate all the changes and varieties of falhion. A gentleman or lady in London mult not appear in public twice in the faime fuit. 'This is a regulation of culton, but it is highly political; for were the nobility and
rich gentry to wear out all their clothes, one half the people muft be beggars. The fafhions of England and France are not merely matter of fancy : Fancy may dictate new. and odd figures in drefs; but the general defign of frequent and continual changes of farhion, is wife fyftematic policy, at the courts of London and Paris.

But let us fee with how little difcretion and policy we adopt foreign luxuries. America is a young country, with fmall inequalities of property, and without manufactures. Few people are here dependent on the rich, for every man has an opportunity of becoming rich himfelf. Confequently few people are fupported by the luxuries of the wealthy; and even thefe few are moftly foreigners.

But we have no body of manufacturers to fupport by difipation. All our fuperfluities are imported, and the confumption of them in this country enriches the merchants and fupports the poor of Europe. We are generous indeed! generous to a fault. This is the pernicious, the fatal effect of our dependence on foreign nations for our manners. We labor day and night, we facrifice our peace and reputation, we defraud our public creditors, involve ourfelves in debts, impoveri/h our country: Nay, many are willing to become bankrupts and take lodgings in a prifon, for the fake of being as foolifh as thofe nations which fubfift their poor and grow rich and refpectable by their follies.

No objection can be made to rich and elegant dreffes among people of affluent circumftances. But perhaps we may fafely calculate that one third of the expenfes. incurred by drefs in ṭhis country, add nothing either to convenience or elegance.

A new drefs is invented in London or Paris, not for the fake of fuperior elegance, becaufe it frequently happens that a new drefs is lefs rich and clegant than an old one ; but for the fake of giving food to manufacturers. That new fafhion is fent acrofs the Atlantic; let it be ever fo troublefome and uncouth, we admire its novelty; we adopt it becaufe it is fafhionable ; and mercly:
merely for a change, that may be made in half an hour by a tailor or a milliner, 20,30 , or 50,000 pounds are drawn from the capital ftocks of property in America, to enrich nations which command our commerce and fmile at our folly.

But it is not only the wealth of this country that is facrificed by our fervile imitation of other nations; our complaifance often requires us to difpenfe with good tafte.

It will probably be admitted that amidf the infinite variety of dreffes which are fafhionable, during a courfe of ten or fifteen years, fome of them mult be more convenient and elegant than others. True tafte in drefs confifts in fetting off the perfon to the beft adyantage. That drefs which unites the articles of convenience, fimplicity and neatnefs, in the greateft perfection, muft be confidered as the moft elegant. But true tafte goes farther ; it has reference to age, to thape, to complexion, and to the feafon of the year. The fame drefs which adorns a mifs of fifteen, will be frightful on a venerable lady of feventy. The fame drefs will embellifh one lady and disfigure another. But the paffive difpofition of Americans in receiving every mode that is offered them, fometimes reduces all ages, fhapes and complexions to a level.

I will not undertake to fay that people ought not, in the article of drefs, to facrifice tafte to national intereff: A facrifice of that kind, in a manufacturing country, may be laudable; it will at leaft be pardonable. But in a reverfe of fituation, in America, where a wafte of property and a group of political evils accompany a bad tafte, the facrifice admits of no apology.

It is not unfrequent to hear ladies complain feverely of the inconvenience of fafhion. .Their good fenfe difapproves and their tafte revolts at incumbrances. And yet where is the lady who would not fooner fubmit to any fatigue, rather than be ridiculous. I foeak of ladies particularly ; in point of expenfe, the gentlemens' dreffes are exceptionable as well as the ladies ; in point of convenience, the ladics are the greatefl fufferers by fahion,
fafhion, as their drefs admits of the greateft variety of incumbrances.

Pcrhaps the trouble of conforming entirely to the fafhions of Europe is as great a tax upon the ladies, as the expenfe is to their hufbands and parents.

One fociety of people, the Fricnds, are happily releafod from the tyranny and inconveniencies of tathion. However difagreeable the reftraints of their religion may appear in other refpects, it muft be acknowledged that, in point of drefs, the rules of their fociety conform to purity of tafte.

Perhaps we may Cafely eftimate, that the ladies of that fociety drefs with two thirds of the expenfe which other ladies incur, even when the articles of their drefs are equally rich and expenfiv ; the difference is faved by neglecling fuperfluous finery. And are not their talte in drefs, their fimplicity and neatnefs, univerfally admired ? Does it not fet off their perfons to the belt advantage? Do not gentlemen almoft univerfally give the preference to the tafte of Quaker ladies? Nay, I would afk, whether other ladie's themelves, under a ftrong bias in favor of a tawdry drefs, are not frequently lavihhing encomiums on the fuperior elcgance and convenience of the Friends' dreffes ? And how often do they figh beneath the trouble of their own drefs, and wifh that particular articles would go out of fath, ion.
If there is any thing on earth, which can make a rational mind difgufted with fociety, it is that cruel necelfity, which obliges a perfon to facrifice both his intereft and his tafte, or run the hazard of being laughed at for his finguiarity.
In fome Aliatic countries, people never change their modes of drefs. This uniformity, which continues for agcs, proceeds from the fame principles as the monthly changes in England and France ; both proceed from neceffity and policy. Both arife from grod caufes which operate in the feveral governments; that is, the manners of each government are fubfervient to its particular intereft. The reverfe is true of this,
country. Our manners are wholly fubfervient to the intereft of foreign nations. Where do we find, in drefs or equipage, the leaft reference to the circumftances of this country! Is it not the fole ambition of the Americans to be juft like other nations; without the meais of fupporting the refemblance? We ought not to harbor any fpleen or prejudice againft foreign kingdoms. This would be illiberal. They are wife, they are refpectable. We fhould defpife the man that piques himfelf on his own country, and treats all others with indifcriminate contempt. I wifh to fee much lefs jealoufy and ill nature fubfifting between the Americans and Englith. But in avoiding party fpirit and refentment on the one hand, we fhould be very careful of fervility on the other. There is a manly pride in truc independence, which is equally remote from infolence and meannefs; a pride that is characteriftic or great minds. Have Americans difcovered this pride fince the declaration of peace ? We boalt of independence, ard with propriety. But will not the fame men, who glory in this great event, even in the midft of a gafconade, turn to a foreigner and afk him, "what is the lateft fafhion in Europe !" He has worn an elegant fuit of clothes for fix weeks ; he might wear it a few weeks longer, but it has not fo many buttons as the laft fuit of my lord - : He throws it alide, and gets one that has. The fuit cofts him a fum of money; but it keeps him in the fafhion, and feeds the poor of Great Britain or France. It is a fingular phenomenon, and to pofterity it will appear incredible, that a nation of heroes, who have conquered armies, and raifed an empire, fhould not have the fpirit to fay-we will zuear our clothes as we pleafe.

Let it not be thought that this is a trifling fubject ; a matter of no confequence. Mankind are governed by opinion; and while we flatter ourfelves that we enjoy independence, becaule no foreign povier can impofe laws upon us, we are groaning beneath the tyranny of opinion ; a tyranny more fevere than the laws of monarchs ; a dominion voluntary indeed, but for that rea-
fon, more effefual; an authority of manners which commands our fervices, and fweeps away the fruits of our labor.
I repeat the fentiment with which I began; the revolution of America is yet incomplete. We are now in a fituation to anfwer all the purpofes of the European nations; independent in government, and dependent in manners. They give us their fafhions, they direct our tafte to make a market for their commodities ; they engrofs the profits of our induftry, without the hazard of defending us, or the expenfe of fupporting our civil government. A fituation more favorable to their intereft, or more repugnant to our own, they could not have chofen for us, nor zve embraced.
If fuch is the fate of facts, and if the influence of foreign manners does actually defeat the purpofes of the revolution ; if our implicit fubmifion to the prevailing tafte of European courts, involves individuals and the public in unneceffary expenfes, it is in the power of a few influential characters in each of our: commercial cities to remedy the whole evil. And in a reformation of this kind, the ladies would have no inconfiderable fhare.

It is really a matter of aftonifhment, that the pride of the Americans has fo long fubmitted tamely to a forejgn yoke. Afide of all regard to intereft, we fhould expect that the idea of being a nation of apes would mortify minds accuftomed to freedom of thought, and would prompt them to fpurn their chains.

Have the ladies in America no ingenuity, no tafte ? Do they not undertand what dreffes are moft convenient and elegant ? What modes are beft adapted to the climate, or other circumftances of this country? They moft certainly do. Foreigners acknowlege that the nativ beauty and underftanding of the American ladies are not excelled in any country, and equalled in very few. And one would imagin that the modes of embellining fo many perfonal charms ought not, in all cafes, to be prefribed by the milliners and manteau makers on the other fide of the Atlantic. A noble pride
pride fhould forbid that ladies of birth and breeding fhould be wholly indebted to the tafte of others, for the decorations of their beauty.

When the gentlemen in America fhall exercife fpirit enough to be their own judges of tafte in drefs: When they have wifdom to confult the circumflances of this country, and fortitude enough to retain a fathion as long as their own intereft requires, inftead of changing it when otber nations direct: When the ladies fhall exercife the rights of their fex, and fay, we will give the laws of fathion to our own nation, inftead of receiving them from another, we will perform our part of the revolution: When both fexes fhall take finore pride and pleafure in being their own ftandards, than in being the humble imitators of thofe who riot on the profits of our commerce ; we fhall realize a now fpecies of independence; an independence fiattering to generous minds, and more productive of wealth than all the laws of power, or the little arts of national policy. And in this revolution of manners, there needs not any facrifice of real drefs. I will venture to eftimate, that the retrenching of fuperfluous articles; articles which conftitute no part of drefs, and ferve but to disfigure an elegant perfon; articles that are made and fent to us to fupport the fixpenny day laborers of Europe ; I fay, a retrenching of thefe trifling articles only, would be an annual faving to America fufficient to pay one half of the intereft of our federal debt. We can throw no blame on foreign nations; they are wife, and profit by our want of fpirit and tafte.

On the footing that all mankind are brethren, perhaps it is generous in us to affift foreigners, who are a part of the Great Family.

It is to be wihed, however, that we might firf difcharge our honeft debts: That the foldier, whofe labor and blood have purchafed our empire, and whofe fervices have been repaid with a fladow of reward, might be indemnified by the juftice of his country: That the widow and orphan might at leaft receive the flipulated fatisfaction for loffes which money cannot repair. Yes,
let us firft be $j n /$, and then generous. When we have $n 10$ better ufe for our fuperfluous property, then let us beftow it upon our wretched brethren of the human race. They will repay our charity with gratitude, and blefs God that he has peopled one half the world with a race of freemen, to enrich the tyrants, and fupport the vaffals of the other.

In another particular, our dependencc on nations farther advanced in fociety than ourfelves, has a very unhappy effect.

I affume it as a fact, conceded by all philofophers and hiftorians, that there has been, in every civilized nation, a particular period of time, peculiarly favorable to literary refearches ; and that in this period, language and tafte arrive to purity ; the beft authors flourilh, and genius is exerted to benefit mankind.

This period in Greece was the age of Themiftocles, immediately after the invalion of Xerxes. In Rome, it was the reign of Auguftus Cæffar, when a revolution had left the empire in a ftate of tranquillity. In France, the reign of Louis the XIV th was diftinguifhed for the number and eminence of its authors, and the correctnefs of tafte. The correfponding period of tafte in England, commenced about the imiddle of the fixteenth century, and ended with the rcign of George the IId. Scotland was later in improvement ; but perhaps has now feen its meridian fplendor.

There feems to be a certain point of improvement beyond which every ftep in refinement is corruption ; moral fentiment is poftponed to wit, and fenfe is facrificed to found. This has been the cafe in all nations, and is now true of England. The candid among the nation aeknowlege and lament the decline of true tafte and fcience. Very few valuable writings appear in the prefent age ; plays, novels, farces, and compilations fill the catalogue of new publications ; and the library of a man of fafhion confifts of Chefterfield's Letters, Triftram Shandy, and a few comedies.

A gentleman in high office in London, in a letter to an eminent literary character in America, which I had
the honor to tead, informs, " that fo low is the tafte of the nation, that were Milton's Poem to be now firt publithed, it would not find purchafers : Mufic and. painting are the only arts that have royal encouragement." He fays further, "that there is a national combination to oppofe the fame of every American art, production and character." I would hope that this account is an exaggeration of the truth ; but we have the beft teftimony to convince us that every thing is facrificed to amufement and pleafure.

We ought not therefore to form our tafte after fuch models : In order to write, think and act with propriety, we fhould go back half a century, to the ftyle and morality of Addifon and his cotemporaries ; there we may find the molt perfect models.

By making the prefent tafte of Europe our ftandards, we not only debafe our own, but we check the attempts of genius in this country.

Eminence is fometimes apt to impofe crrors upon people, whofe refpect for the character may filence all fcruple, and prevent them from examining into the grounds of his opinion. Such is the implicit confidence repofed in the opinions of certain celebrated writers, that when an American ventures to call in queftion a received principle or opinion of theirs, his countrymen charge him with arrogance, and exclaim, how hould this man be as good a judge of the fubjefi as a foreigner! Such falfe notions of the perfection of particular characteri, fetter the mind, and in concert with credulity and idlenefs, prepare it for the reception of any errors, however enormous.

This fame veneration for eminent foreigners, and the bewitching charms of fafhion, have led the Americans to adopt the modern corruptions of our language. Very feldom have men examined the ftructure of the language, to find reafons for their practice. The pronunciation and ufe of words have been fubject to the fame arbitrary or accidental changes, as the fhape of their garments. My lord wears a hat of a certain fize and hape; he prorounces a word in a certain man-
ner; and both muft be right, for he is a fafhionable man. In Europe this is right in drels; and men who have not an opportunity of learning the juft rules of our language, are in fome degree excufeable for imitating thofe whom they confider as fuperiors. But in men of fcience, this imitation can hardly be excufed.

Our language was fpoken in purity about eighty years ago; fince which time, great numbers of faults have crept into practice about the theater and court of London. An affected erroneous pronunciation has in many inftances taken place of the true ; and new words or modes of fpeech have fucceeded the ancient correct Englifh phrafes.
'Thus we have, in the modern Englifh pronunciation, their nathures, conjunct/hures, conftithutions, and thumulthuous legifiathures; and a long catalogue of fafhionable improprieties. Thefe are a direft violation of the rules of analogy and harmony; they offend the ear, and embarrafs the language. Time was, when thefe errors were unknown; they were little known in America before the revolution. I prefume we may fafcly fay, that our language has fuffered more injurious changes in America, fince the Britifh army landed on our hhores, than it had fuffered before, in the period of three centurics. The bucks and bloods tell us that there is no proper flandard in language ; that it is all arbitrary. The affertion, however, ferves but to fhow their ignorance. There are, in the language itfelf, decilive reafons for preferring one pronunciation to another; and inen of fcience fhould be acquainted with thefe reafons. But if there were none, and every thing refted on practice, we fhould never change a general practice without lubftantial reafons: No change ihould be introduced, which is not an cbvious innprovement.

But our leading characters feem to pay no regard to rules, or their former practice. To know and embrace every change made in Great Britain, whether right or wrong, is the extent of their inquiries, and the leight of their ambition. It is to this deference we
may afcribe the long catalogue of errors in pronunciation and of falfe idioms which disfigure the language of our mighty fine fpeakers. And hould this imitation continue, we fhall be hurried down the ftream of corruption, with older nations, and our language, with theirs, be loot in an ocean of perpetual changes. The only hope we can entertain is, that America, driven by the fhock of a revolution, from the rapidity of the current, may glide along near the margin with a gentler ftream, and fometimes be wafted back by an eddy.

The foregoing remarks fuggeft fome of thre caufes which operate to defeat the true end of the revolution. Every man fees and feels our political embarrafliments; the foes of the revolution afrribe them all to that event, and the friends charge them upon the enmity and refentment of our parent country. Both are wrong. The revolution is, and will ultimately prove, a happy event for us and for the world. The Englifh, as a nation, are wife and refpectable: As citizens of the world, we fhould efteem them : As a commercial people, we fhould cultivate a friendly intercourfe with them ; but as a foreign nation, whofe political circumflances are very different from ours, we thould not make them, in all cafes, our flandard. I repeat the declaration I before made: The independence of this country is incomplete: There has been a total change in government, with little or no change in the principles which give energy to the operations of government.
In the preceding remarks, I have endeavored to fhew in what refpect the revolution of America is yet incomplete, and that an independence of manners and opinion is neceffary to give full effect to an independence of government. I propofe now to make fome remarks on government, to ftate the effects of the revolution on the morals of people, and the influence of money on mens' fenfe of juftice and moral obligation.
It is perhaps a fundamental principle of government, that men are influenced more by habit, than by any abftraft ideas of right and wrong. Few people exam-
in into the propriety of particular ufages or laws; or if they examin, few indeed are capable of comprelending their propriety.' But every man knows what is a law or general practice, and he conforms to it, not becaufe it is right or beft, but becaufe it has been the practice. It is for this reafon that habits of obedience fhould not be difturbed. There are perhaps in every government, fome laws and cuftoms, which, when examined on theoretical principles, will be found unjuft and even impolitic. But if the people acquiefce in thofe laws and cuftoms, if they are attached to them by habit, it is wrong in the Legiflature to attempt an innovation which fhall alarm their apprehenfions. There are multitudes of abfurdities practifed in fociety, in which people are evidently happy. Arraign thofe abfurdities before the tribunal of examination; people may be convinced of their impropriety; they may even be convinced that better fchemes may be projected; and yet it might be impoffible to unite their opinions fo as to eftabliih different maxims. On the other hand, there are many good inftitutions, in which, however, there may be theoretical faults, which, if called into public view, and artfully reprefented, might fhake the beft government on earth.

Speculativ philofophers and hiftorians have often defcribed, and fometimes ridiculed the warmth with which nations have defended errors in religion and government. With the moft profound deference for wife and refpectable men, I muft think they are guilty of a miftake; and that the errors which nations fight to defend, exift only in the heads of thefe theorifits. Whatever fpeculation may tell us, experience and the peace of fociety, require us to confider every thing as right, which a nation believes to be fo. Every inflitution, cvery cuftom, may be deemed juft and proper, which does not produce inconveniencies that the bulk of mankind may fee and feel. The tranquillity of fociety therefore fhould never be difturbed for a philofophical diftinction.

It will perhaps be objected, that there doctrines, if practifed, would prevent all improvements, in fcience, religion and government. By no means ; but they point out the method in which all improvements fhould be made, when opinion and fixed habits are to be overthrown, or changed. They fhow that all reformation fhould be left to the natural progrefs of fociety, or to the conviction of the mind. They fhow the hazard and impracticability of making changes, before the minds of the body of the people are prepared for the innovation. I fpeak not of defpotic governments, where the will of the prince is enforced by an army; and yet even abfolute tyrants have been affaffinated for not attending to the fpirit and habits of their fubjects.
In vain do rulers oppofe the general opinion of the people. By fuch oppofition, Philip IId, of Spain, kept one part of his fubjects, for half a century, butchering the other, and in the end, loft one third of his dominions. By not regarding the change of habits in the nation, Charles Ift, of England, loft his head. By carrying his changes too far, Cromwell began to oppofe the fiprit of the nation, and had he lived to profecute his fyttem, that firit would, in a few years, have brought his neck to the block. The general fpirit of the nation reftored to the throne, the fon of the prince, whom that fpirit had but a few years before arraigned and condemned. By oppofing that fpirit, James was obliged to leave his kingdom, and the fenfe of the nation ftill excludes the family which, by their own law of fucceffion, has the beft title to the throne. But there is no prefcription againft general opinion ; no right that can enter the lift againft the fenfe of a nation ; that fenfe, which after all our reafoning, will forever determin what is beft.

The truth of thefe remarks is proved by examples in this country. An immenfe revenue might have been drawn from America without refiftance, in almoft any method but that which the Britifh parliament adopted. But their firft attempts were made upon articles of
common neceffity ; the attempts were too vifible ; the people felt and refifted. Their apprehenfions were alarmed ; their fears, whether well founded or imaginary, were multiplied and confirmed by newfpaper shapfodies, and finally produced a coinbined oppofition to all Britifh taxation. Then Great Britain Thould have compounded; The did not ; the oppoled the general fenfe of three millions of her fubjects, and loft the whole.

A difpute exifted between Connecticut and Penfylvania, refpecting a tract of land; a federal court decided the jurifdiction, or State claim, in favor of Penfylvania ; five thoufand inhabitants, feated on the lands, acknowlege the jurifdiction, but contend that their original purchafe, and fubfequent labor, entitle them to the lands. Notwithftanding the invalidity of their State claim, the fettlers determin to maintain their lands. The queftion of sight is at once fufpended, and the only inquiry is, which is the bett policy, to indemnify a few individuals by a pecuniary compofition, or facrifice five thoufand fubjects. This queftion, left to the commonwealth, would be decided by a great majority, in favor of the fettlers, and againtt the very principles of right on which the State holds the jurifdiction.

I am not competent to judge of the merits of the difpute between New York and Vermont ; but if the ufurpation of Vermont were a conceded fact, and that wiurpation to be defended by arms, and the queftion of granting them independence were left to the State of New York, I am confident that nine tenths of the people would decide for the independence of Vermont againft their own rights.

Thus it otten happens, that a general opinion, grounded on rational expediency, will, and ought to decide political queftions, contrary to the ftrict principles of juftice and equity.

I would, by no means, be underfood to defend, by fuch doctrines, the infurrections of a neighboring State. I reprobate every thing that wears the leaft appearance
of oppofition to lawful authority. It is evident however, that the Legiflature of Maffachufetts were too inattentive to the general firit of the State. The murmurs of the people were heard long before they broke out into rebellion, and were treated with too much neglect. They were a proof at leaft that fomething was wrong. This the Legiflature acknowleged in their late acts, and the complaints of the populace might once have been filenced by fuch conciliatory meafures.

But an oppofition fo violent muft fuddenly ceafe, or acquire fyttem. In the latter cafe, the demands of the infurgents will rife in proportion to their ffrength ; they will ank unreafonable conceflions, and the fword mult decide their claims. The infurgents took wrong fteps to obtain redrefs; they fhould. have refted their agrievances on petitions, and the event of an election; but one rafh ftep leads to a fecond, and to a third. Thefe fatal effects of popular difcontent afford one ufeful leffon, that rulers fhould not attempt to carry a meafure againt the general voice of a people.* But a queftion will arife, how far may the people be oppofed, when their fchemes are evidently pernicious? I anfwer, this can never happen thro defign ; and errors, even of the populace, may gradually be remoyed. If the people cannot be convinced, by reaion and argument, of the impolicy or injuftice of a favorite fcheme, we have only to wait for the confequences to produce conviction. All people are not capable of juft reafoning on the great fcale of politics ; but all can feel the inconveniencies

[^21]niencies of wrong meafures, and evils of this kind generally furnifh their own remedy. All popular Legillatures are liable to great miftakes. Many of the acts of the American Legiflatures, refpecting money and commerce, will, to future generations, appear incredible. After repeated experiments, people will be better informed, and aftonifhed that their fathers could make fuch blunders in legillation.
If the people of this State* are not already convinced, they certainly will be, that the addition of 150,0001 . of paper, to the current fpecie of the State, did not increafe the permanent value of circulating medium a fingle farthing. They were perhaps told that fuch a fum of paper would thut up the fpecie, or enable the merchant to export it ; but their jealoufy made them believe thefe the fuggeftions of intereff; and nothing but the experiment could fatisfy their wifhes. Every man of reflection muft regret that he is fubject to the evils confequent on popular miftakes in judgement ; but this is the price of our independence and our forms of government.

Let us attend to the immediate and neceffary confequences of the American revolution.

So great an event as that of detaching millions of people from their parent nation, could not have been cffected without the operation of powerful caufes. Nothing but a feries of real or imaginary evils could have fhaken the habits by which we were governed, and produced a combined oppofition againft the power of Great Britain. I fhall not enumerate any of thefe evils ; but obferve that fuch evils, by twenty years operation upon the fears or feelings of the Americans, had alienated their affections or weakened thofe habits of refpcit, by which they were predifpofed to voluntary obedience. When a government has loft refpect, it has loft the main pillar of its authority. Not even a military force can fupply the want of refpect among fubjects. A change of fentiment prepares the way for a change of government, and when that change of fentiment

[^22]timent had become general in America, nothing could have prevented a revolution.
But it is more eafy to excite fears than to remove them. The jealoufy raifed in the minds of Americans againft the Britifh government, wrought a revolution; but the fpirit did not then fubfide; it clanged its object, and by the arts of defigning men, and the real diftreffes confequent on fuch a political form, was directed againft our own governments. The reftraints impofed by refpect and habits of obedience were broken thro, and the licentious paffions of men fet afloat.

Nothing can be fo fatal to morals and the peace of fociety, as a violent thock given to public opinion or fixed habits. Polemic difputes have often deftroyed the friendhip of a church, and filled it, not only with rancor, but with immorality. Public opinion therefore in religion and government, the great fupports of fociety, fhould never be fuddenly unhinged. The feparation of America, however, from all dependence on European government, could not have been effected without previoufly attacking and changing opinion. It was an effential ftep, but the effects of it will not eafily be repaired. That independence of firit which preceded the commencement of hoftilities, and which victory has frengthened; that love of dominion, inherent in the mind of man, which our forms of government are continually flattering ; that licentioufnefs of inquiry which a jealoufy of rights firft produced and ftill preferves, cannot be controled and fubdued, but by a long feries of prudent and vigorous meafures,

Perhaps the prefent age will hardly fee the refforation of perfect tranquillity. But the fpirit and principles, which wrought our feparation from Great Britain, will moflly die with the prefent generation; the next generation will probably have new habits of obedience to our new governments; and habits will govern them, with very little fupport from law.

The force of habit in government is inoft frikingly illuffrated by the example of Connecticut. Moft of the laws, cuftoms and inffitutions, which the people brought
brought with them from England, or which they in-troduced, on their firft fettlement, remain to this day, with fuch fmall alterations only as would naturally be made in the progrefs of fociety and population.

The government of Connecticut had formerly little more than a nominal dependence on England; independence therefore required but a little change of the old conftitution. The habits of the people have nat been materially changed ; their refpect for the government has not been fufpended nor diminifhed. It would therefore be extremely difficult to raife an infurrection in that State againft their own government;* for they have not been accuftomed to difpute the propriety of their eftablifhed maxims and laws. Whatever alterations in their conftitution, a difcerning Leginator might fuggeft, it would be highly impolitic to attempt any changes, which fhould difturb public opinion or alarm apprehenfion. When a law or cuftom becomes inconvenient, the people will feel the evil and apply a remedy.

Moft of the other States had new conftitutions of government to form ; they had a kind of interregnum; an interval, when refpect for all government was fuf. pended; an interval fatal in the laft degree, to morals and focial confidence. This interval between the abolition of the old conftitution and the formation of a new one, lafted longer in Maffachufetts than in the other States, and there the effects are moft vifible. But perhaps it is impoffible to frame a conftitation of government, in the clofet, which will fuit the people; for it is frequent to find one, the moft perfef in theory, the molt objectionable in practice. Hence we often hear popular complaints againft the prefent governments in America: And yet thefe may proceed rather from the novelty of the obedience required, than from any real errors

[^23]errors or defects in the fyftems : It may be nothing but the want of habit which makes people uneafy; the fame articles which now prodúce clamors and difcontent, may, after twenty years practice, give perfect fatisfaction. Nay, the fame civil regulation, which the prefent generation may raife a mob to refift, the next generation may raife a mob to defend.

But perhaps a more immediate and powerful caufe of a corruption of focial principles, is a fuctuation of money. Few people feem to attend to the connexion between money and morals; but it may doubtlefs be proved to the fatisfaction of every reflecting mind, that a fudden increafe of fpecie in a country, and frequent and obvious changes of value, are more fruitful fources of corruption of morals than any events that take place in a community.

America began the late war without funds of money, and its circulating fpecie was very inconfiderable. Commerce was regular, and fpeculation, a term unknown to the body of the people.

The emiffion of paper was an obvious and neceffary expedient ; yet it was bad policy to throw vaft fums into circulation without taking fome meafures to recall it. It was the fate of America to receive in bills of credit, and in the courfe of three or four years, about twenty times the nominal value of its current fpecie ; the bills depreciated in the fame proportion, and the real value of the medium continued the fame.
The firt vifible effect of an augmentation of the medium and the confequent fluctuation of value, was, a hoft of jockies, who followed a fpecies of itinerant commerce ; and fubfifted upon the ignorance and honefty of the country people; or in other words, upon the difference in the value of the currency, in different places. Perhaps we may fafely eftimate, that not lefs than 20,000 men in A merica, left honeft callings, and applied themfelves to this knavifh traffic. A fudden augmentation of currency flatered people with the profpect of accumulating property without labor.

The firtt effect of too much money is to check manual labor, the only permanent fource of wealth. Induftry, which fecures fubfiftence and advances our intereft by flow and regular gains, is the beft prefervative of morals ; for it keeps men employed, and affords them few opportunities of taking unfair advantages. A regular commerce has nearly the fame effect as agriculture or the mechanic arts; for the principles are generally fixed and underftood.

Speculation has the contrary effect. As its calculations for profit depend on no fixed principles, but folely on the different value of articles in different parts of the country, or accidental and fudden variations of value, it opens a field for the exercife of ingenuity in taking advantage of thefe circumftances. The fpeculator may begin with honeft intentions; and may juftify his bufinefs, by faying, that he injures no man, when he givs the current value of an article in one place, and fells it for its current value in another; altho in this cafe he is a ufelefs member of fociety, as he livs upon the labor of others, without earning a farthing. But he does not fop here; he takes an advantage of ignorance and neceflity; he will, if poffible, monopolize an article to create a neceffity. Repeated opportunities of this kind gradually weaken the force of moral obligation; and nine perfons of ten, who enter into the bulinefs of fpeculation with a good character, will, in a few yearṣ, lofe their principles, and probably, their reputation.

Speculation is pernicious to morals, in proportion as its effects are extenfiv. Speculation in the Englifh funds is practifed on principles deftructiv of juftice and morals; but it confilts in the transfer of large fums; the contingencies on which it depends are not frequent, and the bufinefs is confined to a few fharpers in the metropolis. Such a fpeculation affects not the body of the people. The medium circulating in the kingdom, lias a fixed permanent value, and affords no opportunities for irregular gains.

Very different is fpeculation in America. Here its objects are in every perfon's hands; changes of value are frequent; opportunities of gain, numberlefs; and the evil pervades the community. The country fwarms with fpeculators, who are fearching all places, from the ftores of the wealthy, to the receffies of indigence, for opportunities of making lucrativ bargains. Not a tavern can we enter, but we meet crowds of thefe people, who wear their character in their countenances.
But the fpeculators are not the only men whofe character and principles are expofed by fuch a fate of the currency ; the honeft laborer and the regular merchant are often tempted to forfake the eftablifhed principles of advance. Every temptation of this kind attacks the moral principles, and expofes men to fmall deviations from the rectitude of commutativ juftice.

Such are the fources of corruption in commercial intercourfe. A relaxation of principle, in one inftance, leads to every fpecies of vice, and operates till its caufes ceafe to exift, or till all the fupports of focial confidence are fubverted. : It is remarked by people very illiterate and circumfcribed in their obfervation, that there is not now the fame confidence between man and man; which exifted before the war. It is doubtlefs true ; this diftruft of individuals, a general corruption of manners, idlenefs, and all its train of fatal confequences, may be refolved into two caufes: The fudden flood of money during the late war, and a conftant fluctuation of the value of the currencies.

The effects of a fudden augmentation of the quantity of money in circulation were fo obvious, during the war, and the example is fo recent, that the fubject requires no illuftration, but a recollection of facts. Yet there is an example recorded in the Hiftory of France, fo exactly in point, that I cannot omit it.

During the regency of the Duke of Crleans, one Law, who had fled from punifhment in Scotland, and taken refuge in France, obtained, by his addrefs, a great thare of confidence in the councils of the regent. He
formed a plan of drawing all the fpecie from circulation, and iffuing bills upon the royal treafury. It is not neceffary to name the expedients he ufed to effect his purpofe. It is fufficient to obferve, that by various methods, he drew moft of the fpecie of the kingdom into the public treafury, and iffued bills to about one hundred times the value of the fpecie, which had before circulated. The notes or fecurities depreciated as they were thrown into circulation, like our continental clarrency. The nature of a medium of trade, it feems, was not well underfood: Such a fudden depreciation was a furprifing phenomenon at that period; men of property, who were the holders of the paper, were alarmed; the kingdom was in confufion. When the bills had funk to a fifth of their value, a royal edict was iffued, ordaining that the remaining fecie in circulation hould be funk to a level with paper. This refembles, in fome refpects, the regulation of prices in America. An edict, fo rafh and abfurd, increafed the evils it was meant to remedy, and filled the kingdom with clamor.

In a fhort time, the paper was funk as low as our continental currency, before its death.

The confufion was general ; the regent and Law were obliged to fly the kingdom; and both died in obfcurity, the one in Italy, and the other, if I miftake not, in the Netherlands. In France there was a total change of property; poor men made fortunes by fpeculation, and the rich were beggared. The refult of the whole was, that the paper was called in at a difcount, by means fimilar to the forty for one act of the United States.

But the principal view I have in ftating this example is, to fhow the effect of a fudden inundation of money upon induftry and morals. No fooner did the nation fecl an increafe of the quantity of money, but the kingdom was overrun with fpeculators; meen who left ufeful occupations, for the profpect of rapid accumulations of wealth. Knavery, over reaching, idlenefs, prodigality, and every kind of vice prevailed, and filled the kingdom with diffrefs, confufion, and poverty.

The South Sea bubble, in England, was a farce of a fimilar kind, but its effects were lefs extenfiv.

The continental currency was not the fole caufe of the idlenefs and Ipeculation, which prevailed in this country, about the years 1780,1781 , and 1782 . Valt quantities of fpecie were introduced by the French army, by the Spanifh trade, and by a clandefine intercourfe with the Britin garrifons. At the clofe of the war, there was more than double the quantity of gold and filver in the country, which was neceffiary for the purpofes of a regular commerce.

This extraordinary circulation of fpecie had its ufual, its certain effect ; it prompted multitudes to quit manual labor for trade. This circumftance, in conjunction with the dibanding of the army, which left great numbers of men without employment, and with a rage for foreign goods, which was always Atrong, and was then increafed by a long war, filled our commercial towns with hofts of adventurers in bufinefs. The confequent influx of goods and cnormous credit neceffiry to obtain them, are evils that deeply affect this country. I will not attempt a detaii of the flate of commerce in the United States; but obferve that the neceffary exportation of fecie was the happieft event that could befal the United States; the only event that could turn induftry into its proper channel, and reduce the commerce of the country to a proportion with the agriculture.

Diffipation was another confequence of a fiond of money. No country perhaps on earth can exhibit fuch a fpirit of diffipation among men, who derive their fupport from bufinefs, as America. It is fuppofed by good judges, that the expenfes of fubfiftence, drefs and equipage, were nearly doubled in the commercial towns, the two firt years of the peace. I have no doubt the fupport of the common people was enhanced twenty five per cent. This augmentation of expenfes, with a dimunition of productiv induftry, are the confequences of too much money, and a fearcity is our only remedy.

Short

Short fighted people complain of the prefent fcarcity; but it is the only hope of our political falvation ; and that Legiflature which ventures to remove popular complaints, by a coinage of great quantities of fpecie, or by its fubflitute, paper, checks induftry, keeps alive a ipirit of diffipation, and retards the increafe of folid wealth. If this has been neceffary, it is a neceffity fincerely to be lamented.

But there is one fource of idlenefs and corruption, which is general in America, and bids fair to be of long duration. I refer to the different fpecies of federal and State fecurities, which are every where diffuled, and of fluctuating value. Thefe evidences of our debts open fuch profpects for rapid accumulations of property to every clafs of people, that men cannot withfland the temptation: Thoufands are drawn from ufeful occupations into a courfe of life, which cannot poffibly benefit fociety; which muft render them ufelefs, and probably will render them bad men, and dangerous members of a community.

What remedy can be applied to fo great an evil, it is not for me to determin. But if I may offer my fentiments freely, I muft acknowlege that I think no meafure can produce fo much mifchief, as the circulation of a depreciated changeable currency. Let all our debts be placed on the footing of bank ftock, and made transferaible only at the treafury ; or let the prefent evidences of it be called in, and new notes iffued, payable only to the creditor or original holder ; or let the fecurities be purchafed at their current difcount, let fome method be adopted to draw them from circulation; for they deftroy public and private confidence ; they cut the finews of induftry; they operate like a flow poifon, diffolving the famina of government, moral principles.

No paper fhould circulate in a commercial country, which is not a reprefentativ of ready cah; it muft at leaft command puncual intereft, and fecurity of the principal when demanded. Without thefe requifits, all notes will certainly depreciate. Moft of our public fecurities want ail the requifits of a paper currency.

But if they did not; if they were equal -in value to bank notes or fpecie, ftill the fums are much too large for a circulating medium in America. The amount of the continental and State certificates, with the emiffions of paper by particular States, cannot be lefs than feventy millions of dollars, which is feven times the fum neceflary for a circulation.

Were they equal in value to gold and filver, the whole medium would depreciate, fpecie as well as paper. But as they want every requifit of a paper currency, the whole depreciation falls upon the fecurities.

An alarming confequence of the State of our public debt remains to be confidered. Want of confidence in the public, added to the vaft quantity of paper, has funk it to a third, fixth, or eighth part of its nominal value. Moft of the creditors of the public have parted with their fecurities at a great difcount, and are thus robbed of the monies which they earned by the fweat of the brow. Men of property have purchafed them for a trifle, and in fome States receive the intereft in fpecie. In Maffachufetts, this is the cafe with refpect to fome part of the State debt. When a man buys a note of twenty fhillings value for five, and receives the intereft, fix per cent. in fpecie, he in fact receives twenty four per cent. on his money.

This is one fource of the infurrection in Maffachufetts. The people feel the injuftice of paying fuch an intereft to men who earned but a fmall part of it, and whofe fole merit is, that they have more money than their fellow citizens who fuffer the lofs by depreciation. Thofe men in particular, who fought for our independence, or loaned their property to fave the country, view with indignant refentment, that law which obliges them to pay twenty four per cent. intereft on the fecurities, which they have fold for a fourth, or an eighth part of their honeft demands.

This cannot juftify the violent fteps taken by the people; becaufe petitions, and united firmnefs in a conftitutional way, would have procured redrefs. But

I fate the facts to fhew the effects of fpeculation, or rather, of the want of faith in public engagements.

Such are the confequences of a variable medium ; neglect to induffry ; application to irregular commerce; relaxation of principles in focial intercourfe; diftruft of individuals; lofs of confidence in the public, and of refpect for laws; innumerable acts of injuftice between man and man, and between the State and the fubject; popular uneafinefs, murmurs and infurrections. And fuch effects will exift till their caufe fhall be removed. Not the creation of a Supreme Power over the United States, is an object of more importance, than the annihilation of every fpecies of fluctuating currency.

That inftability of law, to 'which republics are prone, is another fource of corruption. Multiplication and changes of law have a great effect in weakening the force of government, by preventing or deftroying habits. Law acquires force by a fteady operation, and government acquires dignity and refpect, in proportion to the uniformity of its proceedings. Neceffity perhaps has madc our federal and provincial governments frequently fhift their meafures, and the unforefeen or unavoidable variations of public fecurities, with the innpoffibility of commanding the refources of the continent, to fulfil engagements, all predict a continuation of the evil. But the whole wifdom of Legillatures fhould be exerted to devife a fyitem of meafures which may preclude the neceffity of changes that tend to bring government into contempt,

A mild or lax execution of law may alfo have a bad effect in leffening the refpect for its officers. In a monarchy, there is no reafoning with the executive; the will of the prince infpires terror. In our governments, the officers are often familiar, and will even delay juftice as long as poffible to affit the prifoner.

In fome of the eaftern States, the frequency and mildnefs of laws, have introduced very fingular habits. The people of Connecticut refpect the laws as much as any people ; they would not be guilty of difobedience ; they mean generally to pay their debts, but are
ner very anxious to be punctual. They fuppofe a creditor can wait for his money longer than the period when it is due, and think it hard if he will not.*

This mild execution of law, and a confequential habit of dilatorinefs, which arife from the firit of equality, are fill prevalent amongft the body of the people. Thefe gave rife to the late incorporation of feveral commercial towns, with large powers; an expedient which has anfwcred the purpofe of giving to commerce the advantage of energy and difpatch in the collection of debts. As moft of the bufinefs is done in the cities, this effect will gradually extend itfelf, and form different habits.

The great misfortune of the multiplicity of laws and frequency of litigation, is, that they weaken a refpect for the executiv authority, deftroy the principle of honor, and transfer the difgrace, which ought to follow delinquency in payment, from a man's reputation, to the adminiftration of juftice. The lawyers and courts are impeached, when the whole blame ought to fall upon the debtor for his impunetuality. Honor, a fubftitute for honefty, has more influence upon men than law; for in the one cafe, a man's character is at Itake, and in the other, his property. When a man's character fuffers not, by a failure of engagements, and by a public profecution, the collection of debts mut be flow. But when a man's reputation is fufpended on the punctual difcharge of his contracts, he will fpare no pains to do it ; and this is or ought to be the cale in all commercial countries.

Extenfiv eredit, in a popular government, is always pernicious, and may be fatal. When the people are deeply or generally involved, they have power and ftrong temptations to introduce an abolition of debts; an agrarian law, or that modern rennement on the Roman plan, which is a fubetitute for both, a paper currency, iffued on depreciating principles. Rhode Ifland

[^24]is a melancholy proof of this truth, and New Hampinire narrowly efcaped the deplorable evils. In governments like ours, it is policy to make it the intereft of people to be honeft. In fhort, the whole art of governing confifts in binding each individual by his particular intereft, to promote the aggregate intereft of the community.

Maffachufetts affords a friking example of the danger incurred by too many private debts. During the war the operation of jultice was neceffarily fufpended, and debts were confantly multiplying and accumulating. When law came to be rigoroufly enforced, the people were diffreffed beyond meafure, particularly in the weftern counties, where people are poorer than in the parts of the State better fettled, and nearer to market. Thefe private debts crowded hard, and operated with the demands of the federal creditors, to puhh the people into violent meafures.

The planters in Virginia owe immenfe fums of money to the Britifh merchants. What is the confequence? a law, furpending the eollection of Britifh debts. The lofs of their flaves is the oftenfible excufe for this law ; but a more folid reafon muft be, the utter impofibility of immediately difcharging the debts. In our governments the men who owe the money, make the laws; and a general embarraffment of circumftances is too ftrong a temptation to evade or fufpend the performance of juffice. For this reafon, the wifdom of the Legiffature might cooperate with the interef of the merchant, to check a general credit. In fome cafes it might be fafe and wife to withdraw the protection of law from debts of certain defriptions. It is an excellent law in one State, which ordains, that no tavern debt, of more than two days flanding, fhall be recoverable by law. It prevents tavern haunting and its confequences, idlencfs, drunkennefs and quarrels. Perhaps laws of this kind have the beft effect in introduc. ing punctual payments. Their firt effect is to prevent credit ; but they gradually change a man's regard for his property, to a more activ and cfficient principle, an attention to his character.

In the prefent anarchy in Maffachufetts, monied men yet credit with the merchant, and are punetual to fulfil engagements, as they are fenlible that the merchant relies folely on their honor. The certain ultimate tendency of withdrawing the protection of law from particular kinds of debts, is to difcourage tricks and evafions, and introduce habits of punctuality in commerce.

The prefent fate of our public credit hath the fame effect. Repeated violations of publie faith, the circulation of a variable medium of trade, the contempt of law, the perpetual fear of new legiflativ fchemes for difcharging our debts, and of tender laws, have made men very cautious in giving credit, and when they do give it, they depend more on the honor of a man than on any fecurity derived from law. This ore happy cffect of want of confidence in the public, is fome fmall confolation for an infinite variety of political cvils and diftreffes.

Laws to prevent credit would be beneficial to poor people. With refpect to the contraction of debts, people at large, in fome meafure, refemble children; they are not judges even of their own intereft. They anticipate their incomes, and very often, by mifcalculation, much more than their incomes. But this is not the worft effect ; an eafy credit throws them off their guard in their expenfes. In general we obferve that a flow, laborious acquifition of property, creates a caution in expenditures, and gradually forms the mifer. On the other hand, a fudden acquifition of money, either by gambling, lotteries, privateering, or marriage, has a tendency to open the heart, or throw the man off his guard, and thus makes him prodigal in his expenfes. Perhaps this is ever the cafe, except when a penurious habit has been previoufly formed.

An eafy and extenfiv credit has a fimilar effect. When people can poffefs themfelves of property without previous labor, they confume it with improvident liberality. A prudent man will not ; but a large proportion of mankind have not prudence and fortitude
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enough to reifif the demands of pride and appetite. Thus they often riot on other men's property, whicly they would not labor to procure. They form habits of indolence and extravagance, which ruin their families, and impoverifh their creditors.

Another effect of extenfiv credit, is a multitude of lawyers. Every thing which tends to create difputes, to multiply debts, weaken a regard to commercial engagements, and place the collection of debts on law, rather than on honour, increafes the encouragement of lawyers. The profeffion of law is honorable, and the profeffors, I fcruple not to aver, as liberal, honeft and refpectable, as any clafs of men in the State. But their bufinefs muft be confidered as a public evil, except int the drafting of legal inftruments, and in fome real important difputes. Such is the habit of trufting to law, for the recovery of debts, that, in fome of the eaftern States, one half or two thirds of the lawyers are mere collectors. They bring forward fuits for finall debts, that are not difputed; they recover judgement upon default, they take out executions, and live upon their fees.

The evil is not fo great in the middle States ; but it is great in all the States. Never was there fuch a rage for the fludy of law. From one end of the continent to the other, the ftudents of this fcience are multiplying without number. An infallible proof that the bufinefs is lucrativ.

The infurgents in Maffachufetts enumerate lawyers among their grievances. They wifh the Legiflature to limit their number and their demands. Short fighted mortais! They feem not to confider that lawyers grow out of their own follies, and that the only radical remedy for the evil is, to contract no more debts than they can pay, with frict punctuality.

The number of profeffional men in a State Chould be as few as poffible; for they do not increafe the property of the State, but liv on the property acquired by others.

There

There is littie danger that the number of clergymen will be too great. In a few inftances, religious parties may have multiplied their teachers to too great a number, and peihaps in fome parts of the country, a few more miniters of the gofpel would be very ufeful.

Phyficians will multiply in proportion to the luxuries and idienefs of men. They cannot be limited by law, for people will be as intemperate and as lazy as they pleafe.

But an artful Legiflature will take away fome of the caufes of litigation, and thus curtail the number of lawyers. We may always determin the degree of corruption, in commercial habits, by the number of civil fuits in the courts of law. The multiplication of lawyers is a proof of private embarraffments in any State ; it is a convincing proof that in America thefe embarraffments are numberlefs. The evil is of fuch magnitude in fome States, as to fufpend the operation of law, and in all it produces diftruft among men, renders property unfafe, and perplexes our mutual intercourfe. In this fituation, with popular governments, and an unbcunded rage for magnificent living, perhaps the only effectual remedy for a multitude of public evils, is the reftraining of credit. It might even be ufeful to deffroy all credit on the fecurity of law, except debts of certain defcriptions, where mortgages might be given. This would not check bufinefs, but it would oblige people to exercife a principle of honor, and to have recourfe to induftry, and ready payment for articles which their neceffities or their fancies require. We flould then be better able to determin, whether bucks and bloods, in high life, "who roll the thundering chariot o'er the ground," are fporting with their own property, or that of honeft creditors.

I cannot clofe thefe remarks without obferving how much this country owes to particular claffes of people for the practice of the commercial virtues. To the Friends, the Germans and the Dutch, this country is indebted for that induftry and provident economy, which
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which enables them to fubfift without anxiety, and to be honeft and punctual, without embarraffment.

Happy would it be for this country, if thefe virtues were more generally practifed. Paper money and foreign credit are mere temporary expedients to keep up the appearance of wealth and fplendor; but they are miferable fubftitutes for folid property. The only way to become rich at home and refpectable abroad, is to become induftrious, and to throw off our flavifh depend ence on foreign manners, which obliges us to facrifice our opinions, our tafte, and our intereft, to the policy and aggrandizement of other nations.

## No. VIII.

## On PAPER MONEY.

[Publifhed at Ealimore, Auguft 9, 1785.]

## Meffrs. Printers,

IOBSERVED a paragraph of intelligence in your Journal, of the 26th of July, refpecting the circulation of paper currency in North Carolina. I am not difpofed to difpute the truth of the fact, that paper currency paffes in that State at par with fpecie; but I hould be very forry to fee it drawn into a precedent for other States.
The farcity of cafb is a general complaint, and fuperficial obfervers impute the evil to a wrong caufe, while fhallow reafoners would remedy it by an emiffion of paper credit.

The real ftate of our commerce is this ; fince the ratification of peace, the quantity of goods imported into the United States has been much greater than what was neceffary for the confumption of the inhabitants. Perhaps I fhall not be wide of the truth, when I fuppofe that one third of the importations would fupply the demands of people. The confequence is, the other two thirds continue on hand as a fuperfluity. The merchant finds no market for his goods, and erroneoufly imputes the evil to a fcarcity of cafh. But the real truth is, people do not want his goods; they purchafe what they want, and find cafh or produce to make payment ; but the furplus remains in fore.

In every trading nation, there ought to be a due proportion between the commercial interef, the agricultural and the manufacturing. Whenever the farmers and manufacturers are too numerous for the merchants, produce and manufactures will be plentiful and cheap; trade will of courfe be lucrativ. Whenever the merchants are too numerous for the laborers, the importations of
the former will exceed the wants of the latier ; of courfe goods will not find vent; and the merchant who owes nothing may lie and fleep in indolence, while the merchant who deals on credit muft fail. The experience of almolt every day proves the truth of this reafoning. I will fuppofe that the number of merchants, and the quantity of goods in Baltimore, are double to what they were two years ago ; and the market for goods is nearly the fame. The effeet will be, that the fane profit of buinefs will be divided among double the number of men, while, at the fame time, rents and the price of provifion in market will be doubie. The clear profit of the merchant will therefore be reduced to one fourth part of what it was two years ago. I fubmit to the inhabitants of this fouri/bing town, whether this is a mere fuppofition, or a moderate flate of fasts; and whether this reafoning will not, in a greater or lefs degree, apply to every commercial town in the United States.

But is not money fcarce? With refpect to the quantity of goods in ftore, money is very farce: With refpect to the produce of the country, there is money enough. Almoft every article of home produce will command cafh; but the merchant cannot get cafh for his goods. Money is the reprefentativ of goods bought and fold. I will fuppofe, for the fake of argument, that two years ago there was cafh enough in the country to purchafe all the goods in market at the ufual advance. I will fuppole that the quantity of goods has been trebled fince that time. In this cafe, had the quantity of moncy continued the fame, there would have been cafh enough to purchafe juft one third of the goods. Sut fuppofe what is true, that at the time the quantity of goods increafes in this proportion, the quantity of money in circulation diminifhes in the fame proportion. In this cafe there will be but one third of the cafh to purchafe three times the goods. Thus but one fixth part of the grods can be purchafed by the circulating cafh. The merchant muft then lower the price of his goods to one fixth of their value, or keep thens
them on hand. This reafoning, however mathematical, is juft, and applies to all commercial countries. It is a fair flate of facts in America. But though the quantity of money is greatly diminifhed, yet there is fufficient to reprefent the produce of the country, which in quantity continues the fame. The price is however lowered by the diminution of the quantity of circulating cafh.

Whether the quantity of cafh is diminifhed, and the quantity of goods increafed in the exact proportion above ftated, is not material, the foregoing reafoning being fufficient to illuftrate the principle. The probability is, that the difproportion between the goods in market and the cafh in circulation, is greater than I have fuppofed.

The following propofitions, I venture to affert, are generally, if not univerfally, true :

1. That the imports of a country hould never exceed its exports. In other words, the value of the goods imported fhould never exceed the value of the fuperfluous produce, or that part of the produce which the inhabitants do not want for their own confump, tion.
2. That too great a quantity of cafh in circulation, is a much greater evil than too fmall a quantity.
3. That too much money in a commercial country will inevitably produce a fcarcity.
4. That the wealth of a country does not confift in cailh, but in the produce of induftry, viz. in agriculture and manusactures.
5. That in a commercial country, where people are induftrious, there can never be, for any long time, a want of cafh fufficient for a riedium.

The firft propofition is univerfally acknowleged to be true.

The fecond is lefs obvious, but equally true. Too much money raifes the price of labor and of its effects; deprives us confequently of a foreign market ; produces indolence and diffipation ; than which greater evils cannot happen to a State. The fudden increafe
creafe of money, by large emiffions of paper credit, at the beginning of the late war, produced more luxury, indolence, corruption of morals, and other fatal effects, than all other caufes that ever took place in America. We feel thefe evils to this moment. On the other hand, a fcarcity of cafh, tho it cramps commerce for a moment, always checks the evils before mentioned, lowers the price of labor, and produce will of courfe find a profitable market ; it produces economy and induftry, and confequently preferves the morals of the people ; for induftry goes further in preferving purity of morals, than all the fermons that were ever preached.

This leads to an illuftration of the third propofition. If too much money in a country raifes the price of labor and of produce, the confequence is, that people will go abroad for articles, becaufe they are cheaper in foreign markets, and they will purchafe as long as they can get cafh. Importations will be multiplied till the country is drained of cafh, and then bufinefs will return to a new channel. The hiftory of trade in America, the laft two years, is an illuftration of this propofition.

The fourth propofition, alfo, is illuftrated by facts. I will fuppofe that ten millions of dollars are fufficient for a medium in America : Let that fum be inftantaneoufly augmented to twenty millions, and the country is not a farthing richer, for the price of goods will be immediately doubled. Two dollars, in the latter cafe, purchafe no more than one in the former. People ignorantly fuppofe that goods rife in value; when the fact is, money falls in value. Continental currency was a proof of this. There was caih enough for a medium in the country before the war ; and the addition of two hundred millions of dollars did not increafe the wealth of the country one farthing ; nor would the whole purchaie more than the ten millions of fpecie which circulated before the war. Had the paper all been Spanifh milled dollars, the effect would have been the fame, had they continued in the counery, and not been hoarded.

The fifth propofition depends on this fimple fact, that money is a fluid in the commercial world, rolling from hand to hand wherever it is wanted, and there is any thing to purchare it. Let the produce of a country excel, in the leaft degree, the confumption, and it will never want money.

Admitting the foregoing obfervations to be true, both the necelfity and policy of emitting paper, vanifh at once. Suppofing paper currency to preferve its credit, ftill fo far from increafing the medium of trade, that in a few months it will drive all the fpecie from the country. Bank notes and bills of exchange are ufeful in facilitating a change or conveyance of property; but to iffue paper credit, merely with a view to increafe the circulating medium, in a country where the people may have juft as much gold and filver as they are pleaied to work for, is the height of folly. If people are indolent, or extravagant, all the paper currency under heaven will not make them rich, or fupply their wants of cafh. If people are induftrious and frugal, and purchafe no more foreign goods than they can pay for in fuperfluous produce, they will ever have cafh enough. Their whole fyftem of commerce fands on thefe fingle facts.
If the merchants bring more goods than people want, bufinefs muft be dull; money with them mufl be fcarce. At the clofe of the war, cafh was plentiful and goods fcarce. This made bufinefs lively, till people had procured a fupply. Remittances were made in cafh, fo long as it could be obtained. That period is paft, and the merchant muft now look for remittances where alone they ought ever to be found, in the produce of the country. Bufinefs is juft now returning into its proper channel, from which it had been diverted by the violence of war, and the fluctuations of paper credit. The rapid population of a country is an agreeable circumftance; but every profeffion ought to increafe in a due proportion. Suppofing ten thoufand carpenters were to land in Baltimore at once, would they have bufinefs? Or would they not exclaim, buffnefs is dull ${ }_{2}$
money is fearce? Every one might have a trifle of bufinefs, but they could not all make fortunes.

An event fimilar to this has taken place in Baltimore. The reputation for bufinefs which Baltimore had acquired juit at the clofe of the war, brought merchants here from every part of the world, and almoft one half of the town has been built within two years. How, in the name of common fenfe, do the merchants expect to find bufinefs? The people who come to this market, multiply gradually, and double in about thirty years. But the merchants who fupply the goods have doubled, if not trebled, in numbers and fock, within three years. There is, however, an expedient which will yet enable them all to liv by trade. Let every merchant fend abroad to Ireland or Gerınany, and bring over his liundred able induftrious farmers, and fix them on the fertile lands of Maryland, which now lie ufelefs and uncultivated in the hands of the Nabobs: Or let three fourths of the traders quit the bufinefs. Either of thefe expedients will make cafh plentiful ; and one of them mult take place.

I will juft make one further remark; the want of a proper union among the States, will always render our commerce fluctuating and unprofitable. We may do as much bufinels as we pleale ; but if the duties and reftrictions on cur trade remain, and the flag of the United States is infulted as it has been, and each State is laying duties on the trade of its neighbor, our commerce cannot be reduced to a fyltem, and our profits muft be uncertain. The want of a Continental Power to guard the honor of the whole body, and reduce our meafures to one uniform fyftem, is the great fource of endlefs calamities. We fhall feel national abufe, till Congrefs are vefted with powers fufficient to govern and proteet us; and till that period, foreigners, like fo many harpies, will prey upon our commerce, and difappoint the exertions of our induftry.

## No. IX.

## On REDRESS of GRIEVANCES.

NEWBURY PORT, 1786.

BY fome refolves of the difcontented people of this State, (Maffachufetts) it appears that the true caufe of public grievances is miftaken, and confequently the mode of redrefs will be miftaken. It is laughable enough to hear the people gravely refolving, that the fitting of the general court at Bofton is a grievance, when every body may recollect that about twelve years ago, the removal of the Legiflature to Cambridge, was a grievance ; an unconftitutional ftretch of power, that threw the province into a buftle. A great change, fince Hutchinfon's time! Bofton then was the only proper feat of the Legillature.

Lawyers, too, are fqueezed into the catalogue of grievances. Why, fir, lawyers are a confequence; not a caufe of public evils. They grow out of the lazinefs, dilatorinefs in payment of debts, breaches of contract, and other vices of the people ; juft as mufhrooms grow out of dunghills after a fhower, or as diftilleries fring out of the tafte for New England rum. The fober, induftrious, frugal Dutch, in New York, and the Quakers and Germans in Penfylvania, have no occafion for lawyers; a collector never calls upon them twice, and they feel no grievances. Before the war, there was, in Orange county, New York, but one action of debt tried in eighteen years. O happy people! happy times! no grievances.

Mr. Printer, I faw a man the other day, crying a bufhel or two of flaxfeed. Flaxfeed is a cafh article, and cafh pays taxes. The man wanted cafh to pay his taxes; he muf have cafh ; but, Mr. Printer, half an hour afterwards, I faw him half drunk, and his faddle bags filled with coffee, But, fir, coffee pays no taxes. Another,

Another, a few days ago, brought a lamb to market. Lambs command cah, and calh pays taxes; but the good countryman went to a fore, and bought a feather ; five fhillings for a feather, Mr. Printer, and feath ers pay no taxes. Is it not a gricuance, fir, that feathers and ribbands, and coffee and new rum, will not pay taxes?
Now, Mr. Printer, in my humble opinion, there are but two effectual methods of redreffing grievances; one depends on the people as individuals, and the other on the Supreme Executiv authority.

As to the firlt, let every perfon, whether farmer, mechanic, lawyer, or doctor, provide a fmall box, ( $a$ fmall box will be big enough) with a hole in the lid. When he receives a fhilling, let him put fix pence into the box, and ufe the other fix pence in providing for his family; not rum or feathers, but good bread and meat. Let this box remain untouched, until the collector fhall call. Then let it be opened, the tax paid, and the ${ }^{-}$ overplus of calh may be expended on gauze, ribbands, tea, and New England rum. Let the box then be put into its place again, to receive pence for the next colleitor. This method, Mr. Printer, will redrefs all grievances, without the trouble, noife and expenfe of town meetings, conventions and mobs.
As to the other method, fir, I can only fay, were I at the head of the Executiv authority, I fhould foon put the quettion to a decifiv iffue. It fhould be determined, on the firft infurre:tion, whether our lives and our properties fhall be fecure under the law and the conflitution of the State, or whether they muft depend on the mad refolves of illegal meetings. Honef men then would know whether they may reft in fafety at home, or whether they mult feck for tranquillity in fome diffant country.

## No. X.

## The D E VIL is in you.*

PROVIDENCE, ITS6.

THAT the political body, like the animal, is liable to violent difeafes, which, for a time, bafle the healing art, is a truth which we all acknowlege, and which moft of us lament. But as moft of the diforders, incident to the human frame, are the confequence of an intemperate indulgence of its appetites, or of neglecting the moft obvious means of fafcty ; fo moft of the popular tumults, which difturb government, arife from an abufe of its bleflings, or an inattention to its principles. A man of a robuf conflitution, relying on its ftrength, riots in gratifications which weaken the Aamina vita; the furfeiting pleafures of a few years deftroy the power of enjoyment ; and the full fed voloptuary feels a rapid tranfition to the meagre valetudinarian. Thus people who enjoy an uncommon fhare of political privileges, often carry their freedom to licentioufnefs, and put it out of their power to enjoy focicty by deftroying its fupport.

Too much bealth is a difeafe, which often requires a very frict regimen; too much liberty is the wortt of $t y$ ranny; and wealth may be accumulated to fuch a degree as to impoveri/b a State. If all men attempt to become mafters, the moft of them would neceffarily become flaves in the attempt ; and could every man on earth poffefs millions of joes, every man would be poorer than any man is now, and infinitely more wretched, becaufe they could not procure the neceffaries of life.

My countrymen, it is a common faying now, that the devil is in you. I queftion the influencc of the devil, however, in thefe affairs. Divines and politicians agree in this, to father all evil upon the devil;
but
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but the effects afcribed to this prince of evil fpirits, both in the moral and political world, I afcribe to the wickednefs and ignorance of the human heart. Taking the word Devil in this fenfe, he is in you, and among you, in a variety of thapes.

In the firft place, the weaknefs of our federal government is the devil. It prevents the adoption of any meafures that are requifit for us, as a nation ; it keeps us from paying our honeft debts; it alfo throws out of our power all the profits of commerce, and this drains us of cafh. Is not this the devil ? Yes, my countrymen, an empty purfe is the devil.

You fay you are jealous of your rights, and darenot truft Congrefs. Well, that jealoufy is an evil fpirit, and all evil fpirits are devils. So far the devil is in you. You aet, in this particular, juft like the crew of a fhip, who would not truft the helm with one of their number, becaufe he might poffibly run her afhore, when by leaving her without a pilot, they were certain of Thipwreck. You act juft like men, who in raifing a building, would not have a mafter workman, becaufe he might give out wrong orders. You will be mafters yourfelves; and as you are not all ready to lift at the fame time, one labors at a ftick of timber, then another, then a third; you are then vexed that it is not raifed; why let a mafter order thirteen of you to take hold together, and you will lift it at once. Every family has a maficr (or a miftrefs-I beg the ladies' pardon.) When a thip or a houfe is to be built, there is a mafter; when highways are repairing, there is a mafter ; every little fchool has a mafter; the continent is a great fchool; the boys are numerous, and full of roguifh tricks, and there is no mafler. The boys in this great fchool play truant, and there is no perfon to chaftife them. Do you think, my countrymen, that America is more eafily governed than a fchool? You do very well in finall matters; extend your reafon to great ones. Would you not laugh at a farmer who would faften a cable to a plough, and yet attempt to draw a houle with a cobweb? "And Nathan faid unto David,
thou art the man." You think a mafter neceffary to govern a fere harmlefs children in a fchool or family ; yet leave thoufands of great rogues to be governed by good advice. Believe me, my friends, for I am ferious ; you lofe rights, becaufe you will not giv your magiftrates authority to proted them. Your liberty is defpotifm, becaule it has no control ; your power is nothing, becaufe it is not united.

But further, luxury rages among you, and luxury is the devil. The war has fent this evil demion to imporerifh people, and embarrafs the public. The articles of rum and tea alone, which are drank in this country, would pay all its taxes. But when we add, fugar, coffee, feathers, and the whole lift of baubles and trinkets, what an enormous expenfe? No wonder you want paper currency. My countrymen are all grown very tafty! Feathers and jordans muft all be imported! Certainiy gentlemen, the devil is among you. A Hampihire man, who drinks forty fhillings worth of rum in a year, and never thinks of the expenfe, will raife a mob to reduce the governor's falary, which does not amount to three pence a man per annum. Is not this the devil?

My countrymen-A writer appeared, not long ago, informing you how to redrefs grievances.* He givs excellent advice. Let every man make a little box, and put into it four pence every day. This in a year will amount to fix pounds one filling and eeight pence, a fum more than fufficient to pay any poor man's tax. Any man can pay three or four pence:a day, though no poor man can, at the end of a year, pay fix pounds. Take my advice, every man of you, and you will hardly feel your taxes.

But further, a tender law is the devil. When I truft a man a fum of money, I expect he will return the full value. That Legiflature which fays my debtor may pay me with one third of the value he received, commits a deliberate aft of villany; an adt for which an individual, in any government, would be honored with
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with a whipping poft, and in moft governments, wititi a gallows. When a man makes dollars, one third of which only is filver, and paffies them for good coin, he muft lofe his ears, \&cc.

But Legiflatures can, with the folemn face of rulers, and guardians of juftice, boldly give currency to an adulterated coin, enjoin it upon debtors to cheat their creditors, and enforce their fyftematic knavery with legal penalties. The differences between the man who makes and paffes counterfeit money, and the man who tenders his creditor one third of the value of the debt, and demands a difcharge, is the fame as between a thief and a robber. The firft cheats his neighbor in the dark; and takes his property without his knowlege : The laft boldly meets him at noon day, tells him he is a rafcal, and demands his purfe.

My countrymen, the devil is among you. Make paper as much as you pleafe; make it a tender in all future contrabts, or let it reft on its own bottom : But remember that paft contracts are facred things; that Legiflatures have no right to interfere with them ; they have no right to fay, a debt fhall be paid at a difcount, or in any manner which the parties never intended. It is the bufinefs of juftice to fulfil the intention of parties in contracts, not to defeat them. 'To pay bond fide contracts for cafh, in paper of little value, or in old horfes, would be a difhoneft attempt in an individual ; but for Legiflatures to frame laws to fupport and encourage fuch deteftable villany, is like a judge who flould infribe the arms of a rogue over the feat of juftice, or clergymen who fhould convert into bawdyhoufes the temples of Jehoval. My countrymen, the world fays, the devil is in you: Mankind deteft you as they would a neft of robbers.

But lafly, mobs and conventions are devils. Good men love law and legal meafures. Knaves only fear law, and try to deftroy it. My countrymen, if a conftitutional Legiflature cannot redrefs a gricvance, a mob never can. Laws are the fecurity of life and property; nay, what is more, of liberty. The man
who encourages a mob to prevent the operation of law, ceafes to be free or fafe; for the fame principle which leads a man to put a bayonet to the breaft of a judge $x_{x}$ will lead him to take property where he can find it; and when the judge dare not act, where is the lofer's remedy? Alas, my friends, too much liberty is no liberty at all. Giv ine any thing but mobs; for mobs are the devil in his worft thape. I would fhoot the leader of a mob, fooner than a midnight ruffian. People may have grievances, perhaps, and no man would more readily hold up his hand to redrefs them than myfelf; but mobs rebel againft laws of their own, and rebellion is a crime which admits of no palliation.

My countrymen, I am a private, peaceable man. I have nothing to win or to lofe by the game of paper currency; but I revere juffice. I would fooner pick oakum all my life, than ftain my reputation, or pay my creditor one farthing lefs than his honeft demands.

While you attempt to trade to advantage, without a bead to combine all the States into fyltematic, uniform meafures, the world will laugh at you for fools. While merchants take and giv credit, the world will call them idiots; and laugh at their ruin. While farmers get credit, borrow money, and mortgage their farms, the world will call them fools, and laugh at their embarraffments. While all men liv beyond their income, and are harraffed with duns and fheriffs, no man will pity them, or giv them relief. But when mobs and conventions oppofe the courts of juftice, and Leginlatures make paper or old horfes a legal tender in all cales, the world will exclaim with one voice- Xe are - ogues, and the devil is in you!

No.

## No. XI.

NEW LONDON, OCTOBER; 1786.

## DESULTORY THOUGHTS.

NO government has preferved more general and uninterrupted tranquillity for a long period, than that of Connecticut. This is a ftrong proof of the force of habit, and the danger that ever attends great alterations of government or a furpenfion of law. Every fytem of civil policy muft take its complexion from the fpirit and manners of the people.

Whatever political conftitutions may be formed on paper, or in the philofopher's clofet, thofe only can bo permanent which arife out of the genius of the people.

A jealous uneafy temper has fometimes appeared, among the people of this State; but as this has always proceeded from reftlefs, ambitious men, whofe defigns have been reprobated as foon as detected, this uneafinefs has always fubfided without any violence to the Conftitution. We do not advert to the time when the courfe of law has been forcibly obftructed in Connecticut.
In the middle and fouthern States the corrupt Englifh mode of elections has been adopted: We fee men meanly ftoop to advertife for an office, or beg the votes of their countrymen. In thofe States elections are often mere riots; almoit always attended with difputes and bloody nofes, and fometimes with greater violence. In Connecticut, a man never advertifes for an office, nor do we know that a man ever folicited a vote for himfelf. We cannot name the election that produced a difpute, even in words.

It belongs to the unprincipled of other States and countries to deride religion and its preachers. It belongs to the coxcombs of courts, the productions of dancing fchools and playhoufes, to ridicule our bahfut deportment
deportment and fimplicity of manners. We revere the ancient inflitutions of fchools and churches in this State. We revere the difcipline which has given fuch a mild complexion to the manners of its inhabitants, and fecured private fatisfaction and public tranquillity.

Paper money is the prefent hobby horfe of the States, and every State has more or lefs of the paper madnefs. What a pity it is mankind will not difcern their right hands from their left. Cafb is farce, is the general cry. Well, this proves nothing more than that the balance of trade is againft us, and that we eat, drink, and wear more foreign commodities than we can pay for in produce : That is, we fpend more than we earn; or in other words, we are paor.

But nothing fhows the folly of people more, than their attempts to remedy the evil by a paper currency. This is ignorance, it is abfurdity in the extreme. Do not peonle know that the addition of millions and millions of money does not increafe the value of a circu. lating medium one farthing. Do they not know that the value of a medium ought not to be increafed beyond a certain ratio, even if it could be? and that to increafe the circulating carh of one-State beyond the circulating cafh of other States, is a material injury to it. Thefe propofitions are as demonftrable as any problem in Euclid. Ten millions of dollars in fpecie were fuppofed to be the medium in America before the war. Congrefs iffied at firt five millions in bills. As thefe came into circulation, fpecie went out ; confequently they held their nominal and real value on par, for the nominal value of the medium was not much increafed. Congrefs fent out another fum in bills; the nominal value of the medium was doubled, the bills funk one half, and the real value of the medium remained the fame. This was the fubfequent progrefs; every emiffion funk the real value of bills, and two hundred millions of dollars were, in the end, worth juft ten millions in fpecie, and no more. Towards the ciofe of the war, the fpecie in America was more than doubled ; it funk to lefs than half its former val-
ue, and the paper bills funk in the fame proportion; from forty to eighty for one, nearly. We had toa much fecie in the country, in the years 1782 and 1783 ; it ruined hundreds of merchants, and injured the community.
But it is faid, we want a circulating medium. This is not true ; we have too much in circulation. The fpecie and paper now circulating in America, amounts to fifty or fixty millions of dollars; whereas we want not more than ten or fifreen millions. The paper is therefore funk in real value, fo as to reduce the real value of the whole medium to that fum which is wanted. We may make millions of paper if we pleafe; but we fhall not add one farthing to the property of the State. Money is not wealth in a State, but the reprefentatiy of wealth. A paper currency may anfwer a temporary purpofe of errabling people to pay debts; but it is not an advantage even to the debtor, unlefs it is depreciated; and in this cafe it is an injury to the creditor. If the paper retains its value, the debtor muft fooner or later purchafe it with the produce of his labor; and if it depreciates, it is the tool of knaves while it circulates ; it ruins thoufands of honeft unfurpecting people; it gives the game to the idle feculator, who is a nuifance to the State ; it fabs publia credit and private confidence; and what is worfe than all, it unhinges the obligations which unite mankind. A flucluation of medium in a State makes more fatal ravages among the morals of people, than a peftilence among their lives. O America! happy would it have been for thy peace, thy morals, thy induftry, if, infead of a depreciation of paper bills and fecurities, flamped with public faith, millions of infernal fpirits had been let loofe among thy inhabitants! Never, never wilt thou experience the return of induftry, economy; private confidence and public content, till every fpecies of, depreciated and fluctuating medium fhall be annihilated; till Leginatures learn to revere juftice, and dread a breach of faith more than the vengeance of vindictiv heaven!

Americans ! you talk of a fcarcity of cafh. Well, the only remedy is, to enable Congrefs to place our commerce on a footing with the trade of other nations. Foreign States have nothing to do with Maffachufetts or New York. They mult make treaties with United America, or not make them at all. And while we boaft of the independence of particular States, we lofe all the benefits of independence. For fear that Congrefs would abufe their powers and enrich themfelves, we, like the dog in the manger, will not even enrich ourfelves. We complain of poverty, and yet giv the profits of our trade to foreign nations. Infatuated men! We have one truth to learn-That nothing but the abolute power of regulating our commerce, vefied in fome federal bead, can ever reftore to us carh, or turn the balance of trade in our favor. New York alone, by its advàntageous fituation, is growing rich upon the foils of her neighbors, and impoverifhing the continent to fill her own treafury:

Lawyers, you fay, O deluded Americans! are an evil. Will you always be fools? Why lawyers are as good men as others: I venture to fay further, that lawyers in this country have devifed and brought about the wifeft public meafures that any State has adopted. My countrymen, the expenfe of fupporting a hundred lawyers is a very great and a very needlefs expenfe. You pay to lawyers and courts every year thirty or forty thoufand pounds. A great expenfe, indeed! But courts and lawyers are not to be blamed. The people are the caufe of the evil, and they alone, as individuals, are able to remedy it. And yet the remedy is very fimple. Ceafe to run in debt, or pay your debts punclually; then lawyers will ceafe to exift, and court houfes will be thut. If you wifh or expect any other remedy than this, you certainly will be difappointed. A man, who purpofely rufhes down a precipice and breaks his arm, has no right to fay, that furgeons are an evil in fociety. A Legiflature may unjuftly limit the furgeon's fee ; but the broken arm muft be healed, and a furgoon is the only man to do it.
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My friends, learn wifdom. You are peaceable yet, and let the diftractions of your neighbors teach you to preferve your tranquillity.

Spend lefs money than you earn, and you will every day grow richer. Never run in debt, and lawyers will become farmers. Never make paper money, and you will not cheat your citizens, nor have it to redeem. Above all, pay your public debts, for independence and the confederation require it.

## [ 137 ]

## No. XII.

## NEW HAYEN, DECEMEER, I78Ú.

## ADVICE to CONNECTICUT FOLKS.

MY FRIENDS,

TIMES are hard ; money is fcarce; taxes are high, and private debts puth us. What thall we do ? Why, hear a few facts, ftubborn facts, and then take a bit of advice.

In the year 1637, our good forefathers declared an offenfiv war againtt the Pequot Indians. Their troops were ninety men. Weathersfeld was ordered to furnifh a hog for this army, Windfor a ram goat, and Hartford a hogthead of beer, and four or five gallons of ffrong water.*

This was ancient fimplicity! Let us make a little eftimation of the expenfes annually incurred in Connecticut. (I fay incurred, for we can contract debts, though we cannot pay them.)

I will juft make a diftinction between neceffary and unneceffary expenfes.

Governor's falary,
Lieutenant governor's, Upper houfe, attendance and travel, 60 days a year, at iol. a day,
Lower houfe, attendance and travel, 170 members, at 6s. a day, 60 days,

Carried over,

* See the records of this State, where rum is called flrong water. This was foon after the firft diffilling of fpirits, and rum was not then named. It feems, however, that our pious anceftors had a tafe for it, which their pofterity have carefully improved.
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Five judges of the Supcrior Court, at 24 s . a day, fuppofe 150 days,
Forty judges of Inferior Courts, at 9s. a day, fuppofe 40 days, $720 \quad 720$
Six thoufand actions in the year, the legal expenfe of eacin, fuppofe 3l. $\quad 18,000 \quad 1,000 \quad 17,000$
Gratuities to 120 lawyers, fuppore 5ol. each,
'Two hundred clergymen, at iool. each,
Five hundred fchools, at 201. a year, $900 \quad 900$ 6,000 1,000 5,000 Support of ppor,
$10,00010,000$
$10,000 \quad 10,000$
Bridges and other town
expenfes,
$10,000 \quad 10,000$
Contingencies and articles
not enumerated,

| 10,000 | 10,000 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 6.89,680 | .66,150 |

Now comes RUM, my friends.
$\begin{array}{lr}400,000 \text { gallons of rum, at } 4 \mathrm{S.a} \text { a gallon, } & 80,000 \\ \text { Allow for rum drank, on which excife is not } \\ \text { paid, } 50,000 \text { gallons, at } 45 . & 10,000 \\ & \\ & \text { £.90,000 }\end{array}$
Ninety nine hundredths unneceflary.
This is a fact: Deny it if you can, good folks. Now, fay not a word about taxes, judges, lawyers, courts, and women's extravagance. '. Your government, your courts, your lawyers, your clergymen, your fchools, and your poor, do not all coft you fo much as. onf
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 one paltry article, which does you little or no good, but is as deftructiv of your lives as fire and brimftone.But let us proceed.
A million of pounds of fugar, eftimated by $£$.
the returns of excife mafters, at 8 d .
(This is double the quantity we want ; but
as it 'is pernicious neither to health nor
morals, I let it pafs.)
$200,000 \mathrm{lb}$. of tea, at 3 s .6 d . 35,000
2,000 ditto hyfon, at 14 s . 1,400
(Moft of thefe unneceffary.)
Coffee, molaffes, \{pices, \&rc.

$$
10,000
$$

Dry goods,

The whole fettlement will ftand thus:

| Neceffary expenfes, | E. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Unneceffary, ditto, | 23,530 |
| Rum, and other diftilled fpirits, | 90,000 |
| Other foreign articles, | 329,733 |
|  | $f_{0} \cdot 510,413$ |
| Intereft of the federal and State debts, | £. 130,000 |

Now, good people, I have a word of advice for you. I will tell you how to pay your taxes and debts, without feeling them.
ift. Fee no lawyers.
You fay lawyers have too high fees. I fay they have not. They coft me not one farthing. Do as I have always done, and lawyers' fees will be no trouble at all. If I want a new coat, or my wife wants a new gown, we have agreed to wear the old ones until we have got caih or produce to pay for them. When we buy, we pay in hand; we get things cheaper than our neighbors; murchants never dun us, and we have no lawyers'. fees to pay. When we fee fheriffs and duns knocking
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knocking at the doors of our neighbors, we laugh at their folly. Befides, I keep a little drawer in my defk, with money enough in it to pay the next tax ; and I never touch a farthing until the collector calls. Now, good folks, if you will take the fame method, you will fave out of lawyers' fees and court charges, on the moft moderate calculations, 20,0001. a year.

2dly. I allow my family but two gallons of rum a year. This is enough for any family, and too much for moft of them. I drink cyder and beer of my own manufacture ; and my wife makes excellent beer, 1 affure you. I advife you all to do the fane. I am aftonifhed at you, good folks. Not a mechanic or a laborer gocs to work for a merchant, but he-carries home a battle of ruris. Not a load of wood comes to town, but a gallon bottle is tied to the cuift fake to be filled with rum. Searcely a woman comes to town with tow cloth, but the has a wooden gallon bottle in one fide of her faddle bags, to fill with rum. A ftranger would think you to be a nation of Indians by your thirß for this paitry liquor. Take a bit of advice from a good friend of yours. Get two gallons of ruas in a year ; have two or three frolics of imnocent mith ; keep a little fpirit for a medicine, and let your common drink be the produce or manufacture of this country. This will make a faving of almoft $4,00,000$ gallons of rum, or 80,0001 a year.

3dly. Never buy any ufelefs clothing.
Keep a good fuit for Sundays and other public days; but let your common wearing apparel be good fubftantiait cloths, and linens of your own manufacture. Let your wives and daughters lay afide their plumes. Feathers and fripperies fuit the Cherokees or the wench in your kitchen; but they little become the fair daughters of America.* Out of the dry goods im. ported, you may fave 50,0001 . a year.

## Thefe

[^26]
## ADVICE to CONNECTICU̇T FOLǨS．it

There favings amount to 150,0001 ．a year．This is more than enough to pay the interest of all out public debts．

My countrymen，I am not trifling with you：I am ferious．You feel the facts I fate；you know you are poor，and ought to know，the fault is all your own． Are you not fatisfied with the food and drink which this country affords－？The beef，the pork，the wheat， the corn，the butter，the cheefe，the cyder，the beer， thole luxuries which are heaped in profusion upon your tables？If not，you mut expect to be poor．In vain do you with for mines of gold and filver．A mine would be the greateft curfe that could befal this coun－ try．There is gold and filver enough in the world，and if you have not enough of it，it is because you confume all you earn in ufelefs food and drink．In vain do you with to increase the quantity of caff by a mint，or by paper emiffions．Should it rain millions of joes into your chimnies，on your prefent fyftem of expenfes，you would til have no money．It would leave the coin－ try in Areams．Trifle not with ferious fubjects，nor fiend your breath in empty wifhes．Reform ；econ－ omize．This is the whole of your political duty． You may reafon，fpeculate，complain，raife mobs， fend life in railing at Congrefs and your rulers；but unless you import lees than you export，unless you fend lefs than you earn，you will eternally be poor．

## No. XIII.

## NEW FORK, DECEMBER, $178 \%^{\circ}$

# To the DISSENTING MEMBERS of 

 the late Convention of Pennsylvania. GENTLEMEN,YOUR long and elaborate publication, affigning the reafons for your refufing to fubfrribe the ratification of the new Federal Conjlitution, has made its appearance in the public papers, and, I flatter myrelf, will be read throughout the United States. It will feed the flame of oppolition among the weak, the wicked, the defigning, and the factious; but it will make many new converts to the propofed government, and furnifh the old friends of it with new weapons of defence. The very attempt to excite uneafinefs and difturbance in a State, about a meafure legally and conftitutionally adopted, after a long and ample difcuffion in a convention of the people's delegates, will create fufpicions of the goodnefs of your caufe. My addrefs to you will not be fo lengthy as your publication; your arguments are $f i w$, altho your harangue is long and injidious.

You begin with telling the world, that no defict was difcovered in the prefent confederation, till after the war. Why did you not publifh the truth? You know, gentlemen, that during fix years of the war, we had no confederation at all. You know that the war commenced in April, 1775, and that we had no confederation till March, 1781 . You know (for fome of you are men of abilities and reading) or ought to know, a principle of fear, in time of war, operates more powerfully in binding together the States which have a common intereft, than all the parchment compacts on earth. Could we, then, difcover the defeets of our prefent
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prefent confederation，with two years＇experience only， and an enemy in our country？You know we could not．

I will not undertake to detef the falfehood of every affertion，or the fallacy of all your reafoning on each article．In the moft of them the public will anticipate any thing I could fay，and confute your arguments as faft as they read them．But，gentlenien，your reafon－ ing againtt the new Confitution refembles that of Mr． Hume on miracles．You begin with fome gratis diezz， which are denied；you aflume premifes whieh are to－ tally falfe，and then reafon on them with great addrefs． Your whole realoning，and that of all the oppofers of the federal government，is built on this falfe principle， that the federal Legiflature will be a body difinct from and indepentent of the people．Unlefs your oppolition is grounded on thai principle，it flands on nothing ；and on any other fuppofition；your arguments are but de－ clamatory nonfenfe．

But the principle is falle．The Congrefs，under the propofed conftitution，will liave the fame interef as the people；they are a part of the people；their intereft is infeparable from that of the people；and this union of intereft will eternally remain，while the right of e－ lection fhall continue in the people．Over this right Congrefs will have no control：The time and manner of exercifing that right are very wifely veited in Con－ grefs；otherwife a delinquent State might embarrafs the meafures of the Union．The fafety of the public requires that the federal body fhould prevent any par－ ticular delinqueney；but the right of election is above their control ；it $m u f t$ remain in the people，and be $e_{x}-$ ercifed once in two，four or fix years．A body thus organized，with thirteen Legillatures watching their meafures，and feveral millions of jealous eyes infpef－ ing their conduct，would not be apt to betray their conftituents．Yet this is not the bett ground of fafe－ ty．The firt and almof only principle that governs men，is interefl．Love of our country is a powerful aux－ iliary motiv to patriotic actions；but rarely or never
operates againft private interef. The only requifit to fecure liberty, is to connect the intereft of the governors with that of the governed. Blend thefe interefts; make them infeparable, and both are fafe from voluntary invafion. How fhall this union be formed? This queftion is anfwered. The union is formed by the equal principles on which the people of thefe States hold their prope:ty and their rights. But how fhall this union of interefts be perpetuated? The anfwer is eafy; bar all perpetuities of eftates; prevent any exclutiv rights ; preferve all preferment dependent on the choice of the people ; fuffer no power to exift independent of the people or their reprefentativs. While there exifts no power in a State, which is independent on the will of the elestors, the tights of the people are fecure. The only barrier againft tyranny, that is neceffary in any State, is the elecrion of legiflators by the yeomanry of that State. Preferve that, and every privilege is fafe. The legilators thus chofen to reprefent the people, fhould have all the power that the people would have, were they afiembled in one body to deliberate upon publiz meafures. The diftinetion between the powers of the people and of their reprefentativs in the Legillature, is as abfurd in theory, as it proves pernicious in prastice. A diftinction, which has already countenanced and fupported one rebellion in America; has prevented many good meafures; has produced many bad; has created animofities in many States, and embarraffiments in all.* It has taught the people a leffon, which, if they continuc to practife, will bring laws into contempt, and frequently mark our country with bload.

You object, gentlemen, to the powers vefted in Congrefs. Permit.me, to af. you, where will you limit their

[^27]their powers? What bounds will you prefcribe ? You will reply-we will referve certain rights, which we deem invaluable, and reftrain our rulers from abridging them. But, gentlemen, let me ank you, how will you define thefe rights? would you fay, the liberty of the prefs foall not be reftrained? Well, what is this liberty of the prefs? Is it an unlimited licence to publifh any thing and every thing with impunity? If fo, the author and printer of any treatife, however obfcene and blafphemous, will be fcreened from punifhment. You know; gentlemen, that there are books extant, fo Thockingly and infamoufly obfcene and fo daringly blafphemous, that no fociety on earth would be vindicable in fuffering the publifhers to pafs unpunifhed. You certainly know that fuch cafes have happened, and may happen again : Nay, you know that they are probable. Would not that indefinite expreffion, the liberty of the prefs, extend to the juftification of every pofible publication? Yes; gentlemen, you know, that under fuch a general licenfe, a man who fhould publifh a treatife to prove his Maker a knave, mult be fcreened from legal punifhment. I fhudder at the thought! But the truth muf: not be concealed. The conftitutions of feveral States guarantee that very licenfe.

But if you attempt to define the liberty of the prefs, and afcertain what cafes thall fall within that privilege, during the courfe of centuries, where will you begin? Or rather, where will you end? Here, gentlemen, you will be puzzled. Some publications certainly may be a breach of civil law: You will not have the effrontery to deny a truth fo obvious and intuitivly evident. Admit that principle; and unlefs you can define precifely the cafes, which are, and are not a breach of law, you have no right to fay, the liberty of the prefs thall not be reftrained; for fuch a licenfe would warrant any breach of law. Rather than hazard fuch an abufe of privilege, is it not better to leave the right altogether with your rulers and your pofterity? No attempts have ever been made by a legiflativ body in America, to abridge that privilege; and in this free enlightened
country, no attempts could fucceed, unleis the public fhould be convinced that an abufe of it would warrant the reftriction. Should this ever be the cafe, you have no right to fay, that a future Legiflature, or that pofterity fhall not abridge the privilege, or punifh its abufes.

But you fay, that trial by jury is an unalienable right, that ought not to be trufted with our rulers. Why not ? If it is fuch a darling privilege, will not Congrefs be as fond of it, as their conftituents? An elevation into that council, does not render a man infenfible to his privileges, nor place him beyond the neceflity of fecuring them. A member of Congrefs is liable to all the operations of law, except during his attendance on public bufinefs; and thould he confent to a law, annililating any right whatever, he deprives himfelf, his family and eftate, of the benefit refulting from that right, as well as his conftituents. This circu:nftance alone, is a fufficient fecurity.

But, why this outcry about juries? If the people efteem them fo highly, why do they ever neglect them, and fuffer the trial by them to go into difufe? In fome States, Courts of Admiralty have no juries, nor Courts of Chancery at all. In the City Courts of fome States, juries are rarely or never called, altho the parties may demand them; and one State, at leaft, has lately paffed an act, empowering the parties to fubmit both law and fact to the court. It is found, that the judgment. of a court gives as much fatisfaction, as the verdict of a jury ; for the court are as good judges of fact, as jurjes, and much better judges of law. I have no defire to abolifh trials by jury, altho the original defign and excellence of them, is in many cafes fuperfeded. While the people remain attached to this mode of deciding caufes, I am confident, that no Congrefs can wreft the privilege from them.

But, gentlcmen, our legal proceedings want a reform. Involved in all the mazes of perplexity, which the chicanery of lawyers could invent, in the courfe of five thoufand years, our road to juftice and redrefsis tedious,
tedious, fatiguing and expenfiv. Our judicial proceedings are capable of being fimplified, and improved in almolt every particular. For mercy's fake, gentlemen, do not thut the door againt improvement. If the people of America, fhould ever fpurn the fhackles of opinion, and venture to leave the road, which is fo overgrown with briers and thorns, as to ftrip a man's clothes from his back as he paffes, I am certain they can devife a more eafy, fafe, and expeditious mode of adminiftering the laws, than that which haraffes every poor mortal, that is wretched enough to want legal juftice. In States where very refpectable merchants, have repeatedly toid me, they had rather lofe a debt of fifty pounds, than attempt to recover it by a legal procels, one would think that men, who value liberty and property, would not reftrain any government from fuggefting a remedy for fuch diforders.

Another right, which you would place beyond the reach of Congrefs, is the writ of babeas corpus. Will you fay that this right may not be fufpended in ary cafe ? You dare not. If it may be fufpended in any cafe, and the Congrefs are to judge of the necefinty, what fecurity have you in a declaration in its favor: You had much better fay nothing upon the fubjea.

But you are frightened at a ftanding arony. I bey you, gentlemen, to define a fanding army. If you would refure to giv Congrefs power to raife troops, to guard our frontiers, and garrifon forts, or in fhort, to enlift men for any purpofe, then we underftand you; you tie the hands of your rulers, fo that they cannot defend you againft any invafion. This is protection, indeed! But if Congrefs can raife a body of troops for a year, they can raife them for a buidred years, and your declaration againt flanding armies can have no other effe?, than to prevent Congrefs from denominating their troops, a fanding army. You would oniy introduce into this country the Englifh farce of mechanically paffing an annual bill for the fupport of troops which are never difbanded.

You object to the indefinite power of taxation irt Congrefs. You muft then limit the exercife of that power by the fums of money to be raifed; or leaving the fums indefinite, muft prefcribe the particular mode in which, and the articles on which the money is to be raifed: But the fums cannot be afcertained, becaufe the neceffities of the States cannot be forefeen nor defined. It is beyond even your wifdom and profound knowlege, gentlemen, to afcertain the public exigencies, and reduce them to the provifions of a conftitution. And if you would prefcribe the mode of raifing money, you will meet with equal difficulty. The different States have different modes of taxation, and I queftion much whether even your fkill, gentlemen, could invent a uniform fyftem that would fit eafy upon every State. It mult therefore be left to experiment, with a power that can correct the errors of a fyftem, and fuit it to the habits of the people. And if no uniform mode will anfwer this purpofe, it will be in the power of Congrefs to lay taxes in each State, according to its particular practice.

You know that requifitions on the States are ineffectual ; that they cannot be rendered effectual, but by a compulfory power in Congrefs; that without an efficient power to raife money, government cannot fecure perfon, property or juftice; that fuch power is as fafely lodged in your Reprefentativs in Congrefs, as it is ins your Reprefentativs in your diftinet Legiflatures.

You would likewife reftrain Congrefs from requiring exceffiv bail or impofing excefiv fines and unufual punifhment. But unlefs you can, in every poffible inflance, previounly define the words exceflv and unufual; if you leave the difcretion of Congrefs to define them on occafion, any reftriction of their power by a general indefinit expreffion, is a nullity-mere formal nonfenfe. What confummate arrogance muft you poffefs, to prefume you can now make better provifion for the government of thefe States, during the courfe of ages and centuries, than the future Legiflatures can, on the fpur of the occafion! Yet your whole reafoning on the fubject
fubject implies this arrogance, and a prefumption that you have a right to legiflate for pofterity !"

But to complete the lift of unalienable rights, you would infert a claufe in your declaration, that every body Jrall, in good weather, bunt on bis own land, and catcib fish in rivers that are public proberty. Here, gentlemen, you mult have exerted the whole force of your genius ! Not even the all important fubject of legiflating for a zvorld, can reftrain my laughter at this claufe! As a fupplement to that article of your bill of rights, I would fuggeft the following reftriction :-" That Congrefs Thall never reftrain any inhabitant of America from eating and drinking, at Seafonable times, or prevent his lying on his left fide, in a long winter's night, or even on his back, when he is fatigued by lying on his right." This article is of juft as much confequence as the eighth claufe of your propofed bill of rights.

But to be more ferious, gentlemen, you muft lave had in idea the foref laws in Europe, when you inferted that article; for no circumftance that ever took place in America, could have fuggefted the thought of a declaration in favor of hunting and fifhing. Will you forever perfift in error? Do you not reflect that the fate of property in America, is directly the reverfe of what it is in Europe? Do you not confider, that the foreft laws in Europe originated in feudal tyranny, of which not a trace is to be found in America? Do you not know that in this country almoft every farmer is lord of his own foil? That inftead of fuffering under the oppreffion of a monarch and nobles, a clafs of haughty mafters, totally independent of the people, almoft every man in America is a lord bimfelf, enjoying his property in fee? Where then the neceflity of laws to fecure hunting and fifhing ? You may juft as well afk for a claufe, giving licenfe for every man to till his ozun land, or milk his own cows. The barons in Europe procured foreft laws to fecure the right of hunting on their ozun land, from the intrufion of thofe who had no property in lands. But the diftribution of land in America, not only fuperfedes the neceflity of any laws
upon this fubject, but renders them abfolutely trifling. The fame laws which fecure the property in land, fecure to the owner the right of uning it as he pleafes.

But you are frightened at the profpect of a confolidation of the States. I differ from jou very widely. I am afraid, after all our attempts to unite the States, that contending interefts, and the pride of State fovereignties, will either prevent our union, or render our federal government weak, flow and inefficient. The danger is all on this fide:. If any thing under heaven now endangers our liberties and independence, it is that fingle circumftance.

You harp upon that claufe of the new conftitution, which declares, that the laws of the United States, \&c. thall be the fupreme law of the land; when you know that the powers of the Congrefs are defined, to extend only to thofe inatters which are in their nature and effects, gencral. You know, the Congrefs cannot meddile with the internal police of any State, or abridge its fovereignty: And you know, at the fame time, that in all general concerns, the laws of Congrefs muft be fiupreme, or they muft be nothing.

## No. XIV.

## PHILADELPHIA, MARCH, 1737

## On TEST LAWS, OATHS of ALLEGIANCE and ABJURATION, and PARTIAL EXCLUSIONS from OFFICE.

T10 change the current of opinion, is a mof difficult tafk, and the attempt is often ridiculed. For this reafon, I expeit the following remarks will be paffed over with a flight reading, and all attention to them ceafe with a hum.

The revifal of the teft law has at length paffed by a refpectable majority of the Reprefentativs of this State. This is a prelude to wifer meafures ; people are juft awaking from delufion. The time will come (and may the day be near!) when all teft laws, oaths of allegiance, abjuration, and partial exclufions from civil offices, will be profcribed from this land of freedom.
Americans! what was the origin of thefe difcriminations? What is their ufe?
They originated in favage ignorance, and they are the inftruments of llavery. Emperors and generals, who wifhed to attach their fubjects to their perfons and government ; who wifhed to exercife defpotic fway over them, or profecute villanous wars, (for mankind have always been butchering each other) found the folemnity of oaths had an exiellent effeat on poor fuperftitious foldiers and vaffails; oracles, demons, eclipfes ; all the terrifying phenomena of nature, have at times had remarkable effets in fecuring the obedience of men to tyrants. Oaths of fealty, and farcical ceremonies of homage, were very neceffary to rivet the chains of feudal vafials ; for the whole fytem of European tenures was erected on jurifdiction, and is fupported folely by ignorance, fuperfition, artifice, or military force:
force. Oaths of allegiance may poffibly be ftill nece?fary in Europe, where there are fo many contending powers contiguous to each other: But what is their ufe in America ? To fecure fidelity to the State, it will be anfwered. But where is the danger of defection? Will the inhabitants join the Britifh in Nova Scotia or Canada? Will they rebel? Will they join the favages, and overthrow the State? No; all thefe are vifionary dangers. My countrymen, if a State has any thing to fear from its inhabitants, the conftitution or the laws mutt be wrong. Danger cannot poflibly arife from any other caufe.

Permit me to offer a few ideas to your minds; and let them be the fubject of more than one hour's reflection.

An oath creates no new obligation. A witnefs, who fwears to tell the whole truth, is under no new obligation to tell the whole truth. An oath reminds him of his duty; he fwears to do as he ought to do ; that is, he adds an exprefs promife to an implied one. A moral obligation is not capable of addition or diminution.

When a man Iteps his foot into a State, he becomes fubject to its general laws." When he joins it as a member, he is fubject to all its laws. The act of entering into fociety, binds him to fubmit to its laws, and to promote its intereft. Every man, who livs under a government, is under allegiance to that government. Ten thoufand oaths do not increafe the obligation upon him to be a faithful fubject.

But, it will be alked, how thall we diftinguifh between the friends and enemies of the government? I anfwer, by annihilating all diftinctions. A good conftitution, and good laws, make good fubjects. I challenge the hiftory of mankind to produce an inftance of bad fubjects under a good government. The teft law in P'enfylvania has produced more diforder, by making enemies in this State, than have curfed all the union bcfides. During the war, every thing gave way ta force; but the feelings and principles of war ought to be forgotten in peace.

Abjuration!

Abjuration! a badge of folly, borrowed from the dark ages of bigotry. If the government of Penfylvavia is better than that of Great Britain, the fubjects will prefer it, and abjuration is perfectly nugatory. If not, the fubject will have his partialities in fpite of any folemn renunciation of a foreign power.

But what right has even the Legillature to deprive any clafs of citizens of the benefits and emoluments of civil government? If any men have forfeited their lives or eftates, they are no longer fubjects; they ought to be banifhed or hung. If not, no law ought to exclude them from civil emoluments. If any have committed public crimes, they are punifhable ; if any have been guilty, and have not been detected, the oath, as it now itands, obliges them to confefs their guilt. To take the oath, is an implicit acknowlegement' of innocence; to refufe it, is an implicit confeffion that the perfon has aided and abetted the enemy. This is rank defpotifm. The inquifition can do no more than force confeffion from the accufed.

I pray God to enlighten the minds of the Americans. I wifh they would fhake off every badge of tyranny. Americans!-The beft way to make men honeft, is to let them enjoy equal rights and privileges ; never fufpect a fet of men will be rogues, and make laws proclaiming that fufpicion. Leave force to govern the wretched vafials of European nabobs, and reconcile fubjects to your own conflitutions by their excellent nature and beneficial effects. No man will commence enemy to a government which givs him as many privileges as his neighbors enjoy.

SKETCHES of the RISE, PROGRESS and CONSEQUENCES of the late REVOLUTION.

Written in the years 5787,1788 , and 1789 ; now republifled, with material corrections, and a Letter from the late Commander in Chief, explaining the Circumftances and Proccedings, preparatory to the Capture of Lord Cornvallis.

AMERICA was originally peopled by uncivilized nations, which lived mottly by hunting and finhing. The Europeans, who firf vifited thefe ihores, treating the nativs as wild beafts of the foreft, which have no property in the woods where they roam, planted the flandard of their refpectiv mafters where they firt landed, and in their names claimed the country by right of difcovery.* Prior to any fettlement in North America numerous titles of this kind were acquired by the Englifh, French, Spanifh, and Dutci navigators, who came hither for the purpofes of fifhing and trading with the nativs. Slight as fuch titles were, they were afterwards the caufes of contention between the European nations. The fubjects of different princes often laid claim to the fame tract of country, becaufe both had difcovered the fame river or promontary ; or becaufe the extent of their refpectiv claims was indeterminate.

While the fettlements in this vaft uncultivated country were inconfiderable and fcattered, and the trade of it confined to the bartering of a few trinkets for furs, a trade carricd on by a few adventurers, the interfering of clains produced no important controverfy among the

* As well may the New Zealanders, who lave not yet difcovered Europe, fit out a hip, land on the coaft of England or France, and, finding no inhabitants but poor fifternien and peafants, claim the whole country by right of difioucry.
the fettlers or the nations of Europe. But in proportion to the progrefs of population, and the growth of the American trade, the jealoufies of the nations, which had made early difcoveries and fettlements on this coaft, were alarmed; ancient claims were revived; and each power took meafures to extend and fecure its own poffeffions at the expenfe of a rival.

By the treaty of Utrecht in 17 I3, the Englifh claimed a right of cutting logwood in the Bay of Campeachy, in South America. In the exercife of this right, the Englifh merchants had frequent opportunities of carrying on a contraband trade with the Spanifl fettlements on the continent. To remedy this evil, the Spaniards refolved to annihilate a claim, which, though often acknowleged, had never been clearly afcertained. To effect this defign, they captured the Englifh veffels, which they found along the Spanifh Main, and many of the Britifh fubjects were doomed to work in the mines of Potofi.

Repeated feverities of this kind at length (1739) produced a war between England and Spain. Porto Bello was taken from the Spaniards, by Admiral Vernon. Commodore Anfon, with a fquadron of fhips, failed to the South Seas, diftreffed the Spanifh fettlements on the weftern fhore of America, and took a galleon, laden with immenfe riches. But in 1741 a formidable armament, deftined to attack Carthagena, under the command of Lord Cathcart, returned unfuccefsful, with the lofs of upwards of twelve thoufand Britifh foldiers and feamen; and the defeat of the expedition, raifed a clamor againft the minifter, Sir Robert Walpole, which produced a change in the adminiftration. This change removed the fcene of war to Europe, fo that America was not immediately affected by the fubfequent tranfactions ; except that Louifburgh, the principal fortrefs of Cape Breton, was taken from the French by General Pepperell, affiited by Commodore Warren and a body of New England troops.

This war was ended in 1748 by the treaty of peace figned at Aix la Chapelle, by which reflitution was made on both fides of all places taken during the war.

Peace, however, was of fhort duration. The French poffefied Canada, and had made confiderable fettlements in Florida, claiming the country on both fides of the Miffifippi, by right of difcovery. To fecure and extend their claims, they eftablifhed a line of forts, on the Englifh poffeffions, from Canada to Florida. They had fecured the important pals at Niagara, and erected a fort at the junction of the Allegany and Monongahela rivers, called Fort Du Quefne. They took pains to fecure the friendmip and alfiftance of the nativs, encroachments were made upon the Englifh poffeffions, and mutual injurics fucceeded. The difputes among the fettlers in America, and the meafures taken by the French to command all the trade of the St. Lawrence river on the north, and of the Miffifippi on the fouth, excited a jealoufy in the Englifh nation, which foon broke forth in open war.

In 1756, four expeditions were undertaken in America againt the French. One was conducted by General Monckton, who had orders to drive the French from the encroachments on the province of Nova Scotia. This expedition was attended with fuccefs. General Johnfon was ordered, with a body of troops, to take pofieflion of Crown Point, but he did not fucceed. General Shirley commanded an expedition againft the fort at Niagara, but loft the feafon by delay. General Braddock marched againft fort Du Quefne, but in penetrating through the wildernefs, he incautioufly fell into an ambufcade and fuffered a total defeat. General Braddock was killed, but a part of his troops were faved by the prudence and bravery of General Walhington, at this time a Colonel, who then began to exhibit proofs of thofe military talents, by which he afterwards conducted the armies of America to victory, and his country to independence, The ill fucceis
fuccefs of thefe expeditions left the Englifh fettlements in America expofed to the depredations of both the French and Indians. But the war now raged in Europe and the Eatt Indies, and engaged the attention of both nations in thofe quarters.
It was not until the campaign in 1758, that affairs affumed a more favorable afpect in America. But upon a change of adininiftration, Mr. Pitt was appointed Prime Minifter, and the operations of war became more vigorous and fucceffful. General Amherft was fent to take poffeffion of Cape Breton; and after a warm fiege, the garrifon of Louifburgh furrendered by capitulation. General Forbes was fuccefsful in taking poffeffion of fort Du Quefne, which the French thought fit to abandon. But General Abercrombie, who commanded the troops deftined to act againt the French at Crown Point and Ticonderoga, attacked the lines at Ticonderoga, where the enemy were itrongly entrenched, and was defeated with a terrible flaughter of his troops. After his defeat, he returned to his camp at Lake George.

The next year, more effequal meafures were taken to fubdue the French in America. General Prideaux and Sir William Johnfon began the operations of the campaign by taking the French fort near Niagara.* General Amherft took poffeffion of the forts at Crown Point and Ticonderoga, which the French had abandoned.

But the decifiv blow, which proved fatal to the French interefts in America, was the defeat of the French army, and the taking of Quebec, by the brave general Wolfe. This hero was fain in the beginning of the action, on the plains of Abram, and Monfieur Montcalm, the French commander, likewife loft his life. The lofs of Quebec was foon followed by the capture of Montreal, by General Amherft, and Canada has remained ever fince in poffefion of the Englifh.

Colonel

[^28]Colonel Grant, in 1761, defeated the Cherokè in Carolina, and obliged them to fue for peace. The next year, Martinieo was taken by Admiral Rodney and General Monkton; and alfo the iflands of Grenada, St. Vincents, and others. The capture of thefe was foon followed by the furrender of the Havanna, the capital of the inland of Cuba.
In 1763 , a definitiv treaty of peace was concluded at Paris, between Great Britain, France and Spain, by which the Englifh ceded to the French feveral inlands in the Weft Indies, but were confirmed in the pofieffion of all North America on this fide the Miffifippi, except New Orleans, and a fmall diftrict of the neighboring country.

But this war, however brilliant the fuccefs, and glorious the event, proved the caufe of great and unexpected misfortunes to Great Britain. Engaged with the combined powers of France and Spain, during feveral years, her exertions were furprifing, and her expenfe immenfe. To difcharge the debts of the nation; the parliament was obliged to have recourfe to new expedients for raifing money. Previous to the laft treaty in $1 ; 63$, the parliament had been fatisfied to raife a revenue from the American Colonies by monopoly of their trade.

At the beginning of the laft war with France, commiffioners from many of the colonies had affentbled at Albany, and propofed that a great council fhould be formed by deputies from the feveral colonies, which, with a general Governor to be appointed by the crown; fhould be empowered to take meafures for the common fafety, and to raife money for the exccution of their defigns. This propofal was not relifhed by the Britifh miniftry ; but in place of this plan, it was propofed, that the Governors of the colonies, with the affiftance of one or two of their council, fhould afiemble and coneert meafurcs for the general defence ; ereat forts, levy troops, and draw on the treafury of England for monies that fhould be wanted ; but the treafury to be reimburfed sy a tax on the colonies, to be laid by
the Englifh parliament. To this plan, which would imply an avowal of the right of parliament to tax the colonies, the provincial affemblies obje:ted with unthaken firmnefs. It feems; therefore, that the Britifh parliament, before the war, had it in contemplation to exercife the right they claimed of taxing the coionies at pleafure, without permitting them to be reprefented. Indeed it is obvious that they laid hold of the alarming fituation of the colonies about the year 1754, and 1755, to force them into an acknowlegement of the right, or to the adoption of meafures that might afterwards be drawn into precedent. The colonies however, with an uncommon forefight and firmnefs, defeated all their attempts. The war was carried on by requifitions on the colonies for fupplies of men and money, or by voluntary contributions.

But no fooner was peace concluded, than the Englifh parliament refumed the plan of taxing the colonies ; and to juftify their attempts, faid, that the money to be raifed, was to be appropriated to defray the expenfe of defending them in the late war.

The firf attempt to raife a revenue in America appeared in the memorable famp act, paffed March 22, 1765 ; by which it was enacted that certain inffruments of writing, as bills, bonds, \&c. Fhould not be valid in law, unlefs drawn on flamped paper, on which a duty was laid. No fooner was this act publifhed in America, than it raifed a general alarm. The people were filled with apprehenfions at an act which they fuppofed an attack on their conftitutional rights. The colonies petitioned the king and parliament for a redrefs of the grievance, and formed affociations for the purpofe of preventing the importation and ufe of Britifo manufaqures, until the act fhould be repealed. This fpirited and unanimous oppofition of the Americans produced the defired effest ; and on the 18th of March, 1766 , the famp act was repealed. The news of the repeal was received in the colonies with univerfal joy, and the trade between them and Great Britain was renewed on the moft liberal footing.

The

The parliament, by repealing this aft, fo obnoxious to their American brethren, did not intend to lay afide the fcheme of raifing a revenue in the coionies, but merely to change the mode. Accordingly the next year, they paffed an act, laying a certain duty on glafs, tea, paper; and painters' colors; articles which were much wanted, and not manufactured, in America. This act kindled the refentment of the Americans, and excited a general oppofition to the meafure ; fo that parliament thought proper in 5770 , to take off thefe duties, except three pence a pound on tea. Yet this duty, however trifing, kept alive the jealoufy of the colonifts, and their oppofition to parliamentary taxation continued and increafed.

But it muft be remembered that the inconvenience of paying the duty was not the fole, nor principal caufe of the oppofition, it was the principle which, once admitted, would have fubjected the colonies to unlimitted parliamentary taxation, without the privilege of being reprefented. The right, abftractly confidered, was denied; and the fmalleft attempt to eftablifh the claim by precedent, was uniformly refifted. The Americans could not be deceived as to the views of parliament; for the repeal of the ftamp aft was accompanied with an unequivocal declaration; "that the parliament had a right to make laws of fufficient validity to bind the colonies in all cafes whatfoever."

The colonics therefore entered into meafures to encourage their own manufactures, and home productions, and to retrench the ufe of foreign fuperAuities; while the importation of tea was prohibited. In the royal and proprietary governments, the Governors and people were in a ftatc of continual warfare. Affemblies were repeatedly called, and fuddenly diffolved. While fitting, the affemblies employed the time in flating grievances and framing remonitrances. To inflame thefe difcontents, an act of parliament was paffed, ordaining that the Governors and Judges fhould receive their falarics of the crown; thus making them 'independent of the provincial affemblies, and removeaable only at the pleafure of the king.
'Thefe

There arbitrary proccedings, with many others not here mentioned, could not fail of producing a rupture. The firft act of violence, was the maffacre at Bofton, on the evening of the fifth of March, 1770. A body of Britifítroops had been ftationed in Bofton to awe the inhabitants, and enforce the meafures of parliament. On the fatal day, when blood was to be thed, as a preclude to more tragic fcenes, a riot was raifed among fome foldiers and boys; the foriner aggreffing by throwing fnow balls at the latter. The bickerings and jealoufies between the inhabitants and foldiers, which had been frequent before, now became ferious. A multitude was foon collected, and the controverfy became fo warm, that to difperfe the pcople, the troops were embodied and ordered to fire upon the inhabitants. This fatal order was executed, and feveral perfons fell a facrifice. The people reftrained their vengeance at the time; but this wanton act of cruelty and military defpotifin fanned the fiame of liberty; a flame that was not to be extinguifhed but by a total feparation of the colonies from their opprefliv and hoffile parent.

In 1773, the fpirit of the Americans broke out into open violence. The Gafpee, an armed fchooner, belonging to his Britannic Majefty, had been ffationed at Providence, 'in Rhode Ifland, to prevent fmuggling. The vigilance of the commander irritated the inlabitants to that degree, that about two hundred armed men entered the veffei at night, compelled the officers and men to go on fhore, and fet fire to the fchooner. A reward of five hundred pounds, offered by government for apprehending any of the perfons concerned in this daring act, produced no effectual difcovery.

About this time, the difcovery and publication of fome private confidential letters, written by the royal officers in Bofton, to perfons in office in England, ferved to confirm the apprehenfions of the Americans, with refpef to the defigns of the Britifa government. It was now made obvious that more effectual meafures would be taken to eftablifh the fupremacy of the Britifh parliament over the colonies. The letters rec-
ommended decifiv meafures and the writers were charged, by the exafperated Americans, with betraying their truft and the people they governed.

As the refolutions of the colonies not to import or confume tea, had, in a great meafure, deprived the Englifh government of a revenue from this quarter, the pariament formed a fcheme of introducing tea into America, under cover of the Eaft India company. For this purpofe an act was pafied, enabling the company to export all forts of teas, duty free, to any place whatever. The company departed from their ufual mode of bufinefs and became their own exporters. Several fhips were freighted with teas, and fent to the American colonies, and factors were appointed to receive and difpofe of their cargoes.

The Americans, determined to oppofe the revenue fyftem of the Englifh parliament in every poffible fhape, confidered the attempt of the Eaft India company to evade the refolutions of the colonies, and difpofe of teas in America, as an indirect mode of taxation, fanctioned by the authority of parliament. The people affembled in various places, and in the large commercial towns, took meafures to prevent the landing of the teas. Committees were appointed, and armed with extenfiv powers to infpect merchants' books, to propofe tefts, and make ufe of other expedients to fruftrate the defigns of the Eaft India company. The fame fpirit pervaded the people from New Hamphire to Georgia. In fome places, the confignees of the teas werc intimidated fo far as to relinq̧uich their appointments, or to enter into engagements not to adt in that capacity. The cargo fent to South Carolina was fored, the confignees being reftrained from offering the tea for fale. In other provinces, the fhips were fent back without difcharging their cargoes.

But in Bofton the tea fhared a more viclent fate. Senfible that no leval meafures could prevent its being landed, and that if once landed, it would be difpored of; a number of men in difguife, on the 18 th of December 1773, entered the Mips and threw overboard
three hundred and forty chefts of it, which was the proportion belonging to the Eaft India company. No fooner did the news of this deftruction of the tea reach Great Britain, than the parliament determined to punifh that devoted town. On the king's laying the American papers before them, a bill was brought in and paffed, "to difcontinue the landing and difcharging, lading and Mipping of goods, wares and merchandizes at the town of Bofton, or within the harbor."

This att, paffed March 25, 1774, called the Bofton port bill, threw the inhabitants of Maffachufetts into the greateft confternation. The town of Bofton paffed a refolution, expreffing their fenfe of this oppreffiv meafure, and a defire that all the colonies would concur to ftop all importation from Great Britain. Moft of the colonies entered into fpirited refolutions, on this occafion, to unite with Maffachufetts in a firm oppofition to the unconftitutional meafures of the parliament. The firft of Jure, the day on which the port bill was to take place, was appointed to be kept as a day of humiliation, fafting and prayer throughout the colonies, to feek the divine direction and aid, in that critical and gloomy juncture of affairs,

During the height of the confternation and confufion which the Bofton port bill occafioned; at the very time when a town meeting was fitting to confider of it, General Gage, who had been appointed to the government of Maflachufetts, arrived in the harbor. His arrival however did not allay the popular ferment, or check the progrefs of the meafures then taking, to unite the colonies in oppofition to the opprefliv act of parliament.

But the port bill was not the only act that alarmed the apprehenfions of the Americans. Determined to compel the province of Maffachufetts to fubmit to their laws, parliament paffed an act for " the better regulating government in the province of Maffachufetts Bay.' The object of this act was to alter the government, as it ffood on the charter of king William, to take the appointment of the executiv out of the hands of the people, and place it in the crown; thus making even the
fudges and meriffs dependent on the king, and re moveable only at his pleafure.

This act was foon followed by another, which ordained that any perfon, indicted for. murder, or other capital offence, committed in aiding the magiftrates in executing the laws, might.be fent by the governor either to another colony, or to Great Britain for his trial.

This was foon followed by the Quebec bill ; which extended the bounds of that province, and granted many privileges to the Roman Catholics. The olject of this bill was, to fecure the attachment of that province to the crown of England, and prevent its joining the colonics in their refiftance to the laws of parliament.

But thefe meafures did not intimidate the Americans. On the other liand they ferved to confirm their former apprehenfions of the evil defigns of government, and to unite the colonies in their oppofition. A correfpondence of opinion with refpect to the unconftitutional acts of parliament, produced a uniformity of proceedings in the colonies. The people generally. concurred in a propofition for hulding a Congrefs by deputation from the feveral colonies, in order to concert meafures for the prefervation of their rights. Deputies were accordingly appointed, and met at Philadelo phia, on the 26 th of October, 1774.

In this firft Congrefs, the proceedings were cool, dejiberate and loyal; but marked with unanimity and firmnels. Their firft act was a declaration, or fate of their claims as to the enjoyment of all the rights of 3ritifh fubjects, and particularly that of taxing themSelves exclufivly, and of regulating the internal police of the colonies. They alfo drew up a petition to the king, complaining of their grievances and praying for a repeat of the unconftitutional and opprefliv acts of parliament. They figned an affociation to fufpend the importation of Britifh goods, and the exportation of American produce, until their grievances fhould be redreffed. They fent an addrefs to the inhabitants of Great Dritain, and another to the poople of America;
in the former of which they enumerated the oppreffiv Iteps of parliament, and called on their Britifh brethren not to aid the miniftry in enflaving their American fubjects; and in the latter, they endeavored to confirm the people in a fpirited and unanimous determination to defend their conftitutional rights.

In the mean time, every thing in Maffachufetts wore the appearance of oppofition by force. A new council for the Governor had been appointed by the crown. New judges were appointed, and attempted to proceed in the execution of their office. But the juries refufed to be fworn under them ; in fome counties, the people affembled to prevent the courts from proceeding to bufinefs; and in Berkthire they fucceeded, fetting an example of refiftance that has fince been followed, in violation of the laws of the State.

In this fituation of affairs, the day for the annual muter of the militia approached. General Gage, apprehenfiv of fome violence, had the precaution to feize the magazines of ammunition and fores at Cambridge and Charleftown, and lodged them in Bofton. This meafure, with the fortifying of that neck of land which joins Bofton to the main land at Roxbury, caufed a univerfal alarm and ferment. Several thoufand people affembled, and it was with difficulty they could be reftrained from falling upon the Britifh troops.

On this occafion, an affembly of delegates from all the towns in Suffolk county, was called ; and feveral fpirited refolutions were agreed to. Thefe refolutions were prefaced with a declaration of allegiance; but they breathed a firit of freedom that does honor to the delegates. They declared that the late acts of parliament and the proceedings of General Gage, were glaring infractions of their rights and liberties, which their duty called them to deferd by all lawful means.

This affembly remonftrated againft the fortification of Bofton neck, and againft the Quebec bill; and refolved upon a fufpenfion of commerce, and encouragement of arts and manufactures, the holding of a proyincial Congrels, and a fubmiffion to the mealures which
which fhould be recommended by the Continenta! Congrefs. They recommended that the collectors of taxes fhould not pay any money into the treafury, without further orders; they alfo recommended peace and good order,' as they meant to act merely upon the defenfiv.

In anfwer to their remonftrance, General Gage affured them that he had no intention to prevent the free egrefs and regrefs of the inhabitants to and from the town of Boffon, and that he would not fuffer any perfon under his command to injure the perfon or property of any of his majerty's fubjects.

Previous to this, a General Afiembly had been fummoned to meet; and notwithftanding the writs had been countermanded by tlie Governor's proclamation, on account of the violence of the times and the relig. nation of feveral of the new counfellors, yet reprefentativs were chofen by the people, who met at Salem, refolved themfelves inte a provincial Congrefs, and adjourned to Concord.

This Congrefs addreffed the Governor with a rehearfal of their diffreffes, and took the neceilary ftcps for defending their rights. They regulated the militia, made provifion for fupplying the treafury, and furnifhing the pcople with arms; and fuch was the enthufiarm and union of the people, that the recommendations of the provincial Congrefs had the force of laws.

General Gage was incenfed at thefe meafures; he declared, in his anfwer to the addrefs, that Britain could never harbor the black defign of enflaving her fubjects, and publifhed a proclamation in which he infinuated that fuch procecdings amounted to rebellion. He alfo ordered barracks to be erelted for the foldiers ; but he found difficulty in procuring laborers, either in Bofton or New York.
In the beginning of $1_{7} 75$, the firhery bills were paffed in parliament, by which the colonies were prohibited to trade with Great Britain, Ireland or the Weft Indies, or to take fifh on the banks of Newfoundland.

In the diftreffes to which thefe acts of parliament reduced the town of Bofton, the unanimity of the colonies was remarkable, in the large fupplies of provifion, furnifhed by the inhabitants of different towns from New Hampfhire to Georgia, and Mhipped to the relief of the fufferers.

Preparations began to be made, to oppofe by force, the execution of thefe acts of parliament. The militia of the country were trained to the ufe of arms; great encouragement was given for the manufacture of gunpowder, and meạfures were taken to obtain all kinds of military ftores.

In February, Colonei Leflie was fent with a detacl:ment of troops fram Bofton, to take poffeffion of fome cannon at Salem. But the people had intelligence of the defign, took up the draw bridge in that town, and prevented the troops from paffing, until the cannon were-fecured; fo that the expedition failed.

In April, Colonel Smith, and Major Pitcairn were fent with a body of about nine hundred troops, to deAltroy the military fores which had been collected at Concord, about twenty miles from Bofton. It is believed, that another object of this expedition, was to feize on the perfons of Mefirs. Hancock and Adams, who, by their fpirited exertions, had rendered themfelves very obnoxious to General Gage. Pet Lexington, the militia werc collected on a green, to oppofe the incurfion of the Britifh forccs. Thefe were fired upon by the Britifh troops, and eight men killed on the fpot.

The militia were difperfed, and the troops proceeded to Concord; where they deftroyed a few flores. But on their return, they were inceflantly harrafied by the Americans, whio, inflamed with juft reTentment, fired upon them from houres and fences, and purfued them to Bofton. The lofs of the Britifh in this expedition, in killed, wounded and prifoners, was two hundred and feventy three men.

Here was fpilt the frrf blood in the late war; a war whichl fevered America from the Britih empire. Lex -
ington opened the firit fcene of this great drama, which ${ }_{3}$ in its progrcfs, extibited the moft illuftrious characters and events, and clofed with a revolution, equally glorious for the actors, and important in its confequences to mankind.

This battle roufed all America. The militia collected from all quarters, and Bofton, in a few days was befieged by twenty thourand men. A fop was put ta all intercourfe between the town and country, and the inhabitants were reduced to great want of provifions. General Gage promifed to let the people depart, if they would deliver up their arms. The people complied ; but when the General had obtained their arms, the perfidious man refufed to let the people go.

In the mean time, a fmall number of men, to the amount of about two hundred and forty, under the command of Colonel Allen, and Colonel Eafton, without any public orders, furprifed and took the Rritifh garrifons at Ticonderoga and Crown Point, without the lofs of a man on either fide.

During thefe tranfactions, the Cenerals Howe, Burgoyne, and Clinton, arrived at Bofton from England, with a number of troops. In June following, our troops attempted to fortify Bunker's hill, which lies near Charleftown, and but a mile and an half from Bofton. They had, during the night, thrown up a finall breaft work, which fheltered them from the fire of the Britifh cannon. But the next morning, the Britifh arnyy was fent to drive them from the hill, and, landing under cover of their cannon, they fet firc to Charlet'own, which was confumed, and marched to attack our troops ia the entrenchments. A fevere engagement enfued, in which the Britifh, according to their own accounts, had feven hundred and forty killed, and eleven hundred and fifty wounded. They were repulfed at firft, and thrown into diforder; but they finally carried the fortification, with the point of the bayonct. The Americans fuffered a fmall lofs, compared with the Britifh ; the whole lofs in killed, wounded, and prifoners, bèing but about four hundred and fifty.

The lofs moft lamented on this bloody day, was that of Dr. Warren, who was at this time a Major General, and commanded the troops on this occafion. He died like a brave man, fighting valiantly at the iiead of his party, in a little redoubt at the right of our lines.

Gencral-Warren, who had rendered himfelf conficuous by his univerfal merit, abilities, and eloquence, had been a delegate to the firft general Congrels, and was at this time Prefident of the provincial Congrefs of Mafflachufetts. But quitting the humane and peaceable walk of his profeffion as a phyfician, and breaking through the endearing ties of family connexions, he proved himfelf equally calculated for the field, as for public bufinefs or private fludy.

About this time, the Continental Congrefs appointed George Wahhington, Efq. a nativ of Virginia, to the chief command of the American army. This gentleman had been a diftinguifhed and fuccefsfui officer in the preceding war, and he feemed deftined by heaven to be the favior of his country. He accepted the appointment with a diffidence which was a proof of his prudence and his greatnef.. He refufed any pay for eight years laborious and arduous fervice; and by his matchlefs fkill, fortitude and perfeverance, conducted America thro indefcribeable difficulties, to independence and peace.

While true merit is efteemed, or virtue honored, mankind will never ceafe to revere the memory of this Hero; and while gratitude remains in the human breaft, the praifes of WAshing ton fhall dwell on every American tongue.

General Wafhington, with other officers appointed by Congrefs, arrived at Cambridge, and took command of the American army in July. From this time, the affairs of America began to affume the appearance of a regular and general oppofition to the forces of Great Britain.
In autumn, a body of troops, under the command of General Montgomery, befieged and took the garrịon
rifon at St . John's, which commands the entrance into Canada. The prifoners amounted to about feven hundred. General Montgomery purfued his fuccefs, and took Montreal ; and defigned to puhh his viclories to Quebec.

A body of troops, commanded by General Arnold, was ordered to march to Canada, by the river Kennebeck, and through the wildernefs. After fuffering every hardfhip, and the moft diftreffing hunger, they arrived in Canada, and were joined by General Montgomery, before Quebec. This city, which was commanded by Governor Carleton, was imniediately bcfieged. But there being little hope of taking the town by a fiege, it was determined to form it.

The attack was made on the laft day of December, but proved unfucceffful, and fatal to the brave General, who, with his aid, was killed in attempting to fcale the walls.

Of the three divinions which attacked the town, one only entered, and that was obliged to furrender to fuperior force. After this defeat, General Arnold, who now commanded the troops, continued fome months before Quebec, altho inis troops fuffered incredibly by cold and ficknefs. But the next fpring, the Americans were obliged to retreat from Canada.

About this time, the large and flourifhing town of Norfolk, in Virginia, was wantonly burnt by order of lord Dunmore, the then royal Governor of that province.

General Gage went to England in September, and was fucceeded in the command, by Generai Howe.

Falmouth, a confiderable town in the province of. Maine, in Maflachufetts, fhared the fate of Noriolk; being laid in afhes by.order of the Britifh admiral.

The Britifh king entered into treaties with fome of the German princes for about feventeen thoufand men, who were to be fent to America the ncxt year, to affint in fulduing the colonies. The parliament alfo paffed an act, forbidding all intercourfe with America; and while they repealed the Booton port and filhery bills, they declared all American property on the high feas, forfeited!
forfeited to the captors. This aet induced Congrefs to change the mode of carrying on the war ; and meafures were taken to annoy the enemy in Bofton. For this purpofe, batteries were opened on feveral hills, from whence fhot and bombs were thrown into the town. But the batteries which were opened on Dorchefter point had the beft effect, and foon obliged Gencral Howe to abandon the town. In March, 1776, the Britifh troops embarked for Halifax, and General Wafhington entered the town in triumph.

In the enfuing fummer, a fmall fquadron of hips commanded by Sir Peter Parker, and a body of troops under the Generals Clinton and Cornwallis, attempted to take Charlefton, the capital of South Carolina. The fhips made a violent attack upon the fort on Sullivan's Ifland, but were repulfed with great lofs, and the expedition was abandoned.

In July, Congrefs publifhed their declaration of independence, which feparated America from Great Britain. This great event took place two hundred and eighty four years after the firft difcovery of America by Columbus; one hundred and fixty fix, from the firt effectual fettlement in Virginia; and one hundred and fifty fix from the firf fettlement of Plymouth, in Miaffachufetts, which were the earlieft Englifh fettlements in America.

Juft after this declaration, General Howe with a powerful force arrived near New York, and landed the troops upon Staten Ifland. General Wafhington was in New York with about thirteen thoufand men, who were encamped either in the city or the neighboring fortifications.

The operations of the Britifh began by the action on Long Iland, in the month of Auguit. The Americans were defeated, and General Sullivan and lord Sterling, with a large body of men, were made prifoners. The night after the engagement, a retreat was ordered, and executed with fuch filence, that the Americans left the ifland without alarming their enemies, and without lofs.

In September, the city of New York was abandoned by the American army, and taken by the Britifh.
In November, Fort Wafhington, on York Ifland, was taken, and 'more than two thoufand men made prifoners. Fort L.ee, oppofit to Fort Wafhington, on the Jerfey fiore, was foon after taken, but the garrifon efcaped.

About the fame time, General Clinton was fent with a body of troops to take poffefion of Rhode Illand; and fucceeded. In addition to ali thefe loffes and defeats, the American army fuffered by defertion, and more by ficknefs, which was epidemic, and very mortal.

The northern army at Ticonderoga, was in a dilagreeable fituation, particularly after the battle on Lake Champlain, in which the American force, confifting of a few light veffe!s, under the command of Generals Arnold and Waterbury, was totally difperfed. But General Carleton, inftead of purfuing his vi\&tory, landed at Crown Point, reconnoitered our pofts at Ticonderoga and Mount Independence, and returned to winter quarters in Canada.

The American army might now be faid to be no more. All that now remained of an army, which at the opening of the campaign, amounted to at leaft twenty five thoufand men, did not now exceed three thoufand. The term of their engagements being expired, they returned, in large bodies, to their families and friends ; the few, who from perfonal attachment, local circumftances, or fuperior perfeverance and bravery, continued with the Generals Wafhington and Lee, were too inconfiderable to appear formidable in the view of a powerful and victorious enemy.

In this alarming and critical fituation of affairs, General Lee, through an imprudent careleffnefs, which ill became a man in his important ftation, was captured by a party of the Britifh light horfe, commanded by Colonel Harcourt; this unfortunate circumftance gave a fevere flock to the remaining hopes of the little army, and rendered their fituation truly diftreffing.

## AMERICAN REVOLUTION.

While thefe things were tranfacting in New jerfey, General Wafhington, far from being difcouraged by the lofs of General Lee, and always ready to improve every advantage to raife the drooping fpirits of his handful of men, had made a ftand on the Penfylvania fide of the Delaware. Here he collected his fcattered forces, called in the affiftance of the Penfylvania militia, and on the night of the 25th of December, (1776) when the enemy were lulled into fecurity by the idea of his weaknefs, and by the inclemency of the night, which was remarkably boifterous, as well as by the fumes of a Chriftmas eve, he croffed the river, and at the breaking of day, marched down to Trenton, and fo completely furprifed them, that the greater part of the detachment which were ftationed at this place, furrendered after a fhort refiftance. The horfemen and a few others made their efcape at the oppofit end of the town. Upwards of nine hundred Heffians were taken prifoners at this time.

This fuccefsful expedition firit gave a favorable turn to our :effairs, which, after this, feemed to brighten thro the whole courfe of the war. Soon after, General Wafhington attacked the Britifh troops at Princeton, and obtained a complete victory ; not, however, without being bravely oppofed by Colonel Mawhood,

The addrefs in planning and executing thefe enterprifes, reflected the higheft honor on the commander, and the fuccefs revived the defponding hopes of America. The lofs of General Mercer, a gallant officer, at Princeton, was the principal circumftance that allayed the joys of victory.

The following year, 1777 , was diftinguifhed by very memorable events, in favor of America. On the opening of the campaign, Governor Tryon was fent with a body of troops, to deftroy the ftores at Danbury, in Connecticut. This plan was executed, and the town moflly burnt. The enemy fuffered in their retreat, and the Americans loft General Woofter, a brave and experienced officer.

## 174

 SKETCHES of theGeneral Prefcot was taken from his quarters; of Rhode Ithand, by the addrefs and enterprife of Colonel Biarton, and conveved prifoner to the continent.
General Burgoyne, who commanded the northern Britifh army, took pofieffion of Ticonderoga, which had been abandoned by the Americans. He pufhed his fucceffes, croffed Lake George, and encamped upon the banks of the Hudfon, near Saratoga. His progrefs, however, was checked, by the defeat of Colonel Baum, near Bennington, in which the undifciplined militia of Vermont, under General Stark, difplayed unexampled bravery, and captured almoft the whole detachment.

The militia affembled from all parts of New England, to ftop the progrefs of General Burgoyne.

Thefe, with the regular troops, formed a refpectable army, commanded by General Gates. After two fevere actions, in which the Generals Lincoln and Arnold, behaved with uncommon gallantry, and were wounded, General Burgoyne found himfelf enclofed with brave troops, and was forced to furrender his whole army, amounting, according to fome, to ten thoufand, and according to others, to five thoufand feven hundred and fifty two men, into the hands of the Americans. This memorable event happened on the 17 th of October, 1777 ; and diffufed an univerfat joy over America, and laid a foundation for the treaty with France.

But before thefe tranfactions, the main body of the Britinı forces had embarked at New York, failed up the Chefapeak, and landed at the head of Elk river. The army foon began their march for Philadelphia. General Wafhington had determined to oppofe them, and for this purpofe made a ftand, firit at Red Clay Creek; and then upon the heights, near Brandywine Creck. Here the armics engaged, and the Americans were overpowered, and fuffered great lofs. The enemy foon purfued their march, and took poffeffion of Philadelphia towards the clofe of September.
Not long after, the two armies were again engaged 2t Germantown, and in the begisning of the action,
the Americans had the advantage ; but by fome unlucky accident, the fortune of the day was turned in favor of the Britiih. Both fides fuffered confiderable loffes; on the fide of the Americans, was General Nafh .

In an attack upon the forts at Mud Ifland and Red Bank, the Heffians were unfuccefsful, and their commander, Colonel Donop, killed. The Britifh alfo loft the Auguta, a fhip of the line. But the forts were afierwards taken, and the navigation of the Delaware opened. General Wathington was reinforced, with part of the troops which had compofed the northern army, under General Gates; and both armies retired to winter quarters.

In OAtober, the fame month in which General Burgoyne was taken at Saratoga, General Vaughan, with a fmall fleet, failed up Hudfon's river, and wantonly burnt Kington, a beautiful Dutch fettlement, on the weft fide of the river.

The beginning of the next year, 1778 , was diftinguifhed by a treaty of alliance between France and America ; by which we obtained a powerful and generous ally. When the Englifh miniftry were informed that this treaty was on foot, they difpatched commiffioners to America, to attempt a reconciliation. But America would not now accept their offers. Early in the fpring, Count de Eftaing, with a tleet of fifteen fail of the line, was fent by the court of France to affilt America.

General Howe left the army, and returned to England ; the command then devolved upon Sir Henry Clinton.

In June, the Britifh army left Philadelphia, and marched for New York. On their march they were annoyed by the Americans; and at Monmouth, a very regular action took place, between part of the armies; the enemy were repulfed with great lofs, and had General Lee obeyed his orders, a tignal victory muft have been obtained. General Lee, for his ill conduit that
day, was fufpended, and was never afterwards pero mitted to join the army.

General Lee's conduct, at feveral times before this, hat been very fufpicious. In December 1776, he lay at Chatham, about eleven miles from Elizabeth Town, with a brigade of troops, wheri a greak quantity of baggage was fored at Elizabeth Town, under a guard of only five hundred Hefians. General Lee was apprifed of this, and might have furprifed the guard and taken the baggage. But he neglected the opportunity, and after feveral marches and counter marches between Troy, Chatham and Morriftown, he took up his quarters at or near White's tavern, where he was furprifed and taken prifoner by a party of the Britifh horfe. He was heard to fay repeatedly, that General Wafhington would ruin a fine army. It was fufpected that he had deligns to fupplant the General, and his friends attempted to place him at the head of the army. General Wafhington's prudent delays and cautious movements afforded General Lee's friends many opportunities to fpread reports unfavorable to his character. It was infinuated, with fome fuccefs, that General Wafnington wanted courage and abilities. Reports of this kind, at one time, rendered General Lee very popular, and it is fuppofed he wifhed to fruftrate General Wafhington's plans, in order to increafe the fufpicions already entertained of his generallhip; and turn the public clamor in his own favor. His conduct at Mionmouth, muft have proceeded from fuch a defign; for he commanded tine flower of the Ainerican ariny, and was not deflitute of courage.

In Auguft, General Sullivan, with a large body of iroops, attempted to take poffeflion of Rhode Ifland, but did not fucceed. Soon after, the fores and fhipping at Bedford in Maffachufetts, were burnt by a party of the liritifin troops. The fame year, Savannah, then the capital of Georgia, was taken by the Britilh, under the command of Colonel Campbell.

- In the following year (1779) Gencral Lincoln was appointed to the command of the fouthern army.

Governor Tryon and Sir George Collier made an iucurfion into Conneiticut, and burnt, with wanton barbarity, the towns of Fairfield and Norwalk. But the American arms were crowned with fuccefs, in a bold attack upon Stoney Point, which was furprifed and taken by General Wayne, in the night of the 15 th of July. Five hundred men were made prifoners, with little lofs on either fide.

A party of Britifh forces attempted this fummer, to build a fort on Penobfcot river, for the purpofe of cutting timber in the neighboring forefts. A plan was laid by Maffachufetts, to diflodge them, and a confiderable fleet collected for the purpofe. But the plan failed of fuccefs, and the whole marine force fell into the hands of the Britifh, except fome vefiels which were burnt by the Americans themfelves.
In Octobêr, General Lincoln and Count de Eftaing made an affault upon Savannah; but they were repulfed with confiderable lofs. In this action, the celebrated Polifh Count Pulafki, who had acquired the reputation of a brave foldier, was mortally wounded.
In this fummer, General Sullivan marched with a body of troops, into the Indians' country, and burnt and deftroyed all their provifions and fettlements that fell in their way.
On the opening of the campaign, the next year, ( 1780 ) the Britith troops left Rhode Ifland. An expedition under General Clinton and Lord Cornwallis, was undertaken againft Charlefton, South Carolina, where General Lincoln commanded. This town, after a clofe fiege of about fix weeks, was furrendered to the Britifh commander; and General Lincoln, and the whole American garrifon were made prifoners.

General Gates was appointed to the command in the fouthern department, and another army collected. In Auguft, Lord Cornwallis attacked the American troops at Camden, in South Carolina, and routed them with confiderable lofs. He afterwards marched through the fouthern States, and fuppofed them entire-ly fubdued.

The fame fummer, the Britifh troops made frequerit incurlions from New York into the Jerlies; ravaging and plundering the country.

In-July, a French fleet, under Monfieur d'Ternay, with a body of land forces, commanded by Count de Rochambeau, arrived at Rhode Inand, to the great joy of the Ainericans.

This year was alfo diftinguifhed by the infamous treafon of General Arnold:. General Wafhington having fome bufinefs to tranfact at Wethersfield, in Connecticut, left Arnold to command the important poof of Weft Point ; which guards a pafs in Hudfon's river, about fixty miles from New York. Arnold's condurt in the city of Philadelphia, the preceding winter, had been cenfured ; and the treatment he received in confequence, had given him offence.

He determined to take revenge ; and for this purpofe, he entered into a negociation with Sir Henry Clinton, to deliver Weft Point, and the army, into the hands of the Britih. While General Wafhington was abfent, he difmounted the cannon in fome of the forts, and took other fteps to render the taking of the poft eafy for the enemy.

But by a providential difcovery, the whole plan was defeated. Major Andre, aid to General Clinton, a brave officer, who had been fent up the river as a fpy, to concert the plan of operations with Arnold, was taken, condemned by a court martial, and executed. Arnold made his efcape, by getting on board the Vulture, a Britifh veffel, which lay in the river. His conduct has ftamped him with infamy ; and, like all traitors, he is defpifed by all mankind. General Wafhington arrived in camp juft after Arnold had made his efcape, and reftored order in the garrifon.

After the defeat of General Gates in Carolina, General Greene was appointed to the command in the fouthern department. Firom this period, things in that quarter wore a more favorable afpect. Coloncl Tarleton, the activ commander of the Britilh legion, was defeated by General Morgan, the inerepid commander of the riffe men.

After a variety of movements, the two armies met at Guilford, in Carolina. Here was one of the beft fought aations during the war. General Greene and Lord Cornwallis exerted themfelves at the head of their refpectiv armies; and although the Americans were obliged to retire from the field of battle, yet the Britifh army fuffered an immenfe lofs, and could not purfue the victory. This action happened on the 15 th March, 178 r .
In the fpring, Arnold the traitor, who was made a Brigadier General in the Britih fervice, with a imall number of troops, failed for Virginia, and plundered the country. This called the attention of the French fleet to that quarter ; and a naval engagement took place between the Englifh and French, in which fome. of the Englifh Thips were much damaged, and one entirely difabled.

After the battle of Guilford, General Greene moved towards South Carolina, to drive the Britifh from their pofts in that State. Here Lord Rawdon obtained an inconfiderable advantage over the Americans, near Camden. But General Gireene more than recovered this advantage, by the brilliant and fucceffful action at the Eutaw Springs ; where General Marian diftinguifhed himfelf, and the brave Colonel Warhington was wounded and taken prifoner.

Lord Cornwallis, finding General Greene fuccefsfu! in Carolina, marched to Virginia, colleeted his forces, and fortified himfelf in Yorktown. In the mean time Arnold made an incurfion into Connecticut, burnt a part of New London, took Fort Grifwold by form, and put the garrifon to the fword. The garrifon confifted chiefly of men fuddenly colle:ted from the littie town of Groton, which, by the favage cruelty of the Britifh officer who commanded the attack, loft, in one hour, almoft all its heads of families. The brave Colonel Ledyard, who commanded the fort, was flain with his own fword, after he had furrendered.

The Marquis de la Fayette, the brave and generous nobleman, whofe fervices command the gratitude of
every American, had been difpatched with about two thoufand light infantry, from the main army, to watch the motions of lord Cornwallis in Virginia. He profecuted this expedition with the greateft military ability. Although his force was much inferior to that of the enemy, he obliged them to leave Richmond and Willjainfburgh, and to feek protection under their fhipping.

About the laft of Auguf, Count de Graffe arrived with a large fleet in the Chefapeak, and blocked up the Britilh troops at Yorktown. Admiral Greaves, with a Britifh fleet, appeared off the Capes, and an action fueceeded; but it was not decifiv.

General Wafhington had before this time moved the main body of his army, together with the French troops, to the fouthward; and as foon as he heard of the arrival of the French fleet in the Chefapeak, he made rapid marches to the head of Elk, where cmbarking, the troops foon arrived at Yorktown.

A clofe fiege immediately commenced, and was carried on with fuch vigor, by the combined forces of America and France, that lord Cornwallis was obliged to furrender. This glorious event which took place on the 19th of October, 1781 , decided the conteft in favor of America; and laid the foundation of a gencral peace.*


#### Abstract

* It has been controverted whether the capture of General Cornwallis was the refult of a plan preconcerted between General Wafhington and Count de Graffe; or rather whether the arrival of the Count in the Chefapeak was predetermined and expected by Generai Wahhington, and confequently all the preparations to attack New York a mere fineffe to deceive the enemy; or whether the real intention was againft Neir York, and the fiege of Yorktown planned upon the unexpected arrival of the French fleet in the bay. The following letter will fet the matter in its true light.


$$
\text { Sir, } \quad \text { Mount Vernon, F̛uly } 3 \mathrm{x}, 1788 .
$$

1 DULY received your letter of the 14 th inftant, and can only anfwer you bricfly and generally from memory; that a combined operation of the land and naval forces of France in America, for the year 1781, was preconcerted the year before;

A few months after the furrender of Cornwallis, the Britim evacuated all their pofts in South Carolina and Georgia, and retired to the main army in New York.

The next fpring, ( 1782 ) Sir Guy Carleton arrived in New York, and took the command of the Britifh army, in America. Immediately on his arrival, he acquainted General Wafhington and Congrefs, that negociations for a peace had been commenced at Paris.

On the 30 th of November, 1782, the provifional articles of peace were figned at Paris ; by which Great Britain acknowleged the independence and fovereignty of the United States of America; and thefe articles were afterwards ratified by a definitiv treaty.

## Thus

that the point of attack was not abfolutely agreed upon,* be . caufe it could not be foreknown where the enemy would be moft fufceptible of impreffion; and becaufe we (having the command of the water with fufficient means of convcyance) could tranfport ourfelves to any fpot with the greateft celerity ; that it was determired by me, nearly twelve months before hand, at all hazards, to give out and caufe it to be believed by the higheft military as well as civil officers, that New York was the deftined place of attack, for the important purpofe of inducing the eafern and middle States to make greater exertions in furnifing feecific fupplies, than they otherwife would have done, as well as for the interefting purpofe of rendering the enemy lefs prepared elfewhere ; that by thefe means, and thefe alone, artillery, boats, fores, and provifions, were in feafonable preparation to move with the ntmof rapidity to any part of the continent ; for the difficulty confited more in providing, than knowing how to apply the military apparatus; that before the arrival of the Count de Graffe, it was the fixed determination to frike the enemy in the moft vulnerable quarter, fo as to enfiure fuccefs with moral certainty, as our affairs were then in the moft ruinous train imaginable; that New York was thonght to be beyond our effort, and confequently that the only hefitation that remained, was between an attack upon the Brition army in Virginia and that in Charleiton : And finally, that, by the intervention of feveral communications, and fome incidents which cannot be detailed in a letter, the hoftile poft in Virginia, from being a provifonal and frongly cxpected, became the definitio and certain objed of the campaign.
I only

[^29]Thus ended a long and arduous conflict, in which Great Britain expended near an hundred millions of money, with an hundred thoufand lives, and won nothing. A merica endured every cruelty and diftrefs from her enemies; loft many lives and much treafure ; but delivered herfelf from a foreign dominion, and gained a rank among the nations of the earth.

Holland acknowleged the independence of the United States on the rgth of April, 1782 ; Sweden, February 5 th, 1783 ; Denmark, the 25th of February ; Spain, in March, and Rufia in July, 1783.

## No

I only add, that it never was in contemplation to attack New York, unlef's the garrifon fhould firt liave been fo far degarrifhed to carry on the fouthern operations, as to render our fuccefs in the fiege of that place, as infallible as any future military event can ever be made. For I repeat it, and dwell upon it again, fome fplendid advantage (whether upon a larger or fmaller fcale was almoft immaterial) was fo effentially neceffary, to revive the expiring hopes and languid exertions of the country, at the crifis in queftion, that I never would have confented to embark in any enterprife, wherein, from the moft rational plan and accurate calculations, the favorable iffue flould not have appeared as clear to my view as a ray of light. The failure of an attempt againft the pofts of the enemy, could, in no other poffible fituation during the war, have been fo fatal to our caufe.

That much trouble was taken and fineffe ufed to mifguide and bewilder Sir Henry Clinton, in regard to the real object, by fictitious communications, as well as by making a deceptiv provifion of ovens, forage, and boats, in his neighborhood, is certain : Nor werc lefs pains taken to deceive our own army ; for I had always conceived, where the impofition did not completely take place at home, it could never lufficiently fucceed abroad.
Your defire of obtaining trutl, is very laudable; I wifh I had more leifure to gratify it, as I am equally folicitous the undifguifed verity fhould be known. Many circumftances will unavoidably be mifconceived and mifreprefented. Notwithftanding moft of the papers, which may properly be deemed official, are preferved; yet the knowlege of innumerable things, of a more delicate and fecret nature, is confined to the perithable remembrance of fome few of the prefent generation.
With efteem, I am, Sir, you: moft obedient humble fervant, G. WASHINGTON.

No fooner was peace reftored by the definitiv treaty, and the Britifh troops withdrawn from the country, than the United States began to experience the defects of their general government. While an enemy was in the country, fear, which had firft impelled the colonies to affociate in mutual defence, continued to operate as a band of political union. It gave to the refolutions and recommendations of Congrefs the force of laws, and generally commanded a ready acquiefcence on the part of the State legillatures. Articles of confederation and perpetual union had been framed in Congrefs, and fubmitted to the confideration of the States, in the year 1778 . Some of the States immediately acceded to them; but others, which had not unappropriated lands, hefitated to fublcribe a compact, which would giv an advantage to the States which poffeffied large tracts of unlocated lands, and were thus capable of a great fuperiority in wealth and population. All objections however had been overcome, and by the accefion of Maryland in March, 1781, the articles of confederation were ratified, as the frame of government for the United States.

Thefe articles, however were framed during the rage of war, when a principle of common fafety fupplied the place of a coerciv power in government ; by men who could have had no experience in the art of governing an extenfiv country, and under circumftances the moft critical and embarraffing. To have offered to the people at that time, a fyltem of government armed with the powers neceffary to regulate and control the contending interefts of thirteen States, and the poffeflions of millions of people, might have raifed a jealoufy between the States or in the minds of the people at large, that would have weakened the operations of war, and perhaps have rendered a union impracticable. Hence the numerous defects of the confederation.

On the conclufion of peace, there defects began to be felt. Each State affumed the right of difputing the propriety of the refolutions of Congrefs, and the in-
tereft of an individual State was placed in oppofition to the common intereft of the union. In addition to this fource of divifion, a jealoufy of the powers of Congrefs began to be excited in the minds of people.

This jealouly of the privileges of freemen, had been roufed by the opprefiv acts of the Britifh parliament ; and no fooner had the danger from this quarter ceafed, than the fears of people changed their object, and were turned againt their own rulers.

In this fituation, there were not wanting men of induftry and talents, who had been enemies to the revolution, and who embraced the opportunity to multiply the apprchenfions of people and increafe the popular difcontents. A remarkable inftance of this happened in Conneaticut. As foon as the tumults of war had fubfided, an attempt was made to convince the people, that the act of Congress pafied in 1778 , granting to the officers of the army, half pay for life, was highly unjuft and tyrannical ; and that it was but the firff ftep towards the eftablifhment of penfions and an uncontrolable defpotifin. The at of Congrefs, paffed in 1783 , commuting half pay for life for five years full nay, was defigned to appeafe the apprehenfions of people, and to convince them that this gratuity was intended merely to indemnify the officers for their loffes by the depreciation of the paper currency; and not to eftablifh a precedent for the granting of penfions. This act, however, did not fatisfy the people, who fuppofed that the officers had been generally indemnified for the lofs of their pay, by the grants made them from time to time by the legillatures of the feveral States. Befides the act, while it gave five years full pay to the officers, allowed but one year's pay to the privates; a diftinction which had great influenee in exciting and continuing the popular ferment, and one that turned a large Thare of the public rage againft the officers themfelves.

The moment an alarm was raifed refpecting this act of Congrefs, the enemies of our independence became activ in blowing up the flame, by fpreading reports unfavorable to the general government, and teending to
create public diffenfions. Newfpapers, in fome parts of the country, were filled with inflammatory publications; while falfe reports and groundlefs infinuations were induftrioufly circulated to the prejudice of Congrefs and the officers of the late army. Among a people feelingly alive to every thing that could affect the rights for which they had been contending, thefe reports could not fail of having a powerfui effect ; the clamor foon became general ; the officers of the army, it was believed, had attempted to raife their fortunes on the diffrefles of their fellow citizens, and Congrefs become the tyrants of their country.

Connecticut was the feat of this uneafinefs; altho other States were much agitated on the occafion. But the inhabitants of that State, accuftomed to order and a due fubordination to the laws, did not proceed to outrages ; they took their ufual mode of collecting the fenfe of the State; affembled in town meetings ; appointed cominittees to meet in convention, and confult what meafures fhould be adopted to procure a redrefs of their grievances. In this convention, which was held at Middletown, fome nugatory refolves were paffed, exprefling a difapprobation of the half pay act, and the fubfequent commutation of the grant for five years whole pay. The fame fpirit alfo difcovered itfelf in the affembly, at their October feffion, in 1783 . A remonftrance againt the acts in favor of the officers, was framed in the houfe of reprefentativs, and notwithfanding the upper houfe refufed to concur in the meafure, it was fent to Congrefs.

During this fituation of affairs, the public odium againft the officers, was augmented by another circumftance. The officers, juft before the difbanding of the army, had formed a fociety, called by the name of the Cincinnati, after the Roman Dictator, Cincinnatus, which, it was faid, was intended to perpetuate the memory of the revolution, the friendhip of the officers, and the union of the States; and alfo to raife a fund for the relief of poor widows and orphans, whofe hulbands and fathers had fallen during the war, and for their defcendants.
defcendants. The fociety was divided into State focietiss, which were to meet on the $4^{\text {th }}$ of July, and with other bufinefs, depute a number of their members to convene annually in general meeting. The members of the inftitution were to be diftinguifhed by wearing a medal, emblematical of the defign of the fociety, and the honors and advantages were to be hereditary in the cldeft male heirs, and in default of male iffue, in the coilateral male heirs. Honorary members were to be admitted, but without the hereditary advantages of the fociety, and provided their number fhould never exceed the ratio of one to four of the officers or their defcendants.

Whatever were the real views of the framers of this inftitution, its defign was generally underftood to be harmiefs and honorable. The oftenfible vievis of the fociety could not however flreen it from popular jealoufy. A fpirited pamphlet appeared in South Carolina, the avowed production of Mr. Burke, one of the judges of the fupreme court in that State, in which the author attempted to prove that the principles, on which the fociety was formed, would, in procels of time, originate and eftablifh an order of nobility in this country, which would be repugnant to the genius of our republican governments, and dangerous to liberty. This pamphlet appeared in Comneeticut, during the commotions raifed by the half pay and commutation acts, and contributed not a little to fpread the flame of oppofition. Nothing could exceed the odium which prevailed at this time, againft the men who had hazarded their perfors and properties in the revolution.

Notwithftanding the difcontents of the people were general, and ready to burft forth in fedition, yet men of information, viz. the officers of government, the clergy, and perfons of liberal education, were moflly oppoied to the unconftitutional fteps taken by the committees and convention at Middletown. They fupported the propriety of the meafures of Congrefs, both by converfation and writing, proved that fuch grants to the army were neceffary to keep the troops together,
and that the expenfe would not be enormous nor oppreffiv. During the clofe of the year 1783 , every poifible exertion was made to enlighten the people, and fuch was the effect of the arguments ufed by the minority, that in the beginning of the following year, the oppofition fubfided, the committees were difmiffed, and tranquillity reftored to the State. In May, the legiflature were able to carry feveral meafures which had before been cxtremely unpopular. An act was paffied, granting the impoft of five per cent. to Congrets; another giving great encouragement to commerce, and feveral towns were incorporated with extenfiv privileges, for the purpofe of regulating the exports of the State, and facilitating the collection of debts.

The oppofition to the Congreffional acts in favor of the officers, and to the order of the Cincinnati, did not rife to the fame pitch in the other States as in Connecticut ; yet it produced much difturbance in Maffachufetts, and fome others. Jealoufy of power had been univerfally fpread. among the people of the United States. The deftruction of the old forms of governments, and the licentioufnefs of war had, in a great meafure, broken their habits of obedience; their paffions had been inflamed by the cry of defpotifin; and like centinels, who have been fuddenly furprifed by the approach of an enemy, the rufling of a leaf was fufficient to giv them an alarm. This fpirit of jealoufy, which has not yet fubfided, and which will probably continue vifible during the prefent generation, operated with other caufes to relax the energy of our federal operations.

During the war, vaft fums of paper currency had been emitted by Congrefs, and large quantities of fpecie hed been introduced, towards the clole of the war, by the French army, and the Spanifh trade. This pienty of money enabled the States to comply with the firft requifitions of Congrefs ; fo that during two or three years, the federal treafury was, in fome meafure, fupplied. But when the danger of war had ceafed, and the vaft importations of foreign goods had leffiened the quantity
quantity of circulating fpecie, the States began to be very remifs in furnifhing their proportion of monies. The annihilation of the credit of the paper bills had totally ftopped their circulation, and the fpecie was leaving the country in cargoes, for remittances to Great Britain; fill the luxurious habits of the people, contracted during the war, called for new fupplies of goods, and private gratification feconded the narrow policy of State intereft in defeating the operations of the general government.

Thus the revenues of Congrefs were annually diminifhing; fome of the States wholly neglecting to make provifion for paying the intereft of the national debt ; others making but a partial provifion, until the feanty fupplies received from a few of the rich States, would hardly fatisfy the demands of the civil liff.

This weaknefs of the federal gevernment, in conjunction with the flood of certificates or public fecurities, which Congrefo could neither fund nor pay, occafioned them to depreciate to a very inconfiderable value. The officers and foldiers of the late army were obliged to receive for wages thefe certificates, or promiffary notes, which paffed at a fifth, or eighth, or a tenth of their nominal value ; being thus deprived at once of the greatelt part of the reward due for their fervices. Some indeed profited by fpeculations in thefe evidences of the public debt ; but fuch as were under a neceflity of parting with them, were robbed of that fupport which they had a right to expeet and demand from their countrymen.

Penfylvania indeed made provifion for paying the intereft of her debts, both State and federal ; affuming her fuppofed proportion of the continental debt, and giving the creditors her own State notes in exclange for thofe of the United States. The refources of that State are immenfe, but the has not been able to make punctual payments, even in a depreciated paper currency.

Maffachufetts, in her zeal to comply fully with the requifitions of Congrefs, and fatisfy the demands of
her own creditors, laid a heavy tax upon the people. This was the immediate caufe of the rebellion in that State, in $\mathbf{1 7 8 6}$. But a heavy debt lying on the State, added to burdens of the fame nature, upon almolt every incorporation within it; a decline, or rather an extinction of public credit; a relaxation and corruption of manners, and a free ufe of foreign luxuries; a decay of trade and manufactures, with a prevailing fearcity of money ; and, above all, individuals involved in debt to each other: Theíe were the real, though more remote caufes of the infurrection. It was the tax which the people were required to pay, that caufed them to feel evils which we have enumerated: This called forth all their other grievances ; and the firlt act of violence committed, was the burning or dettroying of a tax bill. This ledition threw the State into a convulfion which lafted about a year ; courts of juftice were violently obftructed ; the collection of debts was fufpended; and a body of armed troops, under the command of General Lincoln, was employed during the winter of 1786 , to difperfe the infurgents. Yet fo numerous were the latter in the counties of Worcefter, Hampfhire and Berkfhire, and fo obitinately combined to oppofe the execution of law by force, that the Governor and Council of the State thought proper not to intruft General Lincoln with military powers, except to act on the defenfiv, and to repel force with force, in cafe the infurgents thould attack him. The leaders of the rebels however were not men of talents; they were defperate, but without fortitude ; and while they were fupported with a fuperior force, they appeared to be impreffed with that confcioufnefs of guilt, which awes the moft daring wretch, and makes him fhrink from his purpofe. This appears by the conduct of a large party of the rebels before the magazine at Springfield; where General Shepard with a fmall guard, was ftationed to protect the continental flores. The infurgents appeared upon the plain, with a valt fuperiority of numbers, but a few Thot from the artillery made the multitude retreat in diforder, with the lofs of four men. This fpirited conduct
duat of General Shepard, with the induftry, perfererance and prudent firmnefs of General Lincoln, difperfed the rebels, drove the leaders from the State, and reflored tranquillity. An àt of indemnity was paffed in the Legilature for all the infurgents, except a few leaders, on condition they fhould become peaceable fubjects, and take the oath of allegiance. The leaders afterwards petitioned for pardon, which, from motivs of policy, was granted by the Legiflature.

But the lofs of public credit, popular difurbances, and infurrections, were not the only evils which were generated by the peculiar circumftances of the times. The emifions of bills of credit and tender laws, were added to the black catalogue of political diforders.

The expedient of fupplying the deficiencies of fpecie, by emiffions of paper bills, was adopted very early in the colonies. The expedient was obvious and produced good effects. In a new ccuntry, where population is rapid, and the value of lands increafing, the farmer finds an advantage in paying legal intereft for money; for if he can pay the interelt by his profits, the increafing value of his lands will, in a few years, difcharge the principal.

In no colony was this advantage more fenfibly experienced than in Penfylvania. The emigrants to that province were numcrous ; the natural population rapid; and thefe circumftances combined, advanced the value of real property to an aftonihing degree. As the firf fettlers there, as well as in other provinces, were poor, the purchafe of a few foreign articles drained them of fpecie. Indeed for many years, the balance of trade muft have neceffarily been greatly againit the colonies.

But bills of credit, cmitted by the State and loaned to the induftrious inhabitants, fupplied the want of fpecie, and enabled the farmer to purchafe flock. Thefe bills were generally a legal tender in all colonial or private contracts, and the fumsiffued did not generally exceed the quantity requifit for a medium of trade; they retained their futl nominal value in the purchafe
of commodities. But as they were not received by the Britifh merchants, in payment for goods, there was a great demand for fpecie and bills, which occafioned the latter at various times to appreciate. Thus was introduced a difference between the Englifh fterling money and the currencies of the colonies which remains to this day.*

The advantages the colonies had derived from bills of credit, under the Britifh government, fuggefted to Congrefs, in 1775 , the idea of iffuing bills for the purpole of carrying on the war. And this was perhaps their only expedient. Money could not be raifed by taxation; it could not be borrowed. The firft emiffions had no other effect upon the medium of commerce, than to drive the fpecie from circulation. But when the paper fubftituted for fpecie, had, by repeated emiffions, augmented the fum in circulation, much beyond the ufual fum of fpecie, the bills began to lofe their value. The depreciation continued in proportion to the fums emitted, until feventy, and even one hundted and fifty nominal paper dollars, were hardly an equivalent for one Spanifin milled dollar. Still from the year 1775 to 1781 , this depreciating paper currency was almoft the only medium of trade. It fupplied the place of fpecie, and enabled Congrefs to fupport a numerous army ; until the fum in circulation amounted to two hundred millions of dollars. But about the year 1780 , feecie began to be plentiful, being introduced by the French army, a private trade with the Spanifh illands, and an illicit intercourfe with the Britifh garrion at New York. This circumftance accelerated the depreciation of the paper bills, until their value had funk almoft to nothing. In 1781, the merchants and - brokers

[^30]Franklin's Mifcel. Horks, p. 217 .
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 SKETCHES of thebrokers in the fouthern States, apprehenfiv of the app. proaching fate of the currency, puthed immenfe quantitics of it fuddenly into New England, made vaft purchafes of goods in Bofton, and inftantly the bills vanifhed from circulation.

The whole hiftory of this continental paper is a hiftory of public and private frauds. Old fpecie debts were often paid in a depreciated currency, and even new contracts for a few weeks or days were often difcharged with a fmall part of the value received. From this plenty and fuctuating ftate of the medium, fprung hofts of fpeculators and itinerant traders, who left their honeft occupations for the profpect of immenfe gains, in a fraudulent bufinefs, that depended on no fixed principles, and the profits of which could be reduced to no certain calculations.

To increafe thefe evils, a projeet was formed to fix the prices of articles, and reftrain perfons from giving or receiving more for any commodity than the price ftated by authority. Thefe regulating acts were reprobated by every man acquainted with commerce and filuance; as they were intended to prevent an effect without removing the caufe. To attempt to fix the value of money, while ftreams of bills were inceffantly flowing from the treafury of the United States, was as ridiculous as an attempt to reftrain the rifing of water in rivers amidft fhowers of rain.

Notwithflanding all oppofition, fome States framed and attempted to enforce thefe regulating âs. The effect was, a momentary apparent fland in the price of articles ; innumerable aets of collufion and evation among the difhoneft ; numberlefs injuries done to the honett ; and finally a total difregard of all fuch regulations, and the confequential contempt of laws and the authority of the magiffrate.

During thefe fluctuations of bufinefs, occafioned by the variable value of money, people loft fight, in fome meafure, of the fteady principles which had before governed their intercourle with each other. Speculations followed and relaxed the rigor of commercial obligations.

Induftry

Induftry likewife had fuffered by the flood of money. which had deluged the States. The prices of produce had rifen in proportion to the quantity of money in circulation, and the demand for the commodities of the country. This made the acquifition of money eafy, and indolence and luxury, with their train of defolating confequences, fpread themfelves among all defriptions of people.

But as foon as hoffilities between Great Britain and America were fufpended, the feene was changed. The bills emitted by Congrefs had long before ceafed to circulate ; and the fpecie of the country was foon drained off to pay for foreign goods, the importations of which exceeded all calculation. Within two years from the clofe of the war, a fcarcity of money was the general cry. The merchants found it impoffible to collect their debts, and make punctual remittances to tieir creditors in Great Britain ; and the confumers were driven to the neceffity of retrenching their fuperfluities in living and of returning to their ancient habits of induftry and economy.

This change was however progreffiv and flow. In many of the States which fuffered by the numerous. debts they had contracted, and by the diftreffes of war, the people called aloud for emilfions of paper bills to fupply the deficiency of a medium. The depreciation of the continental bills, was a recent example of the ill effects of fuch an expedient, and the impoffibility of fupporting the credit of paper, was urged by the oppofers of the meafure as a fubftantial argument againt adopting it. But nothing would filence the popular clamor ; and many men of the firt talents and eminence, united their voices with that of the populace. Paper money had formerly maintained its credit, and been of fingular utility ; and paft experience, notwithftanding a change of circumftances, was an argument in its favor that hore down all oppofition.

Penfylvania, although one of the richeft States in the union, was the firft to emit bills of credit, as a fubfitute for fpecie. But the revolution had removed the
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 SKETCHES of theneceffity of it, at the fame time that it had deffrojed the means by which its former credit had been fupport-ed. Lands, at the clofe of the war, were not rifing in value ; bills on London could not fo readily be purchafed, as while the province was dependent on Great Britain ; the State was fplit into parties, one of which attempted to defeat the meafures moft popular with the other ; and the depreciation of continental bills, with the injuries which it had done to individuals, infpired a general diftruft of all public promifes.

Notwithftanding a part of the money was loaned on good landed fecurity, and the faith of that wealthy State pledged for the redemption of the whole at its nominal value, yet the advantages of fpecie as a medium of commerce, cfpecially as an article of remittance to Loncion, foon made a difference of ten per cent. between the biils of credit and fpecie. This difference may be confidered rather as an appreciation of gold and filver, than a depreciation of paper ; but its effects, in a commercial State, muft be highly prejudicial. It opens the door to frauds of all kinds, and frauds are ufualiy practifed on the honeft and unfurpecting, efpecially upon all claffes of laborers.

This currency of Penfylvania is receivable in all payments at the cuftom houfe, and for certain taxes, at its nominal value; yet it has funk to two thirds of this value, in the few commercial tranfactions where it is received.

North Caroling, South Carolina, and Georgia, had recourfe to the fame wretched expedient to fupply. themfelves with money; not reflesting that induftry, frugality, and good commercial laws are the only means of turning the balance of trade in favor of a country, and that this balance is the only permanent fource of folid wealth and ready money. But the bills they emitted fhared a worfe fate than thofe of Penfylvania; they expelled almoft all the circulating cath from the States ; they loft a great part of their nominal value : they impoverifhed the merchants, and embarraffed the Fonnters.

The State of Virginia had too much wifdom to emit bills; but tolcrated a practice among the inhabitants of cutting dollars and finaller pieces of filver, in order to prevent it from leaving the State. This pernicious practice prevailed alro in Georgia.*

Maryland efcaped the calamity of a paper currency. The houfe of delegates brought forward a bill for the emiffion of bills of credit to a large amount; but the Fenate firmily and fuccefffully refifted the pernicious fcheme. The oppofition between the two houfes was violent and tumultuous; it threatened the State with anarchy ; but the queftion was carried to the people, and the good fenfe of the fenate finally prevailed.

New Jerfey is fituated between two of the largeft commercial towns in America, and confequently drained of feccie.. This State alfo emitted a large fum in biils of credit, which ferved to pay the intereft of the public debt ; but the currency depreciated, as in other States.

Rhode Ifland exhibits a melancholy proof of that licentioufnefs and anarchy which always follows a relaxation of the moral principles. In a rage for fupplying the State with money, and filling every man's pocket without obliging him to earn it by his diligence, the Légiflatuie paffed an áct for making one hundred thoufand pounds in bills; a fum much more than fufficient for a medium of trade in that State, even without any fpecie. The merchants in Newport and Providence oppofed the act with firmnefs ; their oppofition added frefh vigour to the refolution of the affembly, and induced them to inforce the fcheme by a legal tender of a moft extraordinary nature. They paffed an act, ordaining that if any creditor thould refure to take their bills, for any debt whateverr, the debtor might lodge the fum due, with a juftice of the peace, who fhould

[^31]
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mould giv notice of it in the public papers; and if the creditor did not appsar and receive the money within fix months from the firit notice, his debt fhould be forfeited. This act aftonifhed all honeft men ; and even the promoters of paper money making in other States, and on other principles, reprabated this ait of Rhode Inand, as wicked and opprefliv. But the State was governed by faction. During the cry for paper money, a number af boifterous ignorant men, were elected into the Legiflature, from the fmaller towns in the State. Finding thenfeives united with a majority in opinion, they formed and executed any plan their inclination fuggefted; they oppofed every meafure that was agreeabie to the inercantile intereft ; they not only made bad laws to fuit theit own wicked purpofes, but appointed their own corrupt creatures to fill the judicial and executiv departments. Their money depreciated fufficiently to anfwer all their vile purpofes in the difcharge of debts ; buffinefs almoft totally ceafed; all confidence was loft : the State was thrown into confufion at home, and was execrated abroad.

Maffachufetts Bay had the good fortune, amidft her political calamities, to preventem emiffion of bills of credit. New Hampfhire made no paper; but in the diftrefles which followed her lofs of bufinefs after the war, the Legillature made horfes, lumber, and moft articles of produce a legal tender in the fulfilment of contracts. It is doubtiefs unjurt to oblige a creditor to receive any thing for his debt, which he had rot in contemplation at the time of the contract. But as the commodities which were to be a tender by the law of New Hamphire, were of an intrific value, bearing fome proportion to the amount of the debt, the injuntice of the law was lefs flagrant, than that which enforced the tender of paper in Rhode Ifland. Indeed a fimilar law prevailed for fome time in Maffachufetts; and in Connecticut it is optional with the creditor, cither to imprifon the debtor, or take land on an execution, at a price to be fixed by three indifferent freeholders; proviced no other means of payment fhall appear
to fatisfy the demand. It muft not however be omitted, that while the moft flourifhing commercial States introduced a paper medium, to the great injury of honeft men; a bill for an emiffion of paper in Connecticut, where there is very little fpecie, could never command more than one eighth of the votes of the Legillature. The movers of the bill have hardly efcaped ridicule; fo generally is the meafure reprobated as a fource of fraud and public mifchief.

The Legillature of New York, a State that had the leaft neceffity and apology for making paper money, as her commercial advantages always furniff her with ipecie fufficient for a medium, iffiued a large fum in bills of credit, which fupport their value better than the currency of any other State. Still the paper has raifed the value of ipecie, which is always in demand forexportation, and this difference of exchange between paper and fpecie, expofes commerce to moft of the inconveniencies refulting from a depreciated medium.

Such is the hiftory of paper money thus far ; a miferable fubftitute for real cein, in a country where the reins of government are too weak to compel the fulfilment of public engagements ; and where all confidence in public faith is totally deftroyed.

While the States were thus endeavoring to repair the Jofs of fpecie, by empty promifes, and to fupport their bufinefs by fhadows, rather than by reality, the Britifh miniftry formed fome commercial regulations that deprived them of the profits of their trade to the Weft Indies and to Great Britain. Heavy duties were laid upon fuch articles as were remitted to the London merchants for their goods, and fuch were the duties upon American bottoms, that the States were almoft wholly deprived of the carrying trade. A prohibition was laid upon the produce of the United States, fhipped ta the Englifh Weft India Iflands in American built veffels, and in thofe manned by American feamen. Thefe reftrictions fell heavy upon the eaftern States, which depended much upon mip building for
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Without a union that was able to form and execute a general fyftem of commercial regulations, fome of the States attempted to impofe reftraints upon the Britifh trade that fhould indemnify the merchant for the loffes he had fuffered, or induce the Britifh miniftry to enter into a commercial treaty, and relax the rigor of their navigation laws. Thefe meafures however produced nothing but mifchief. The States did not act in concert, and the reftraints laid on the trade of one State operated to throw the bufinefs into the hands of its neighbor. Maffachufetts, in her zeal to counteraft the effect of the Englifh navigation laws, laid enormous duties upon Britifh goods imported into that State ; but the other States did not adopt a fimilar meafure ; and the lofs of bufinefs foon obliged that State to repeal or fufpend the law. Thus when Penfylvania laid heavy duties on Britih goods, Delaware and New JerSey made a number of free ports to encourage the landing of goods within the limits of thofe States; and the duties in Penfylvania ferved no purpofe, but to create fmuggling.

Thus divided, the States began to feel their weaknef's. Moft of the Legillatures had neglected to comply with the requifitions of Congreds for furnihing the federal treafury; the refolves of Congrefs were difregarded ; the propofition for a general impoft to be laid and collected by Congrefs was negatived firt by Rhode Ifland, and afterwards by New York. The Britih troops continued, under pretence of a breach of treaty on the part of America, to hold poffeffion of the forts on the frontiers of the States; and thus commanded the fur trade. Many of the States individually were infefted with popular commotions or iniquitous tender laws, while they were oppreffed with public debts; the certificates or public notes had loft moft of their value, and circulated merely as the objects of fpeculation ; Congrefs lof their refpectability, and the United States elpeir credit and importance.

In the midid of thefe calamities, a propofition was made in 1785 , in the houfe of delegates, in Virginia, to appoint commilfioners, to meet fuch as might be appointed in the other States, who fhould form a fyftem of commercial regulations for the United States, and recommend it to the feveral Legiflatures for adop. tion. Commiffioners were accordingly appointed and a requeft was made to the Legiflatures of the other States to accede to the propofition. Accordingly feveral of the States appointed commifioners, who met at Annapolis in the fummer of 1786 , to confuit what meafures fhould be taken to unite the States in fome general and efficient commercial fytem. But as the States were not all reprefented, and the powers of the cominiffioners were, in their opinion, too limited to propofe a fytem of regulations adequate to the purpofes of government, they agreed to recommend a general convention to be held at Philadelphia the next year, with powers to frame a general plan of government for the United States. This meafure appeared to the commiffioners abfolutely neceffary. The old confederation was efficntially defectiv. It was deftitute of almoft every principle neceffary to giy effect to legiflation.

It was defectiv in the article of legillating over States, inftead of individuals. All hiftory teftifies that recommendations will not operate as laws, and compulion cannot be exercifed over States, without violence, war and anarchy. The confederation was alfo deftitute of a fanction to its laws. When refolutions were paffed in Congrefs, there was no power to compel obedience by fine, by fufpenfion of privileges or other means. It was alfo deffitute of a guarantee for the State governments. Had one State been invaded by its neighbor, the union was not conflitutionally bound to affift in repelling the invafion, and fupporting the conftitution of the invaded State. The confederation was further deficient in the principle of apportioning the quotas of money to be furnifhed by each State ; in a want of power to form commercial laws, and to raife troops for the defence and fecurity of the union ; in the equal fuffrage
fuffrage of the States, which placed Rinde Inand on a footing in Congrefs with Virginia; and to crown all the defects, we may add the want of a judiciary power, to define the laws of the union, and to reconcile the contradictory decifions of a number of independent judicatories.

Thefe and many inferior defects were obvious to the commiffioners, and therefore they urged a general convention, with powers to form and offer ta the confideration of the States, a fyifem of general government that fhould be lefs exceptionable. Accordingly in May, 1787 , delegates from all the States, except Rhode Illand, affembled at Philadelphia; and chofe Genera! Wafhington for their prefident. After four months deliberation, in which the clathing interefts of the fevcral States, appeared in all their force, the convention agreed to recommend a plan of federal government, '\&c.

As foom as the plan of the federal conftitution was fubmitted to the Legiflatures of the feveral States, they proceeded to take meafures for collecting the fenfe of the people upon the propriety of adopting it. In the fmall State of Delaware, a convention was called in November, which, after a few days deliberation, ratified the confitution, without a diffienting voice.

In the convention of Penfy!vania, held the fame month, there was a fpirited oppofition to the new form of government. The debates were long and interening. Great abilities and firmnefs were difplayed on both fides ; but, on the I $3^{\text {th }}$ 倍 December, the comftitution was received by two thirds of the members. The minority were diffatisfied, and with an obofinacy that ill became the reprefentativs of a free people, publifhed their reafons of difient, which were calculated to inflame a party already violent, and which, in fact, produced forme difturbances in the weftern parts of the State. But the oppofition has fince fubfided.

In New Jerfey, the convention which met in December, were unanimous in adopting the conftitution; as was likewife that of Georgia.

In Connecticut there was fome oppofition; but the conftitution was, on the 9 th of January, 1783 , ratified by three fourths of the votes in convention, and the minority peaceably acquiefced in the decifion.

In Maffachufetts, the oppofition was large and refpectable. Tlie convention, confifting of more than three hundred delegates; were affembled in January, and continued their debates, with great candor and liberality, about five weeks. At length the queftion was carried for the conftitution by a finall majority, and the minority, with that manly condefcenfion which becomes great minds, fubmitted to the meafure, and united to fupport the government.

In New Hampihire, the federal caufe was, for fome time doubtful. The greateft number of the delegates in convention, were at firt on the fide of the oppofition; and fome, who might have had their objections removed by the difcuffion of the fubject, inftructed to reject the conftitution. Altho the inftructions of conftituents cannot, on the true principles of reprefentation, be binding upon a deputy, in any legiflativ affembly, becaufe his conftituents are but a part of the State, and have not heard the arguments and objections of thie whole; whereas, his act is to affect the whole State, and therefore is to be directed by the fenfe or wifdom of the whole, collected in the legillativ affembly; yet the delegates in the New Hampthire convention conceived, very erroneoufly, that the fenfe of the freemen in the towns, thofe little diftricts, where no act of legiflation can be performed, impofed a reftraint upon their own wills.* An adjournment was therefore moved, and carried. This gave the people opportunity to gain a farther knowlege of the merits of the conftitution, and at the fecond meeting of the convention, it was ratified by a refpectable majority.

In Maryland, feveral men of abilities appeared in the oppofition, and were unremitted in their endeavors to perfuade the people, that the propofed plan of govern-
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[^32]ment was artfully calculated to deprive them of their deareft rights ; yet in convention it appeared that five fixths of the voices were in favor of it.

In South Carolina, the oppofition was refpectable; but two thirds of the convention appeared to advocate and vote for the confritution.

In Virginia, many of the principal characters oppoled the ratification of the conftitution with great abilities and induftry. But after a full difcuffion of the fubject, a fmall majority, of a numerous convention, appeared for its adoption.

In New York, two thirds of the delegates in convention were, at their firlt meeting, deterinined to reject the conflitution. Here, therefore, the debates were th: moft interefting, and the event extremely doubtful. The argument was managed with uncommon addrefs and abilities on both fides of the queftion. But during the feffion, the ninth and tenth States had acceded to the propofed plan, fo that by the conftitution, Congrefs were empowered to iflue an ordinance for organizing the new government. This event placed the oppofition on new ground; and the expe-
$f$ diency of uniting with the other States; the generous motivs of conciliating all differences, and the danger of a rejection, influenced a refpectable number, who were originally oppofed to the conftitution, to join the federal intereft. The conftitution was accordingly ratified by a fmall majority; but the ratification was accompanied here, as in Virginia, with a bill of rights, declaratory of the fenfe of the convention, as to certain great principles, and with a catalogue of amendinents, which were to be recommended to the confideration of the new Congrefs, and the feyeral State Legiflatures.

North Carolina met in convention in July, to deliberate on the new conftitution. After a Chort feffion they rejected it, by a majority of one hundred and feventy fix, againft feventy fix.

Rhode Illand was doomed to be the fport of a blind and fingular policy. The Legiflature, in confiftency with the meafures which had been before purfued, did
not call a convention, to collect the fenfe of the State upon the propofed conflitution ; but in an unconftitutional and abfurd manner, fubmitted the plan of government to the confideration of the people. Accordingly it was brought before town meetings, anid in moft of them rejected. In fome of the large towns, particularly in Newport and Providence, the people collected and refolved, with great propriety, that they could not take up the fubject ; and that the propofition for embracing or rejesting the federal conftitution, could come before no tribunal but that of the State in convention or legiflature.

From the moment the proceedings of the general convention at Philadelphia tranfpired, the public mind was exceedingly agitated, and fufpended between hope and fear," until nine States had ratified the plan of a federal government. Indeed, the anxiety continued until Virginia and New York had acceded to the fyftem. But this did not prevent the demonftrations of joy, on the acceffion of each State.

On the ratification in Maffachufetts, the citizens of Bofton, in the elevation of their joy, formed a proceffion in honor of the happy event, which was novel, fplendid and magnificent. This example was afterwards followed, and in fome inftances improved upon, in Baltimore, Charlefton, Philadelphia, New Haven, Portfmouth and New York, fucceffivly. Nothing could equal the beauty and grandeur of thefe exhibitions. A fhip was mounted upon wheels, and drawn thro the frreets ; mechanics erected flages, and exhibjted fpecimens of labor in their feveral occupations, as they moved along the road; flags with emblems, defcriptiv of all the arts and of the federal union, were inyented and difplayed in honor of the government; multitudes of all ranks in. life affembled to view the majeftic fcenes; while fobriety, joy and harmony marked the brilliant exhibitions, by which the Americans celebrated the eftablifhment of their empire.

In March, 1789 , the delegates from the eleven ratifying States, convened in New York, where convenient
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and elegant accommodations had been furnifhed by the citizens. On opening the ballots for Prefident, it appeared that the late Commander in Chief of our armies was unanimounly elected to the dignified office. This event diffufed univerfal joy among the friends to the union.

The deliberations of the firft American Legillature were marked with wifdom, fpirit, and generally with candor. The eftablifhment of a revenue and judiciary fyftem, with other national meafures; the wife appointments to offices; the promptnefs and energy of the executiv, with a growing popular attachment to the general government, open the faireft profpect of peace, union and profperity to thefe States ; a profpect that is brigitened by the acceffion of North Carolina to the government in November, 178 q .

## No. XVI.

REMARKS on the Method of burying the DEAD among the Nativs of this Country; compared with that anong the ancient. Britons.
Being an Extract of a Letter to the Rev. Dr. Stiless, Prefident of Yale Coliege, dated New York, January 20, 1788.
[Note. I bad embraced the idea, that the remarkable fortifications on the Mugkingum, might be jufly afcribed to the Spaniards, under Ferdinand de Soto, who penetrated into Filorida, about the year 1540; subich opinion I endeavored to maintain as probably well founded, and wrote three or four letters on the fulbject, to Dr. Stiles, which were publijhed in 1789. It is now very clear that m9 opinions was not well founded; but that Chicaca, which I Dad fuppofed to be Mufkingum, ought to bave beent zuritten Chicaça, with a cedilla, as it is in the original Spanifb; and pronounced Chikefaw. This determins the place of Soto's winter quarters, the fecond year after landing, to $\mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{e}}$ in the territories of the prefont Chikefaws. Thofe letters, therefore, are not worth republifhing; but the following extract, on a different fubject, may be confudered as avorthy of prefervation.]

BUT how fhall we account for the mounts, caves, graves, \&c. and for the contents, which evince the exiftence of the cuftom of burning the dead or their bones ; can thefe be alcribed to the Spaniards? I ptefume, Sir, you will be of opinion they cannot. Capt. Heart fays,* thefe graves are fmall mounts of earth, from fome of which human bones have been taken ; in one were found bones in the natural pofition of a man, buried nearly caft and weft, and a quantity of ifing glafs on his breaft ; in the other graves, the bones were irregular, fome caicined by fire, others burnt only to a

[^33]ccriain degree, fo as to render them more durable ; int others the mouldered bones retain their fhape, without any fubftance; others are partly rotten and partly the remains of decayed bones; in moft of the graves were found ftones evidently burnt, pieces of charcoal, Indian arrows and pieces of earthen ware, which appeared to be a cómpofition of fhells and cement.

That thefe mounts and graves are the works of the nativ Indians, is very evident, for fuch finall mounts are fcattered over every part of North America. "It was cuftomary witli the Indians of the Weft Jerfey," fays Mr. Smith, page 137, "when they buried the dead, to put family utenfils, bows and arrows, and fometimes wampum into the grave, as tokens of their affection. When a perfon of note died far from the place of his own refidence; they would carry his bones to be buried there. They wafhed and perfumed the dead, painted the face, and fcllowed fingly ; left the dead in a fitting pofture, and covered the grave pyramidically. They were very curious in preferving and repairing the graves of their dead, and penfivly vifited them:"

It is faid by the Englifh, who are beft acquanted with the manners of the nativs, that they had a cuftom of collecting, at certain ftated periods, all the bones of their deceafed friends, and burying them in fome common grave. Over thefe cemetaries or general repofitories of the dead, were crected thofe valt heaps of earth or mounts, fimilar to thofe which are called in England barrows, and which are difcovered in cvery part o: the United States.

The Indians feem to liave had two methods of burying the dead; one was, to depofit one body (or at moft but a finall number of bodies) in a place, and cover it with ftonss, thrown together in a carelefs manner. The pile thus formed would naturally be nearly circular, but thofe piles that are difcovered are fomething oval. In the neightorliood of my father's houfe, about feven miles from Hartford, on the public road to Farmington, there is ovie of thole Currnedds or heaps of ftone.
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ftone. I often paffed by it in the early part of my youth, but never meafured its circumference or examined its contents. My prefent opinion is, that its circumference is about twenty five feet. The inhabitants in the neighborhood report, as a tradition received from the nativs, that an Indian was buried there, and that it is the cuftom for every Indian that paffes by to caft a ftone upon the heap. This cuftom I have never feen practifed, but have no doubt of its exiffence ; as it is confirmed by the general teftimony of the firf American fettlers.*

The other mode of burying the dead, was to depofit a vaft number of bodies, or the bones which were taken from the fingle fcattered graves, in a common cemetary, aind over them raife valt tumuli or barrows, fuch as the mount at Murkingum, which is 390 feet in circumference, and 50 feet high. The beft account of thefe cemetaries may be found in Mr. Jefferfon's Notes on Virginia, which will appear the moft fatisfactory to the reader in his own words.
"I know of no fuch thing exifting as an Indian monument, for I would not honor with that naine, arrow points, ftone hatchets, ftone pipes, and half fhapen images. Of labor on the large fcale, I think there are no remains as refpectable as would be a common ditch for the draining of lands, unlefs it be the barrows, of which many

[^34]tnany are to be found all over this country. Theie are of different fizes, fome of them conftructed of earth; and fome of loofe ftones. That they were repofitorics of the dead has been obvious to all ; but on what particular occafion conftructed, was matter of doubt. Some have thought they covered the bones of thofe who have fallen in battles, fought on the fpot of interment: Some afcribe them to the cuftom, faid to prevail among the Indians, of collecting at certain periods the bones of all their dead, wherever depofited at the time of death. Others again fuppofed them the general fepulchre for towns, conjectured to have been on or near thefe grounds, and this opinion was fupported by the quality of the lands in which they are found, (thofe conftructed of earth being generally in the fofteft and moft fertile meadow grounds on river fides) and by a tradition faid to be hanced down from the aboriginal Indians, that when they fettled in a town, the firlt perfon who died was piaced erect, and earth put about him fo as to cover and fupport him ; that when another died, a narrow 'paflage was dug to the firft, the fecond reclined againft him, and the cover of earth replaced, and fo on. There being one of thefe in my neighborhood, I wifhed to fatisfy myfelf whether any, and which of thefe opinions were juft ; for this purpofe I determined to open and examin it thoroughly. It was fituated on the low grounds of the Rivanna, about two miles above its principal fork, and oppofit to fome hills on which had been an Indian town. It was of a fpheroidical form, of about forty feet diameter at the bafe, and had been of about twelve fcet altitude, tho now reduced by the plow to feven and a half; having been under cultivation about a dozen years.
"Before this, it was covered with trees of twelve inches diameter, and round the bafe was an excavation of five feet depth and width, from whence the carth had been taken, of which the hillock was formed. I firft dug fuperficialiy in fevernl parts of it, and came to collections of human bones at different depths, from fixt inches to three feet, below the furface. Thefe were
lying in the utmort confufion ; fome vertical, fonie oblique, fome horizontal, and directed to every point of the compafs, entangled and held together in clufters by the earth. Bones of the moft diftant parts were found together; as for inftance, the fmall bones of the foot: in the hollow of a fcull; many fculls were fometimes in contact, lying on the face, on the fide, on the back, top or bottom, fo as on the whole, to giv the idea of bones emptied promifcuoully from a bag or balket, and cwvered over with earth, without any attention to their order. The bones, of which the greatef numbers remained, were fculls, jaw bones, teeth, the bones of the at: 7s, thighs, legs, feet and hands. A few ribs' remained, fome vertibræ of the neck and fpine, without their proceffes, and one inftance only of the bone which ferves as the bafe to the vertebral column (the os facrum)."

After making fome remarks on the ftate of putrefaction in which the bones appeared, and on the difcovery of the bones of infants, Mr. Jefferfon goes on, "I procected then to make a perpendicular cut thro the Body of the barrow, that I might examin its internal ftructure. This pafied about three feet from its center, was opened to the former furface of earth, and was wide enough for a man to walk thro and examin its fides.
"At the bottom, tliat is on the level of the circumjacent plain, I found bones; above thefe a few tiones brought from a cliff, a quarter of a mile off, and from the river one eighth of a mile off. Then a large interval of earth, then a ftratum of bones, and fo on. At one end of the fection, were four ftrata of bones plainly diftinguifhable; at the other, three; the ftrata in one part not ranging with thofe in another. The bones neareft the furface were leaft decayed. No holes were difcovered in any of them, as if made with bullets, arrows or other weapons. I conjectured that in this barrow might have been a thoufand ikeletons. Every one will readily feize the circumftances above related, whith militate againft the opinion, that it covered the
bones only of perfons fallen in battle; and againt the tradition alfo which would make it the cominon fepulchre of a town, in which the bodies were placed upright, and touching each other. Appearances certainly indicate, that it has derived both origin and growth from the accuftomary collection of bones and depofition of them together; that the firf collection had been depofited on the common furface of the earth, that a few ftones were put over it, and then a covering of earth, that the fecond had been laid on this, had coveted more or lefs of it in proportion to the number of bones, and was then alfo covered with earth, and fo on. The following are the particular circumftances, which giv it this afpect. I The number of bones. 2 The ftrata in one part having no correfpondence with thofe in another. 3 The different ftates of decay in thefe frata, which feem to indicate a difference in the time of inhumation. 4 The exiftence of infant bones among them.
"But on whatever occafion they may have been made, they are of confiderable notoriety among the Indians; for a party paffing about thirty years ago, thro the part of the country where this barrow is, went thro the woods directly to it, without any inftructions or infuiry, and having ftaid about it fome time, with exprefions which were conftrued to be thofe of forrow, they returned to the high road which they had left about half a dozen miles, to pay this vifit, and purfued their journey. There is another barrow, much refembling this, in the low grounds of the fouth branch of the Shenandoah, where it is crofied by the road leading from the Rockfin Gap to Staunton. Both of there have within thefe dozen years, been cleared of their trees and put under cultivation, are much reduced in their height, and fpread in width, by the plow, and will probably difappear in time. There is another on a hill in the blue ridge of mountains, a few miles north of Wood's Gap, which is made up of fmall ftones thrown together. 'This has been opened, and found
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to contain human bones, as the others do. There are alfo others in other parts of the country."

From this account of Mr. Jefferion, to whofe induftry and talents the fciences and his country will ever be indebted, we may fairly conclude that the mounts at Mufkingum are the work of the nativ Indians. It is however neceffary to notice two or three particulars, in the appearance of thofe ats Mufkingum, which are not difcovered (or not mentioned by Mr. Jefferfon) in the ftructure of that which he examined. Thefe are the ifing glafs, the earthen ware, the charcoal, and the calcination of the bones by fire. As to the firt it is well known that the ifing glafs is found only in particular parts of America, and the favages in other parts could not obtain it. Mr. Jefferfon mentions no difcovery of earthen ware, but it was ufed by the Indians in every part of America. 'The piece you once fhewed me, fir, is a fpecimen of what is found wherever there has been an Indian town. Pieces of it are dug up frequently in the meadows on Conneaticut river. It appears to be formed of pure clay, or of thells and cement, hardened by fire, and as we might naturally fuppofe, without glazing. By fections of veffels which remain, it is evident they were wrought with great ingenuity, and into beautiful and convenient forms.

The charcoal and calcination of fome bones are a proof that there has exifted, among the favages of America, a cuftom of burning the dead, or their bones, after the diffolution of the flefh. It does not appear that this cuftom was general, but it is not at all furprifing to find that fuch a practice has exifted in this country; fince it has been frequent among the unciv ilized nations on the eaftern continent.

I am fenfible, fir, that you have entertained an opinion that the ftory of Madoc, the Welch Prince, may be true, and that it is poflible the fortifications at Mufkingum may be the work of his colony. Of the truth of this conclufion there is perhaps no direct evidence, and yet collateral evidence may be obtained, that it is not chimerical. There is fuch a furprifing affinity be-
tween the Indian mounts and the barrows or cemetaries which are remaining in England, but particularly in Wales and Anglefey, the lait retreat of the original Britons, that we can hardly refolve it into a common principle of analogy that fubfifts between nations in the fame flage of fociety; but incredulity itfelf will acknowlege the probability, that the primitiv inhabitants of Britain and America had a common fock from which they were derived, long fintee the age of the firft parent : Not that I believe North America to be peopled fo late as the twelfth century, the period of Madoc's migration, but fuppofing America to have been fettled two or three thoufand years before that period, a fubfequent colony might pafs the Atlantic and bring the Roiman improvements in fortification.

Waving further conjectures, I beg leave to defcribe the anaiogy between the barrows in England and Wales, and in America. This will be ftriking, and cannot fail to entertain a curious reader, becaufe it is attended with pofitiv proofs.

In England, Scotland, Wales, and the ifland Anglefey, there are numbers of monuments erected by the ancients; but the moft remarkable are generally found in the two latter, whither the old Britons retreated from their Roman and Saxon conquerors; and Anglefiy, the ancient Mona, is fuppofed to have been the chief feat of the Druids: The remains of molt confequence are the cromlcchs, the tumuli, and the $c u$ muli or carrnedds. Cromlech, if the word is derived from the Britifh roots krom laech, fignifies a bending fone:* This is the common opinion, as Rowland obferves. + If we trace the origin to the Hebrew, the root of the old Britifh, $\ddagger$ we fhall find it not lefs fignificativ;

[^35]icativ; for carem luach fignify devoted fone, or altar. Thefe cromlechs confift of large fones, pitched on end in the earth, as fupporters, upen which is laid a broad fone of a vaff fize. The fupporters fland in a bending pofture, and are from three to feven fest high. The top ftone is often found to be of twenty or thirty tons weight, and remains to this day on the pillars. Numbers of thefe are found in Wales and Anglefey; but none is more remarkable than that in Wiltfhire, called Pone benge, for a full defcription of which I muft beg leave to refer you to Camden's Britannia, vol. 'I, pagc iri. Thefe cromlechs are doubtlefs works of great antiquity ; but for what purpofe they were crected, at fuch an immenfe expenfe of time and labor as would be necefliary to convey fones of thirty tons weight a confiderable difitance, and raife them feveral feet, is not eafily determined. The probability is that they were altars for facrifice, as pieces of burnt hones and athes are found near them. They might alfo be ufed in other ceremonies, under the druidical fynem, as the ratification of covenants, \&cc. As this kind of monuments is not found in America, I will wave a further confideration of it ; obferving only, that it was an ancient practice among the eaflern nations, to raife heaps of ftones, as witneffes of agreements, and facrifice upon them, as a folcmn ratification of the act of the parties. Many inflances of this ceremony are mentioned in the old teftament. The covenant between Jacob and Laban was witnefied by a heap of ftones, which ferved alfo as a boundary between thecir refpestiv claims. "And Facob offered facrifice upon the mount, that is, the heap, and called bis brethren to eas arend."? Gen. xxxi, 54. A fimilar cuftom feems to have preyailed among the primitiv Britons.

But the tamuli, barrows or mounts of earth, which remain in multitudes in England and Wales, are confructed exactly in the manner of the barrows, defcribed by Mr. Jefferfon and Mr. Heart. One of thefe in Wilthire, Camden thus defcribes.* "Here Selbury; a round

[^36]
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a round hill, rifes to a confiderable height, and feems by the fafhion of it, and the fliding down of the earth about it, to have been caft up by mens hands. Of this fort there are many in this country, round and copped, which are called burrows or barrows ; perhaps raifed in memory of the foldiers flain there. For bones are found in them, and I have read, it was a cuftom among the northern people, that every foldier who furvived a battle, fhould bring a helmet full of earth towards the raifing of monuments for their flain fellows."

This is faid to be the largeft and moft uniform barrow in the country, and perhaps in England ; and I segret that the height and circumference are not mentioned. I am however informed verbally by a gentleman who has vifited England, that fome of thefe tumuli appear to have been neariy one hundred feet high.* There are alfo in the fame country feveral kinds of barrows of different fizes; fome furrounded with trenches ; others not; fome with fones fet round them, cthers without any; the general figure of them is nearly circular, but a little oval.

In Penbrokefhire, in Wales, Camden informs us $\uparrow$ "there are divers ancient tumuli, or artificial mounts for urn burial, whereof the moft notable I have feen, are thofe four, called krigen kemaes, or the burrows of kemeas. One of thefe a gentlemen of the neighborhood, out of curiofity, and for the fatisfaction of fome friends, caufed lately to be dug ; and difcovered therein five urns, which contained a confiderable quantity of burnt bones and aithes." If there is any difference between thefe barrows, and thofe at Mufkingum, it is this, that in Wales the bones were lodged in urns; probably this was the fate of the bodies of eminent men only, or it proves a greater degree of improvement in Britain than appears among the American favages.

In Caernardhinfhire, there is a barrow of a fingular kind. It is called, krig $y$ dyrn (probably the king's barrow.)

[^37]barrow*.) The circumference at bottom is fixty paces, and its height about fix yards. It rifes by an eafy afcent to the top, which is hollow. This is a heap of earth, raifed over a carrnedd or pile of ftones. In the center of the cavity on the top, there is a large flat ftone, about nine feet by five; beneath this was found a kifl vaen, a kind of fone cheft, four feet and a half by three, and made up of ftones, and within and about it were found a few pieces of brick and ftones. This might have been the tomb of a druid, or prince.

The cumuli of ftones or caernedds, as they are called by the Welfh, from keren nedh, a coped heap, are fcattered over the weft of England and Wales, and appear to have been raifed in the manner of our Indian heaps, and for the fame purpofe, viz. to preferve the memory of the dead. Every Indian in this country that paffes one of thefe heaps, throws a ftone upon it. - Rowland remarks that the fame cuftom exifts among the vulgar Welch to this day; and if 1 miltake not, Camden takes notice of the fame practice. Rowland fays, " in thefe coel ceitbic, (certain feftivals) people ufe, even to this day, to throw and offer each one his ftone, tho they know not the reafon. The common tradition is, that thefe heaps cover the graves of men, fignal either for eminent virtues, or notorious villanies, on which every perfon looked on himfelf obliged as he paffed by, to beftow a ftone, in veneration of his good life, or in deteftation of his vilenefs." This practice now prevails in Wales and Anglefey, merely as a mark of contempt.

The carrnedds in America anfwer exactly the defcription of thofe in Wales, and the practice of throwing upon the heap each man his ftone as he paffes by, exifts among the Indians, in its purity; that is, as a mark of refpect.

It is faid by authors that mounts and piles of fones, are found likewife in Denmark and Sweden; but in conifruction they differ from thofe found in Britain. Yet from the foregoing defcriptions, taken from authentic teftimony, it appears, that between the barrows

[^38]in England and America, the manner of conftructing them in both, and the purpofes to which they were applied, there is an analogy, rarely to be traced in works of fuch confequet $e$, among nations whofe intercourfe ceafed at Babel; an analogy that we could hardly fuppofe would exilt among nations defcended from different ftocks. This analogy however, without better evidence, will not demonftrate the direct defcent of the Indians from the ancient Celts or Britons. But as all the primitiv inhabitants of the weft of Europe were evidently of the fame fock, it is natural to fuppofe they might pals from Norway to Iceland, from lceland to Greenland, and from thence to Labrador; and thus the North American favages may claim a common origin with the primitiv Britons and Celts. This fuppolition has fome foundation, and is by no means obviated by Cook's late difcoveries in the Pacific ocean.*

Thefe are however but conjectures. Future difcoveries may throw more light upon thefe fubjects. At prefent, a few facts only can be collected to amufe a contemplativ mind, and perhaps lead to inquiries which will refult in a fatisfactory account of the firft peopling of America, and of the few remains of antiquity which it affords.

[^39]
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## On the REGULARITY of the City of PHILADELPHIA.

"TTELL, how do you like Bofton ?" faid an American to a Londoner, who had juft arrived, and walked thro the town. "Extremely," replied the Englifhman ; "it refembles London in the crookednefs and narrownefs of the ftreets; 1 am always pleafed with a carelefs irregularity and variety."
" How do you like Bofon," fays a nativ of the town to a Philadelphian. "I am much pleafed with the people," replies the gentleman; " but the flreets are fo crooked, narrow and irregular, that I have good luck to find my way, and keep my ftockings clean."

An Englifhman and a Boftonian, walking together in Philadelphia, were heard to fay, "how fatiguing it is to pals thro this town! fuch a famenefs in the whole ! no variety! when you have feen one ftreet, you have feen the whole town!"

Thefe remarks, which are heard every day, illuftrate moft frikingly the force of habit and tradition. The influence of habit is every where known and felt ; any prepoffeffions therefore in favor of our nativ town, is pot a matter of furprife. But that a traditionary remark or opinion fhould be handed from one generation to another, and lead nations into error, without a detection of its falify, is a fact as aftonifhing as it is real. Such is the opinion of the writers on the fine arts ; "that variety is pleafing;" an opinion embraced without exception, and applied promifcuoufly to the works of nature and of art. I have rarely met with a perfon, not an inhabitant of Philadelphia, who whuld not fay he was difgutted with its regularity ; and I am confident that the opinion muft proceed from that common
place remark, that variety is pleafing; otherwife men could notyo unanimoufly condemn what confitutes its greatefl beauty.

That in the productions of nature, variety conftitutes a principal part of beauty, and a fruitful fource of pleafure, will not be denied : But the beauty and agreeablenefs of works of art depend on another principle, viz. attility or convenience. The defisn of the work, or the end propofed by it, muft be attentivly confidered before we are qualified to judge of its beauty.

This kind of beauty is calied by Lord Kaim,* relativ bcauty. He obferves very juftly, that "intrinfic beauty is a perception of fenfe merely; for to perceive the beaury of a fpreading oak, or of a flowing river, no more is required but fingly an act of vifion. Relativ beauty is accompanied with an act of underfanding and reflection ; for of a fine inftrument or engine, we perceive not the relativ beauty, until we are made acquainted with its ufe and deftination." A plow has not the leaft intrinfic beauty; but when we attend to its $u f e$, we are conftrained to confider it as a beautiful inflrument, and fuch a view of it furnifhes us with agreeable fenfations.

The fingle queftion therefore, with refpect to a town or city, is this: Is it planned and confrucied for the greatef poffible convenience? If fo, it is completely beautiful. If wide and regular ftreets are more ufeful and convenient than thofe that are narrow and crooked, then a city confructed upon a regular plan is the moft beautiful, however uniform the ftreets in their directions and appearance.

I have often heard a comparifon made between the level roads of Holland and the uniform ftreets of Philadelphia. A dull famenefs is faid to render both difagreeable. Yet if a perfon will attentivly confider the difference, I am perfuaded he will be convineed that his tafte is but balf correef; that is, that ajuft remark with refpect to a level open country, is improperly applied to a commercial city. Variety in the works of nature is pleafing;

[^40]pleafing; but never in the productions of art, unlefs in copies of nature, or when that variety does not interfere with utility. A level champaign country is rarely convenient or ufeful ; on the other hand, it is generally more barren than a country diverffied with hiills and vales. There is not generally any advantage to be derived from a wide extended plain ; the principle of util$i t y$; therefore does not oppofe and fuperfede the tafte for variety, and a tedious famenefs is left to have its full effect upon the mind of a fpectator. This is the fact with refpect to the roads in Fiolland.

But it is otherwife in a city, which is built for the exprefs purpofe of accommodating men in bufinefs. We do not confider it as we do a landfcape, an imitation of a natural fcene, and defigned to pleafe the eye; but we attend to its ufes in artificial fociety, and if it appears to be caleulated for the convenience of all claffes of citizens, the plan and conftruction muft certainly be beautiful, and afford us agreeable fenfations.

The regularly built towns in America are Philadelphia, Chariefton, in South Carolina, and New Haven. All thefe may be efteemed beautiful, tho not perfeally fo. Philadelphia wants a public fquare or place of refort for men of bufinefs, with a fpacious building for an exchange. This fhould be near Market freet, in the center of bufinefs. The gardens at the State Houfe are too fmall for a public walk in that large city. The whole line of bank houfes* is the effect of ill timed parfimony. The houfes are inconvenient, and therefore not pleafing to the eye; at the fame time they render Water ftreet too narrow.

But whatever faults may be found in the conftruction or plan of the city, its general appearance is agreeable, and its regrlarity is its greateft beauty. Whenever I hear a perfon exclaim againft the uniformity that pervades that city, I fuppofe him the dupe of a common piace remark, or that he believes a city built merely to pleafe the eye of a fpectator.

## Cbarlefon

[^41]Cbarlefon is fituated upon low ground; but juft $\mathrm{a}_{i}$ bove high water mark. The foil is fand, which, with a fcarcity of itone, has prevented the itreets from being paved. The plan of the city is regular, but fome of the freets are too narrow. As it is almof furrounded with water and low marfhy ground, it was necefflary to attend to every circumftance that fhould contribute to preferve a pure air. For this purpofe, it was the original defign of the citizens, to prevent any buildings from being erected, on the wharves, in front of the town; thus leaving a principal Atreet, called the bay, open to the fea breezes. Since the revolution, this defign has been partially difpenfed with ; and fome buildings erected on the water fide of the bay, and particuularly one in front of the Exchange, which fands at the head of Broad ftreet, and commands an extenfiv view of the town on one fide, and of the harbor on the other. Should ftores and warehoufes be raifed on the wharves, to fuch a height as to intercept a view of the harbor from the bay, they would diminih the beauty of the town, and in fome degree prevent the agreeable effect of the cool breezes from the fea.

Netv Haven was laid out on a moft beautiful plan, which has however fuffered in the execution. The ftreets crofs each other at right angles, as in Philadelphia ; and divide the city into convenient fquares. But in the center is a large public fquare, the fides of which are more than three hundred yards in length, and adorned with rows of trees. Thro the center of this fquare runs a line of elegant public buildings, viz. the ftate houfe, two churches and a fchool houfe. This fquare is a capital ornament to the town ; but is liable to two exceptions. Firft, it is too large for the populoufnefs of the city, which contains about 500 buildings. In fo fmall a town, it mult generally be empty, and confequently givs the town an appearance of folitude or dullnefs. In the fecond place, that half of the fquare which lies weft of the public buildings, is occupied mofly by the church yard, which is enclofed with a circular fence. This reduces the public ground on
the oppofit fide to a paralellogram, which is a lefs beautiful figure than a fquare; and annihilares the beauty of the weftern divifion which it occupies. Nothwithftanding thefe circumftances, the green or public ground in the center of New Haven, renders it perhaps the mof beautiful fmall fettlement in America.

## No. XVIII.
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## A DISSERTATION concerning the INFLUENCE of LANGUAGE on OPINIONS, and of OPINIONS on LANGUAGE.*

THE defign of this differtation is to how how far truth and accuracy of thinking are concerned in a clear underftanding of words. I am fenfible that in the eye of prejudice and ignorance, grammatical refearches are the bufinefs of fchool boys; and hence we may deduce the reafon why philofophers have generally been fo inattentiv to this fubject. But if it can be proved that the mere ufe of words has led nations into error, and fill continues the delufion, we cannot hefitate a moment to conclude, that grammatical enquiries are worthy of the labor of men.
'The Greek name of the Supreme Being, Theos, is derived from Theo, to run, or move one's Self. Hence we difcover the ideas which the Greeks originally entertained of God, viz. that he was the great principle of motion. 'The fame word, it is faid, was primarily appropriated to the ftars, as moving bodies ; and it is probable that, in the early ages of Greece, the heavenly bodies might be efteemed Deities, and denominated Theoi, moving bodies or principles. The Latin word Deus was ufed to denote thofe inferior beings which we call /pirits or angels, or perhaps one God among feveral. To giv the true idea of Deus in French and Englifh, the word Thould be rendered le Dieu, the God. This at leaft may be faid of the word, in its true original fenfe; however it may have been ufed in the later ages of Rome.

The

[^42]The Englifh word God, is merely the old Saxon adjectiv god, now fpelt and pronounced good.

The German Goot is from the fame root. The words God and good therefore are fynonimous. The derivation of the word leads us to the notions which our anceftors entertained of the Supreme Being; fuppofing him to be the principle or author of good, they called him, by way of eminence, Good, or the Good. By long ufe and the progrefs of krowlege, the word is become the name of the great Creator, and we have added to it ideas of other attributes, as juftice, power, immutability, \&c. Had our heathen anceftors entertained different ideas of the Deity ; had they, for inflance, fuppofed juftice to have been his leading attribute, if I may ufe the term, they would have called him the juft; and this appellation, by being uniformly appropriated to a certain invifible being, or fuppofed caufe of certain events, would in time have loft the article the, and juft would have become the name of the Deity. Such is the influence of opinion in the formation of language.

Let us now compare the names of the Deity in the three languages ; the Greek, Theos, denoting a moving being, or the principle of artion, evinces to us that the Greeks gave the name to the caule of events, without having very clear ideas of the nature or attributes of that caufe. They fuppofed the great operations of nature to have each its caufe ; and hence the plurality of caufes, theoi, or moving principles.

The Romans horrowed the fame word, Deus, and ufed it to denote the celeftiai arents or gods which they fuppofed to exift, and to fuperintend the affairs of the univerfe.

Our northern anceftors had an idea that all favorable events muft have an efficient caufe; and to this caufe they gave the name of God or good. Hence we obferve that the Englifh and German words God and Got do not convey precifely the fame idea, as the Theos and Deus of the Greeks and Romans. The former cannot be ufed in the plural number ; as they are the
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names of a fingle indivifible being; the latter were ufed as names common to a number of beings.

The word Demon, in Grcek, was ufed to fignify fubordinate deities, both good and evil. The Jews, whö had more perfect ideas of the Supreme Being, fuppofed there could be but orie good Deity, and confequently that all the demons of the Greeks mult be evil beings or derits. In this fenfe alone they ufed the word, and this reftricted fenfe has been communicated thro Chrifian countries in modern ages. The opinion of the Jews, therefore, has had a material effect upon language, and would lead us into an error refpecting the Greek mythology ; unlefs we thould trace the word demon to its primitiv fignification.

The word devil, in Englifh, is merely a corruption of the ezil, occafioned by a rapid pronunciation. This will not appear improbable to thofe who know, that in fome of the Saxon dialects, the character which we write th is almolt invariably written and pronounced $d$. Hence we learn the notion which our anceftors entertained of the cauje of evil, or of unfortunate events: They probably aferibed fuch events to a malignant principle, or being, which they called, by way of emihence, the evil; and there words, corrupted by common ufe, have given name to the being or principle.

I would only obferve here that the etymology of thefe two words, God and devil, proves that the Manichean doctrine of a good and evil principle prevailed among our northern anceftors. It has prevailed over moft of the eaftern countries in all ages, and Chriftianity admits the doctrine, with this improvement only, then it fuppofes the evil principle to be fubordinate to the good. The fupreme caufe of events, Chriftians believe to be grod or God, for the words are radically the fame; the caufe of cuil thry believe to be fubordinate; yet, ftrange as it may feem, they fuppofe the fubordinate evil principle to be the inoft prevalent.

We are informed by Ludolph, that the Ethiopeans, having but one word for nature and perfon, could not undertand the controverfy about Chrift's two natures.

This is not furprifing ; nations, in a favage flate, or which have not been accuftomed to metaphyfical difquifitions, have no terms to communicate abftragt ideas, which they never entertained; and hence the abfurdity of attempting to chriftianize favages. Before men can be Chriftians they muft be civilized; nay, they muft be philofophers. It is probable that many who are cailed Chriftians, are in the fate of the Ethiopians, with refpect to the fame do:trin ; and that they pafs thro life, without ever having any clear ideas of the different natures of Chrift. Yet the diftinction is conftantly made in words; and that diftinction paffes for a difference of ideas. Such is the influence of language on opinion.

The words foul, mind and Jpirit, are conftantly ufed by people, and probably the difference of words has given rife to an opinion that there is an actual difference of things. Yet I very much queftion whether the perfons who ufe thefe words every day, annex any diftinct ideas to them ; or if they do, whether they could explain the difference.

The Greeks believed in the doctrin of tranfmigration. They had obferved the metamorphofis of the caterpillar, and fuppofing the fame foul to animate the different bodies, and believing the foul to be perpetual or immortal, they made the butterfly the hieroglyphic of the foul : Hence the Greek word for foul, pyuke, came to fignify alfo a butterfly.

For want of attending to the true etymology of the word glory, falfe opinions have gained an eftablimment in the world, and it may be hazardous to difpute them. It is faid that the glory of God does not depend on his creatures, and that the glory of the good man depends not on the opinion of others. But what is glory? 'The Greek word doxe explains it. It is derived from dokeo, to think; and fignifies the good opinion of otbers. 'This is its true original meaning ; a man's glory therefore confifts in having the good opinion of men, and this cannot generally be obtained, but by meritorious actions. The glory of God confifts in the exalted ideas
which his creatures entertain of his being and perfecstions. His glory therefore depends wholly on his creatures. The word is indeed often ufed to fignify the greatnefs, fplendor or excellence of the divine character. In this fenfe the divine glory may be independent of ereated beings; but it is not the primitiv fenfe of the word, nor the fenfe which anfwers to the original meaning of the Greek doxe, and the Latin gloria.

No right in England and America is fo mnch celebrated as that of trial by peers; by which is commonly underftood, trial by equals. The right is valuable, but is not derived from the primitiv cuftom of trial by equals; on the contrary, it is very queftionable whether fuch a cuftom exilted prior to Alfred. Yet the-trial by piers exifted long before, and can be traced back to the date of the Chriftian era. The truth is, the word peor is not derived from the Latin par, equal ; but from the German, or 'Teutonic bar or par, which fignified a landholder, freeman or judge. 'The bars were that clafs of men who held the fies or property in eftates; and from whom the word baron and the attendant privileges are detived. We have the fame root in baron, baronet, parliament, parifh, and many other words, all implying fome degree of authority, eminence or jurifdiction. From the fame word bar or par, (for $B$ and $P$ are convertible letters) the word peer is derived, as it is ufed in the common expreffions boufe of peers, trial by peers. It fignified originally, not equals, but judges or barons. The boufe of peers in England derives its appellation and its jurifdiction from the ancient mode of trial by bars or barons; for it is the final refort in all judicial cafes. Yet the ancient Englifh lawyers, fuppofing the word to be from the Latin par, equal, have explained it in that fenfe, and multiplied encomiums without end upon the excellence of the privilege. The privilege is valuable, but its excellence, if it confitts in a trial by equals, is modern, compared with the original cuftom, which was a trial by barons, or principal landholders:
it is probable that our modern writers, mifunderflanding the term voluptas, have paffed too fevere cenfures upon epicures. The true primitiv meaning of voluptas was that of pleifurable finfations arifing from innocent gratifications. Our modern word voluptuoufficis earries with it a much fronger idea, and hence we are led into an error refpecting the doctrine of Epicurus, who might confine his ideas of pleafure to innocent gratifications.

We have been accuftomed from childhood to hear the expreffions, the dew falls; the dews of heaven; and it is probable that nine people out of ten, liave never furpected the inaccuracy of the phrafes. But dezu is merely the perfipiration of the earth; it rifos inftead of falling, and rifes during the night.*

It was alfo fuppofed that marna in the eaftern countries, came from above, and it is called in fcripture brcad from beaven. Yet manna is a gum, exuding from plants, trees and bufhes, when pierced by certain infects. The truth of this fact was not difcovered,.till the middle of the fixteenth century.

Every man knows, when the prices of goods rife, it is faid they become dear; yet when the prices rife in confequence of an overflowing fum of money in circulation, the fact is that the value of money falls, and the value of goods remains the fame. This erroneous opinion had an amazing effect in raifing popular clamor, at the commencement of the late revolution.

> I will

* Any perfon may prove this by a trining experiment. Let him place a glafs receiver or bowl over the grafs in a funmer's day, and the next morning he will find us much dew under it as around it.

The truth is this; the particles of water are conftantly ex haled from the earth by the heat of the fun. During the dav time, thefe particles afcend in an imperceptible manner, and furnifh the atmofphere with the materials of clouds and rain. But in the night, the atmofphere grows cool, while the earth, retaining a fuperior degree of heat, continues to throw off the particles of water. Thefe particles, meeting the colder atmofphere, are condenfed, and lodge upon the furface of the earth, grafs, trees and other objects. So that the expreffion, the detio falls; is in a degree true, altho it firft rifes from the carth.
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I will name but one other inftance, which has a material influence upon our moral and religious opinions, It is faid in fcripture that Gyd hardened Pbaraob's beart. How? Was there a miracle in the cafe ? By no means. The manner of fpeaking leads us into the miftake. The firft caufe is mentioned, and not the intermediate caufe or caufes. Sn we fhould fay, that General.W afhington attacked the Britijh troops at Monmoutb; altho he was at a great diftance when the attack was commenced, and only ordered the attack. I fufpect that fimilar modes of fpeaking in fcripture often lead fuperficial minds into miftakes, and in fome inftances, giv occafion to infidels to fcoff at paffages, which, if rightly underftood, would filence all objections.

This is a fruitful theme, and would lead an ingenious inquirer into a wide field of inveftigation. But I have neither time nor talents to do it juftice ; the fcw hints here fuggefted may have fome effect in convincing my readers of the importance and utility of all candid refearches into the origin and ftructure of fpeech; and pave the way for further inveftigations, which may affift us in correcting our ideas and afcertaining the forse and beauty of our own language.

## No. XIX.

## PHILADELPHIA, $17 \%{ }^{7}$

## On V O C A L M U S I C.

THE eftablifhment of fchools for teaching palmody in this city is a pleafing inftitution ; but people feem not to undertand the defign, or rather are not aware of the advantages which may refult from it, if properly.conduted and encouraged. Moft people confider mufic merely as a fource of pleafure ; not attending to its influence on the human mind, and its confequent effects on fociety. But it fhould be regarded as an article of education, ufeful as well as ornamental.

The human mind is formed for activity ; and will ever be employed in bufinefs or diverfions. Childrer: are perpetually in motion, and all the ingenuity of their parents and guardians fhould be exerted to devile methods for reftraining this activ principle, and directing it to fome ufeful object, or to barmlefs trifles. If this is not done, their propenfity to action, even without a vicious motiv, will hurry them into follies and crimes. Every thing innocent, that attracts the attention of children, and will employ their minds in leifure hours, when idlenefs might otherwife open the way to vice, muft be confidered as a valuable employment. Of this kind is vocal mufic. There were inflances of youth, the laft winter, who voluntarily attended a finging fchool in preference to the theatre. It is but reafonable to fuppofe, that if they would negle a theatre for finging, they would negiest a thoufand amufements, lefs engaging, and more pernicious.

Intrumental mulic is generally prefered to vocal, and confidered as an elegant accomplifhment. It is indeed a pleafing accomplimment ; but the preference given to it, is a fpecies of the fame falfe tafte, which places a fon under the tuition of a dirunken clown, to make hima a gentleman of fria morals.

Inftumenta!

Inftrumental mufic may excced vocal in fome nice touches and diftinctions of found; but when regarded as to its effeets upon the mind and upon fociety, it is as inferior to vocal, as found is inferior to fenfe. It is very cafy for a fpruce beau to difplay a contempt for vocal mufic, and to fay that human invention has gone beyond the works of God Almighty. But till the fyftem of creation fhall be new modelled, the human voice properly cultivated will be capable of making the moot perfect mufic. It is neglefted; fol faing is unfarhionable, and that is enough to damn it : But people who have not been acquainted with the perfection of pfalmody, are incapable of making a fuitable comparifon between vocal and infrumental mufic. I have offen heard the belt vocal concerts in A merica, and the beft infrumental concerts; and can declare, that the mufic of the iatter is as inferior to that of the former, as the merit of a kand box macaroni is to that of a Cato,

Infrumental mufic aftords an agreeable amufement ; and as an amufenent it ought to be cultivated. But the advantage is private and limited; it pleafes the car, but leaves no impreffion upon the heart.

The defign of mufic is to awaken the pafions, to foften the heart for the reception of fentiment. To awaken paffion is within the power of inftruments, and this may afford a temporary pleafure ; but fociety desives no adyantage from it, unlefs fome ufeful fentiment is left upon the heart.

Infruments are fecondary in their ufe; they were invented originally, not to fupercede, but to alfilt the voice. The firf hiftories of all nations were written in verfe, and fung by their bards. In later ages, the gaten reed, the barp and the lyre, were found to improve the pleafures of mufic ; but the neglect of the voice and of fentiment was referved for modern corruption. Ignorant indeed is the man, and poffefled of a wretched tafte, who can ferioufly defpife the humble pleafures of vocal mufic, and prefer the bare harmony of founds. Sentiment thould ever accompany mufic ; the founds hould ever correfpond with the ideas, otherwife mufir
lofes all its force. Union of fentiment, with harmony of founds, is the perfection of mufic. Every ftring of the human heart may be touched; every paffion roufr ed by the different kinds of founds; the courage of the warrior ; the cruelty of the tyrant ; anger ; grief; love, with all its fenfibilities, are fubject to the influence of mufic. Even brutes acknowlege its effects; but while they in common with man feel the effects of a harmony of mere founds, man enjoys the fuperior felicity of receiving fentiment; and while he relifhes the pleafures of cloords in found, he imbibes a difpolition to communicate happinefs to fociety.

Seldom indeed do men reflet on the connexion between the chords of mufic and the focial affections. Morality is to immorality, what harmony is to difcord. Society detefts vice, and the ear is offended with difcordant founds. Society is pleafed and happified with virtue, and the ear is delighted with harmony. This beautiful analogy points out the utility of cultivating mulic as a fcience. Harfh diffordant founds excite the peevifh malevolent paffions; harmonious founds correct and foften the rougher paffions.

Every perfon will acknowlege, that love refines the heart, and renders it more fufceptible, and more capable of focial virtue. It is for this reafon that men who have particular attachments to women, or affociate much with ladies of delicacy, are more difpofed to do acts of kindnefs, in every fphere of life, than thofe who feldom frequent ladies company. On the other hand, anger, jealoufy, envy, are diffocial paffions; and even when they are excited by a fingle object, they poifor? the heart, and difqualify it for exciting the focial affetions towards any of the human race. Every inftitution, therefore, calculated to prepare the human heart for exerting the focial virtues, and to fupprefs or check the malignant paffions, muft be highly beneficial to fociety; and fuch I confider eftablifhments in favor of vocal mufic. Happy, indeed, fhould I feel, could I fee youth devoted every where to the refinement of their voices and morals; to fee them prefer moral or re.
ligious pieces to the indecent fongs or low diverfions which taint the mind in early life, and diffufe their pernicious influence through fociety.

If the poifon of the tarantula may be counteracted by mufic; if the Spanifh ladies are won by nocturnal ferenades; if the foldier is infipired with courage by the martial founds of the trumpet, and the Chrititian impreffed with devout fentiments by the foiemn tones of the organ ; what advantage may fociety derive from the foftening harmony of choirs of voices, celebrating the praifes of focial virtue! Happy days! when falle tafle and faife opinions fhall vanifh before the progrefs of truth; when princes fhall refume their ancient and honorable tafk of teaching the young to be good and great; when an Addifon thall be preferred to a Chefterfield; when the wealth of nations fhall be no longer lavifhed upon fiddlers and dancers; when the characters of a Benezet and a Washing ton fhall obfcure the glories of a Cæfar ; and when no man fhall be afhamed to bẹ good, becaufe it is unfafhionable.

## No. XX.

NEW YORK, JUNE, 1788.

## On M ORALITY.

"THE principles of morality are little underftood ainong favages," fays Lord Kaimes, " and if they arrive to maturity among enlightened nations, it is by flow degrees."

With fubmiffion to that writer, I would advance another pofition equally true, "that the principles of eating and drinking are little underfood by favages, and if they arrive to maturity among civilized nations, it is by flow degreeṣ."

The truth is, morality confifts in difcharging the focial duties of life ; and fo far as the fate of favages requires an intercourfe of duties, the moral principles feem to be as perfect in them as in more enlightened nations. Savages in a perfectly rude fate have little or no commerce; the tranfactions between man and man are confined to very few objects, and confequently the laws which regulate their intercourfe and diftribute juftice, mult be few and fimple.* But the crime of murder is as feverely punifhed by favages, as by civilized nations. Nay, I queftion whether it is poffible to name the barbarous tribe, which fuffers an individual to take the life of another, upon as eafy terms as the nodern feudal Barons in Europe may do that of a vaffal ; or with the fame impunity that a planter in the Weft Indies takes the life of a flave. I fpeak of a time of peace, and of the conduct of favages towards their own tribes. As to war, every nation of favages has its arbitrary

[^43]
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arbitrary cuftoms, and fo has every civilized nation. Savages are generally partial and capricious in the treatment of their prifoncrs; fome they treat with a fingular humanity; and others they put to death with the fevereft cruelty. Well, do not civilized people the fame? Did a favage ever endure greater torments, than thoufands of prifoners during the late war? But not to mention the prastice of a fingle nation, at a fingle period; let us advert to a gencral rule among civilized nations ; that it is lawful to put to death prifoners taken in a garrifon by ftorm. The practice grounded on this rule, is as direst and as enormous a violation of the laws of morality, as the flow deliberate tortures cxercifed by the moft barbarous favages on earth.

Well, what are the ideas of favages refpecting theft? How do they differ from thofe of an enlightened pedple? Many things are poffeffed in common, as provifjons taken in hunting, corn, \&ic. Ferdinand de Soto relates, that the tribes (and he vifited hundreds in Florida) had public granaries of corn laid up for winter, which was diftributed by authority to each family, according to its number. But for an individual to take from this common ftock without licenfe, was confidered as a criminal defrauding of the public. And with regard to the few articles, in which individuals acquire private property, the favages have as correct ideas of mizum, and turum, of theft, trefpass, sic. and are as carefui to guard private property from invafion, by laws and penalties, as any civilized people. The laws of the Creeks, the Cherokees, the Six Nations, \$xe, with regard to thefe and many other crimes, in point of reafon and equity, tand on a footing with thofe of the moft civilized nations; and in point of execution and obfervance, their adminiftration would do honor to any government. Among moft favage nations there is a kind of monarchy which is efficient in adminiftration ; and among thofe tribes which have had no intercourfe with civilized nations, and which have not been deceived by the fricks of traders; the common arts of cheating, by which millions of enlightened poople get
a living or a fortune, are wholly unknown. This is an incontrovertible fact. I lately became acquainted with a lad of about twelve years old, who was taken eaptiv by the Indians in 1778 , while a child, and had continued with them till about ten years old. He had no recolle:tion of the time when he was taken, and confequently his mind could not have been corrupted among the Engiifh. When he was reftored, agreeable to the treaty, he was a perfect favage; but what I relate the circumftance for, is this; the lad was not addicted to a fingle vice. He was inftant and cheerful in obeying commands; having not even a difpofition to refufe or evade a compliance. He had no inclination to lie or fteal ; on the other hand, he was always furprifed to find a perfon faying one thing and meaning another. In fhort, he knew not any thing but honefty and undifguifed franknefs and integrity. A fingle inftance does not indeed eftablifh a general rule; but thofe who are acquainted with the nativs of America can teftify that this is the general character of favages who are not corrupted by the vices of civilized nations.

But it is faid favages are revengeful; their hatred is hereditary and perpetual. How does this differ from the hatred of civilized nations? I queftion much whether the principle of revenge is not as perfect in enlightened nations, as in favages. The difference is this; a favage hunts the man who has offended him, like a wild beaft, and affaffinates him wherever he finds him ; the gentleman purfues his enemy or his rival with as much rancor as a favage, and even ftoops to notice little affronts, that a favage would overlook; but he does not ftab him privately; he hazards his own life with that of his enemy, and one or both are very bonorably murdered. The principle of revenge is equally activ in both cales; but its operation is regulated by certain arbitrary cuftoms. A favage is open and avows his revenge, and kills privately; the polite and well bred take revenge in a more bonorable way, when life is to be the price of fatisfaction ; but in cafes of finall affronts, they are content with privately ftabbing the
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reputation or ruining the fortunes of their enemies. In fhort, the palfions of a favage are under no reftraint ; the paffions of enlightened people are reftrained and regulated by a thoufand civil laws and accidental circumflances of fociety.

But it will be objecled, if favages underftood principles of morality, they would lay fuch paffions under reftraint. Not at all : Civil and political regulations are not made, becaufe the things prohibited are in their own nature wrong ; but becaufe they produce inconveniencies to fociety. The mof enlightened nations do not found their laws and penalties on an abftract regard to zurong; ner has government any concern with that which has no influence on the peace and faiety of fociety. If favages, therefore, leave every man to take his own revenge, it is a proof that they judge it the beft mode of preventing the neceffity of it ; that is, they think their foc̣iety and government fafer under fuch a licenfe, than under rcgulations which thould control the palfions of individuais. They may have their ideas of the nature of revenge independent of fociety; but it will be extremely dificuit to prove, that, abfracted from a regard to a Deity and to fociety, there is fuch a thing as right and wrong. I confider morality merely as it refpects fociety; for if we fuperadd the obligations of a divine command, we blend it with religion; an article in which Chrifians have an infinit advantage over favages.

Confidering moral duties as founded folcly on the comfitution of fociety, and as having for their fole end the happinefs of focial beings, many of them will vary in their nature and extent, according to the particular ftate and circumftances of any fociety.

Among the ancient Britons, a fingular cuftom prevailed; which was, a community of wives by cominon confent. Every man married one woman; but a number, perhaps ten or twelve, relations or neighbors, agreed to poffers their wives in common. Every woman's children were accounted the children of her hufband ; but every man liad a fhare in the common detence
feice and care of this little community.* Was this any breach of morality? Not in the leaft. A Britift woman, in the time of Severus, having become intimatce with Julia Augufta, and other ladies, at the court of Rome, had oblerved what paffed behind the curtain; and being one day reproached for this cuftom of the Britons, as infamous in the women, and barbarous in the men; the replied, "We do that openly with the bef of our men, which you do privately with the wor/t of yours." This cufom, fo far from being infanous or barbarous, originated in public and private convenience. It prevented jealoury and the injuries of adultery, in a ftate where private wrongs could not eafily be prevented or redreffed. It might be an excellent fublitute for penal laws and a regular adminiftration of juftice. But there is a better reafon for the cuftom, which writers feem to have overlooked; and this is, that a community multiplied the chances of fubfiftence and fecurity. In a favage life, fubfiftence is precarious, for it depends on contingent fupplies by hunting and fifhing. If every individual, therefore, fhould depend folely on his own good luck, and fail of fuccers, his family muft ftarve. But in a community of twelve, the probability that forne one would procure provifions is increafed as twelve to one. Hence the community of provifions among moft favage nations. $\dagger$

The Britons, when the Romans firf vifited their inland, did not attend much to the cultivation of the earth. "Interiores plerique," fays Cæfar, " frumenta non

[^44]non ferunt, fed lacte et carne vivunt." By eftablifhing a community of goods, they fecured themfelves againft the hazard of want; and by a community of wives and offspring, they confirined the obligations of each to fuperintend the whole; or rather, changed into a natural obligation what might otherwife depend on the feebler force of pofitiv compact. Befides, it is very poffible that perfonal fafety from the invafion of tribes or individuals, might be another motiv for eftablifhing thefe fingular communities. At any rate, we muft fuppofe that the Britons had good civil or political reafons for this cuftom ; for even favages do not act without reafon. And if they found fociety more fafe and happy ${ }_{3}$ with fuch a cuftom than without it, it was mort undoubtedly right.

Should it be faid, that a community is prohibited by divine command; I would anfiver that it is not prefumable that the old Britons had any pofitiv revelation; and I do not know that the law of nature will decide againft their practice. The commands given to the Jews were pofitiv injunctions; but they by no means extend to all nations, farther than as they are founded on immutable principles of right and wrong in all focieties. Many of the Mofaic precepts are of this kind ; they are unlimited in their extent, becaufe they ftand on principles which are unlimited in their operation.

Adultery is forbidden in the Jewifh laws; and fo it is in the codes of other nations. But adultery may be defined differently by different nations; and the criminality of it depends on the particular pofitiv inflitutions, or accidental circumftances of a nation. The fame reafons that would render a fimilar cuftom in civilized modern nations highly criminai, might render it innocent and even neceffiry among the old Britons. A prohibition to gather fticks on the Sabbath, under a penalty of death for difobedience, might be founded on good reafons among the ancient Jews; but it would be hard to prove that a modern law of the fame kind, would be warrantable in any nation.

## No. XXI.

NEW YORK, JUNE, 1798.

## A LETTER from a LADY, with REMARKS.

## $S I R$,

AS you have, in your writings, difcovered that you take a particular intereft in the happinefs of ladies, Thope you will not deem it a deviation from delicacy, if one of them offers you her grateful acknowlegements, and requefts you to giv your fentiments upon what will be here related.

About four years ago, I was vifited by a gentleman who profeffied an unalterabie attachment for me. He being a genteel, fenfible and handfome man, I thought myfeif juftifiable in treating him with complacency. After I was convinced by his conftant attention and frequent profeffions, that I was a favorite, he ufed frequently to upbraid me, for being fo filent and referved: It fhewed, he faid, a want of confidence in him; for I muft be fenfible he derived the greateft pleafure imagirable in my converfation, and why would I then deprive him of the greateft happinefs by ablenting myfelf, when he paid a vifit, refufing to chat with my ufual freedom. Tho he profefled himfelf to be an admirer of candor, and a ftrict adherer to the rules of honor, fill I couid not but doubr his fincerity from the extravagance of his expreffions. This he confidered as an affront, faying that no man of bonor would exprefs fentiments that wcre not genuine. I found myfelf. unwilling to fay any thing that flould be difagrecable, and difpofed to maks him underftand by an attention that I fuppofed him entitled to, that he was prefered to any other perfon. He continued his vifits in this manner for about eightecn months, conducting himfelf with the greatert delicacy, affection and refpec.. During this time, he
never expreffied a wifh to be united, which made me uneafy, as I knew that all my friends thoughe us engaged. At laft I told him his attention was too particular ; I knew not what conftruction to put upon it. He replied that I was too particular in my ideas; it was a convincing proof to him, with my refenting trifing liberties, that I had not an affection for him, and that he was not the man I withed to be connected with; therefore he would not trouble me any longer with his company, and wifhed me a good night.

This, Sir, you muft fuppofe, diftreffed me greatly ; I viewed myfelf injured and trifled with, but knew not how to obtain redrefs. My attachment and pride were fo great that I would not allow my friends to call him to an account for his behavior ; tho I now defpife his conduct, and would refufe him the hand of which he has proved himfelf unworthy, fill I feel hurt at the treatment I have received. You, Sir, as a friend to our fex, and one who wifhes to preferve the peace of mind of unfufpecting girls, will do them an effential fervice, by your animadverfions on the fe facts, and guarding our fex from fimilar impofitions.

Thefe circumftances would not have been related, were I not rendered difcontented and wrerched at home, in confequence of refufing the offers of three other genticmen ; either of whom would doubtlefs have been acceptable, had not my affections been preengaged to one who has proved himfelf worthlefs. Their characters and fituations in life are equal to my wifhes; but I cannot do them fo much injuftice and myfelf fo much injury, as to giv my hand unaccompanied with my heart. In confulting my own inclinations I have incurred the difpleafure of all my family; they treat me with great inattention, and are continually reflecting on my want of fpirit and refolution. I am confident, Sir, that every gencrous mind will pity your unhappy and diftrefied friend,

CONSTANTYA.

## To CONSTANTIA.

WHILE I acknowlege myfelf honored by your correfpondence, and happy in an opportunity of rendering you or your fex the leaft fervice, permit me, in compliance with your requeft, which fhall be to me a facred law; to offer my fentiments with a franknefs, correfponding with that which marks the relation of your misfortunes. For altho I feel the warmeft indignation at every fpecies of deception, and particularly at that long continued inexplicitnefs which is deliberate deception, and which is the caule of your wretchednefs, candor and truth require that cenfure thould fall where it is due.

If the nighteft blame can fall on you, it is that you indulged the vifits of a gentleman for eighteen months without an explicit and bonorable declaration of bis intention. A delicate, affectionate and refperfful attention to a lady, for one quarter of that period, is fufficient to make an impreflion on her mind; and decide her choice : At the fame time, it might not render an attachment on her part, fo ftrong as to make a feparation very painful; it might not giv the world an opinion that an engagement exifts, or fubject the lady to thie neceflity of difmiffing other fuitors. It is therefore prudent at leaft for a lady to conduct herfelf in fuch a manner as to bring her admirer to an explicit declaration of his defigns. A man of real honor and principle would not wait for a Atratagem on the part of the lady, or for a frank demand of an explanation of his conduct. A tolerable' acquaintance 'with the human heart 'would enable him to difcover when a declaration would be agreeable to the lady, and after this difcovery, he would not keep'her a moment in fuppenfe. $\bar{A}$ man of generous feelings; who has a lively attachment, looks with anxiety for fome proof that his addreffes are agreeable, and that a declaration of his intentions will be well received. No fooner does he find this proof; than he haftens to unbofom himfelf to the dear object of his wimes,
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 A LETTER, with REMARKS.and communicate the happinefs he fo ardently defires to receive. When therefore a man neglefts fuch a declaration, after he has had convincing proofs that his offers would be well received, it may and fhould be taken for granted that his intentions are not honorable, and the lady fhould treat him accordingly. If therefore, my unhappy friend, you deferve the leaft degree of cenfure, it is becaufe you delayed too long to take meafures for undeceiving yourfelf. Yet this delay is a proof of your unfufpecting confidence and fincere attachment ; and faults, proceeding from fuch amiable caufes, are almoot changed to virtues; in your fex, they entitle the fufferer to forgivnefs and to love.

You inform me, Conftantia, that the man who has injured you, profeffed to adbere to the rules of honor. Never, Conftantia, truft a man who deals largely in that hackeyned virtue, bonor. Honor, in the fafhionable fenfe of the word, is but another name for villany. The man of honor would not be guilty of the leaft impropriety in public company; he would not for the world negleit the leaft punctilio of the cuftomary etiquette, but he would, without hefitation or remorfe, blow out the brains of a friend, for treading on his toe, or rob an amiable woman of her reputation and happinefs to gratify his vanity.

If a man talks too much of his honor, he is to be avoided, like the midnight ruffian. He that really poffeffes a virtue never boafts of it, for the does not fufpeet the world think him deftitute of it. Numerous profeflions are commonly mere fubftitutes for what is profefied.

The man, who has given you fo much uneafinefs, never deferved the confidence he won; he mult be deftitute of principle, of virtue, and of attachment to you. His deliberate ill ufage proves him to be callous to every tender emotion, and to deferve your contempt. Will not a generous pride and deteftation expel the leaft fentiment of refpect for him from your breaft? Can you not forget that you have been milled, and will not your innocence buoy you above misfortunes? That
you have refufed good offers, is to be regretted; but your friends, if they know the reafon, as they ought, will not pain you by difingenuous reflections. On the other hand, they will affit you in finding objects to amufe you and diffipate your own melancholy reflections. Smile away the anxiety that fhuts your heart againft other impreffions. Bafe as men are, there may be fome found who defpife the character of him who has given even an hour's pain; there may be one who knows your worth, and may be difpofed to reward your comfancy.

It is a mortifying reflection to an honeft mind, that bad hearts are fo often fuffered to giv pain to the good; that the trifing and the bafe of our fex are not conftrained, by neceflity, to affociate only with the trifing and the bafe of yours, and that the good, the generous and the conftant fhould be expofed to the abufes of the fickle and defigning. But fuch is the conftitution of fociety, and for the evils of it, we have no remedy, but cautious circumfpection to prevent, or patient fortitude to fupport the adverfe events of our conditions.

No man can entertain a more cordial deteftation of the fmalleft difpofition to annoy the peace of mind and difurb the tranquillity of mankind, than myfelf; the defign of exiftence here is to footh the evils, and mul-

- tiply the felicities of each other, and he muft be a villain indeed, who can deliberately attempt to poifon the fources of pleafure, by croffing and difappointing the focial paffions.

To your fex, Conftantia, permit me to giv a word of caution; never to make any inquiries about a man's family, fortune or accomplifhments, till you know whether he is a man of principle. By principle, I mean, a difpofition of heart to conduct with frict propriety, both as a moral being and as a member of civil fociety; that is, a difpofition to increafe the happinefs of all am round him. If he appears to with for his own gratification, at the expenfe even of a fervant's happinefs, he is an unfocial being, he is not a fit affociate for men, much lefs for amiable women. If he is a man of
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printiple, then proceed to inquire into his ftanding in life. With principle he may make a woman happy in almoft any circumftances; without it, birth, fortune and education ferve but to render his worthleflinefs the more confpicuous. With fentiments of efteem, I am your obliged friend, and humble fervant,

## No. XXII.

NEW YORK, JULY, I788.
A LETTER to the AUTHOR, with RE-
MARKS.

## S I R,

IBEG leave to relate to you a few circumftances refpecting the conduct of a young friend of mine in this city, and to requeft your own remarks and advice on the occafion. Should any other perfon fimilarly fituated, be difpofed to receive benefit from the advice, I thall be much gratified, and my defign more than anfwered.

This young friend to whom I allude, has been till within a few years, under the watchful eyes of very attentiv parents; from whom he received mueh better advice and much more of it, than the generality of parents in this city are wont to befow on their children ; they taught him to regard truth with a fteady attachment ; in fhort his education, till thcir deaths, was fuch as might with propricty have been called rigidly virtuous. Since that intructiv period, he has been under the guidance of no one but himfelf; his former affociates with whom he grew up, and for whom he ftill feels a degree of fchoolmate attachment, are almoft univerfally debauched characters. The force of example is great, and let it be mentioned to his honor, that in general he has had fufficient virtue to refift their importunities, and to follow a line of conduct direcily contrary to the one they would gladly have marked out for his purfuanse. He poffeffes many of the focial virtues, and is warmly attached to the amiable part of the female world. This attachment has preferved him from the farhionable vices of the age, and given him a relifh for domettic happinefs, which I think he will never lofe. A young gentlemạn fo capable of making himelf!
himfelf agreeable to good and virtuous characters, ought not, in my opinion, to indulge himfelf in any practices, that fhall tend in the leaft to depreciate his general merit. The practices I would mention, are few and not very confiderable; ftill I think he fhould difmifs them entirely, or at leaft not indulge them to his difadvantage. He fings a good fong, and he knows it tolerably well ; he is often urged into company on that account ; he can make himfelf agreeable withal, and is really a mufical companion; he pays fo much attention to learning and finging. fongs, that he has but little leifure time on his hands; he reads part of the day, but he reads principally novels or fong books. I would not be underftood to confider finging fongs as criminal ; far from it ; I am often delighted with a fong from hin; but the query with me is, whether he ought not to devote part of the time which he now employs about what may be called genteel trifling, to the improvement of his mind in a manner that may be of lafting benefit to him ; I wifh you to giv him your advice, and direet him what books to read. He has another fault, which, altho it originates in the benevolence of his difpofition, may ftill be called a fault. He has a very fufceptible heart, and opens it with a generous freedom, fo much fo that he fometimes forgets himfelf, and opens it where he ought not to do. A franger with a fpecious outtide might eafily impofe on him. I juft throw out thefe hints, that he may be on his guard againft thofe whofe bufinefs it is to deceive. There are feveral fmaller faults dependant upon, or rather confequent to, thofe I have mentioned, which I at firf intended ta have enumerated, but if the firt are amended, the others will forfake him of courfe.

## The A N S W E R.

 $S I R$,BY the defcription you have given of your young friend, it appears that he is rather trifing and inconfiderate than profigate. His faults are, bis Jpending toe. muсb
much time in learning and jenging fongs; and too much franknefs of beart, which expofes him to impofitions, But you have not, Sir, informed me whether he was bred to bufine/s; and by his character, I judge that he was not. He has had good precepts indeed; but of how little weight are precepts to young people! Advice to the young fometimes does good; but perhaps never, except good habits have been previounly formed by correct difcipline in manners, or by a mechanical attention to honeft employments. The truth is, advice or ferious council is commonly lavithed where it does no good, upon the young, the gay, the thoughtlefs; whofe paffions are ftrong, before reafon begins to have the fmalleft influence. I am young myfelf, but from the obfervations I have hitherto made, I venture to affirm, that grave advice never yet conquered a paffion, and rarely has reftrained one fo as to render a fprightly youth, in any degree ferious. How fhould it? Infructions are tranfient; they feldom touch the heart, and they generally oppofe paffions that are vigorous, and which are inceffantly urging for indulgence.

I have ever thought that advice to the young, unaccompanied by the routine of honef employments, is like an attempt to make a Chrub grow in a certain direction, by blowing it with a bellows. The way to regulate the growth of a vegetable is to confine it to the propofed direction. The only effectual method perhaps is to keep young perfons from childhood bufy in fome employment of ufe and reputation. It is very immaterial what that employment is ; the mind will grow in the direction given it at firft ; it will bend and attach itfelf to the bufinefs, and will not eafily lofe that bent or attachment afterwards: The mind will attach itfelf to fomething ; its natural difpofition is to pleafure and amufement. This difpofition may be changed or overcome by keeping the mind, from early life, bufy in fome ufeful occupation, and perhaps by nothing elfe. Advice will not produce the effect.

I fufpect, Sir, that your young friend has been bred a trifler ; that he has had money to fupport him with-
out the labor of acquiring it; that he has never been anxious about his future fubfiftence. If fo, his education mult be pronounced erroneous. Whether worth twenty pounds or twenty thoufand, it fhould make no diffcrence in his attention to bufinefs while young. We are the creatures of habit; a habit of acquiring property fhould always precede the $u f e$ of it , otherwife it will not be ufed with credit and advantage. Befides, bufinefs is almot the only fecurity we have for moral recitude and for confequence in fociety. It keeps a young perfon out of vicious company; it operates as a conftant check upon the paffions, and while it does not deffroy them, it reftrains their intemperance; it ftrengthens the mind by exercife, and puts a young perfon upon exerting his reafoning faculties. In fhort, a man bred to bufinefs loves fociety, and feels the importance of the principles that fupport it. On the other hand, mankind refpect him ; and whatever your young friend may think of the affertion, it is true that the ladies uniformly defpife a man who is always dangling at their apron ftrings, and whofe principal excellence confits in finging a good fong.

If, Sir, your friend is ftill fo young, as to undergo the difcipline of a profeffional or other employment, his habits of trifling may be changed by this means; but if he is fo far the gentleman as to difdain bufinefs, his friends have only to whiftle advice in his ears, and wait till old age, experience, and the death of his parfions, thall change the man.

Accept of my thanks, Sir, for this communication, and be affured that my opinion on any fubject of this. kind will always be at your fervice. i" E.
̌7\%

## No. XXIII.

$$
\text { BOSTON, MARCH, } 1789 .
$$

Au Enquiry into the Origin of the Words DOMESDAY, PARISH, PARLIAMENT, PEER, BARON: with Remarks, New and Interesting.

IN the courfe of my etymological inveftigations, I hav been led to fufpect that all the writers on the laws and conftitution of England, hav miftaken the origin and primitiv fignification of feveral words of high antiquity, and in confequence of the miftake, hav adopted fome erroneous opinions, refpecting the hiftory of parliaments and trial by peers. Whether my own cpinions are wel fupported by hiftory and etymology, mult be hereafter decided by able and impartial judges of this fubject.

Dome book, or domeflay book, iz a word wel underftood by Englifh lawyers. Dome book, or dom bee, az it waz formerly fpelt, waz the name given to the Saxon code of laws compiled by Alfred. Some other codes of local cuftoms or laws were alfo denominated dom becs, but theze are all loft. After the conqueft, a general furvey of all the lands in England, except a few counties, waz made by order of William, and recorded in a volum which iz ftil extant, and called domeddaj. This furvey waz begun by five jultices affigned for the purpore in each county, in the year 1081 and completed 1086.

Our pious anceftors were not a little frightened at the name of this book, which iz ufually pronounced doomfday ; fuppofing it to hav fome reference to the final doom, or day of judgement. In order to quiet fuch apprehenfions, lawyers of lefs credulity undertook to refute the common opinion. Jacob, after Cowel, very gravely afierts, that the termination day in this pord does not allude to the general judgement. "The
addition
addition of day to this dome book, waz not ment with any allufion to the final day of judgement, az mof perfons hav conceived, but waz to iftengthen and confirm it, and fignifieth the judicial decifiv record, or book of doaming judgement and juftice."* The fame author defines domefmen to be judges, or men appointed to doom.

- Cowel, a compiler of confiderable authority, fays, "day or dey,". (for dey iz the true fpelling) "does not augment the fenfe, but only doubles and confirms the fame meening. It does not, in this compofition, really fignify the mefure of time, but the adminiftration of juftice; fo that domofday iz more emphatically the judicial decifiv record, the book of dooming judgement." $\dagger$ According to this author, then, domefday iz a judgenent of judgements, for he quotes Dr. Hammond to proov that day, dies, $n \mu \mathrm{\xi} \alpha$, , in all idioms, fignifies judgement. However tru this may be, I beleev our Saxon forefathers could find a better name for a code of laws, than a judgement of judgements.
"Domelday," fays Coke, " dies judicii," day of judgement. $\ddagger$ Such is the influence of founds upon credulous, fupertitious minds.

The truth feems to be this; domeflay is a compound of dom, judgement, decree or authority; and dey, a law or rule. Or domes, in the plural, may fignify judges. The name of the book then will fignify, ether the rules of judging, or deciding, in queftions relating to the real property of England; or what is more probable, the rules and determinations of the judges who furveyed the lands in the kingdom.

That dom had the fignification here explained iz $\mathrm{Ca}_{-}$ pable of proof. The homager's oath, in the black book of Hereford, fol. 46, ends thus, "So helpe me God at his

- Facob Diz: word, domedday. + Cowel Diet. Daymary.
$\ddagger$ Coke Litt. 3. 248.
It iz fingular that the laft fyllable of this word domefday, Thould hav been miffaken for day, a portion of time; for the latter in Saxon waz written daeg and daegum, az in the Saxon verfion of the Gefpels; whercaz the termination of domefday wazk formerly, and ought now to be, fpelt dey.
his holy dome (judgement) and by my trowthe," (troth, that is truth.)* This explanation coincides with the meening of the fame fyllable in other languages, and confirms the hypothefis of the common origin of the languages of Europe, laid down in the Notes to my Differtations on the Englifh Tung. We fee the fyllable in'the Greek $\delta \alpha \mu \alpha \omega$, the Latin dominus, (domo) and in the Englifh word tame; az alfo in doom, decm, king dom. $\dagger$ In all theze words we obferve one primitiv and feveral derivativ fignifications. Its primitiv fenie is that of power or authority, az in Greek and Latin. In Englifh, it ftands for jurifdiction, a judge, or a fentence. In deem, it denotes the att of the mind in judging, or forming its determinations.

The other fyllable dey iz probably the fame word az ley, law, with a different prepofitiv article; for etymologifts tel us, that the radical fyllable waz often found in the muther tungey. Cowel informs us it waz not day, but dey; and another author writes it d'ey. The word day/man, or az it ought to be fpelt dej; man, ftil ufed both in England and America, is compofed of dey and man, and fignifies an arbitrator or judge, appointed to reconcile differences. In this country 1 hav often heerd it applied to our Savior, az mediator between God and man.

The ancient lawyers tranflate the Saxon dom bec and domefdey by liber judicialis; words which feem not to convey the ful meening of the original. I hould tranflate them, liber judicum, the Judges book; or lex judicum, the Judges law or rule.

The old Saxon word ley, before mentioned, waż, in different dialects, or at different periods, written ley, lah, lage, laga: It iz doubtlefs from the fame'root az the Latin lex, lege; and it is remarkable, that the fame word anciently fignified peeple; and from this are derived

* Cowel, Law Dict. dome.
+ In fome words dom is fubftituted for the ancient termination rick; and in one fenfe, it iz equivalent to rick, which implies jurifdiction or power. King rick waz ufed az late az Oueen Elizabeth : Bijbop-rickiz ftil ufed, denoting the territory or jurifdiction of a bifhop.
rived lay and laity, the peeple as oppofed to the clergy:** It iz probable that the primitiv fenfe of the word, in remote antiquity, waz prople; and az the peeple made the laws in general affembly, fo their orders of decrees came to be called by the fame name. This conjecture iz not groundlefs, and is no trifling proof of the ancient freedom of our Gothic anceftors. Tacitus fays exprefsly of the Germans, "De minoribus rebus principes confultant ; de majoribus omnes." De Mor Germ. 11. The princes deliberate upon fmall matters, or perhans decide private controverfes of fmall moment ; but laws of general concern are enacted in an affembly of all the peeple.

The origin of Parifoes haz puzzled all the lawyers and antiquaries of the Englịh nation. Johnfon, after his ufual manner, recurs to the Greek, and derives the word from rapoixia, accolarum conventus, an affemblage or collection of pecple in a naborhood. Others content themfelves with deriving it from the Latin paracibia or French paroife. Thefe etymologies do not fatisly me. It is improbable that our anceftors went to the Greek for names of places or divifions of territory, that exifted in England az erly az the Heptarchy ; efpecially az the Greek word before mentioned waz never ufed in the fenfe of parifh. Parochia cannot be the origin of parifh; for jt waz not a Roman word; on the other hand, it is merely a Gothic or Saxon word latinized by the erly writers on law ; and to derive parijh from the French paroife is trifing ; for we might as well derive paroi/le from parijh, which iz at leelt az ancient.
"It iz uncertain at what time England waz divided into parifhes," fay moft of the law writers. Cainden, in hiz Britannia, page 104, fays, the kingdom waz firlt divided into parithes by Honorius, archbifhop of Canterbury, in 636 . This opinion iz controverted.

[^45]Sir Henry Hobart thinks parifhes were erected by the council of Lateran, in 1179. Selden, followed by Blackitone, fuppofes both to be rong, and fhows that the clergy lived in common, without any diftinction of parifhes, long after the time mentioned by Camden; and it appeers by the Saxon laws, that parifhes were known long before the council of Lateran.*

The truth probably iz, the kingdom was not divided into parifhes at any one time, but the original ecclefiaftical divifion grew, in a great meafure, out of a prior civil divifion. Parifs iz the moft ancient divifion of the ecclefiaftical fate, and originally denoted the $j u$ rifdiction of a bifhop, or what iz now catled a diocefe. For this opinion, we hav the authority of the Saxon laws and charters. "Ego Ceaiwulfus, dei gratia rex Merciorum, rogatus a Werfritho, Epifcopo Hwicciorum, iftam libertatem donavi, ut tota parochia Hiwicciorum a paftu equorum, regis et eorum qui cos ducunt, libera fit, \&c." Charta Cealwulfi regis, Anno 872. "Epifcopus, congregatis omnibus clericis totius parechia, \&cc." in a paffage quoted by Cowel tit. parijh. Here the bihoprick iz explicitly called a parih, parochia; and Blackfone remarks, "it is agreed on all hands, that in the erly ages of chriftianity in this ifland, parifhes were unknown, or at leeft fignified the fame az a diocefe does now." Com. Vol. I. 112.

This, being a fettled point, wil perhaps furnifh a clue by which we may find the true origin of the word and of the divifion.

It iz certain that there waz an ancient word among the Gothic nations, and probably among the Ceitic, which fignified originally a man, afterwards a freeman, or landholder, in oppofition to that clafs of men who had no real property. This word waz fpelt by the Romans vir, and fignified a man, by way of eminence, az diftinguifhed from homo; az alfo a hufband or houfeholder. It anfwered to the aump of the Greeks, az diftinguifhed from $\alpha \nu \theta_{p o \pi o s, ~ a ~ w o r d ~ d e n o t i n g ~ t h e ~ h u m a n ~}^{\text {a }}$ race in general. The fame word in the Gothic or ancient

[^46]cient German waz fpelt bar ; * and probably in fome dialects par, for the convertibility of $b$ with $p$ iz obvious to every etymologitt. + In the Erfe language, az Mc Pherfon tefifies, bar fignifies a man. The word iz alfo pronounced fer or fear, which approaches nearer to the Latin vir: Fergus or Ferguth fignifies a man of word or command. In modern Welhh, which iz the pureft relict of the old Celtic, bar is a fon, and barin a judge. In the ancient Iriih, brehon or barbon, which iz merely baron with an afpirate, fignified a judge. See Lhuyd, Mc Pherfon, Offian, p. 4. and Blackftone's Commentaries, Vol. I.

This word iz the root of the modern word baron; for in ancient manufcripts, it iz fometimes fpelt viron, denoting its derivation from vir. For this we hav the authority of Camden and Du Cange under the word baron.
So far we tred on fure ground. That theze words hav exifted or do fil exift in the fenfe above explained, wil not be denied; and it iz almoft certain that they all had a common origin.

The

* Camden's Britannia. Baron.
$\dagger$ Let no one queftion the probability of fuch changes of confonants which are formed by the fame organs; for to this day $b$ and $v$ are often ufed promifcuoufly. In the Spanifh language, we are at liberty to pronounce, $b$ az $v$, or $v a z b$; and with us, marble is often pronounced marvle. It is alro certain that the Roman vir is found in the word mentioned by Cefar. Conı. 11. 19. Vergobrctus, an annual magiftrate among the AEdui, a nation of Germany. This word iz derived from vir, and guberno, altho Cefar and Tacitus never fufpeet. ed it. The fame word iz mentioned by Mc Pherfon, az fil exiting in the Erft language, Fergubretb; and its meaning iz the fame az in Cefar's time: A decifiv argument that vir, fer, and bar, are radically the fame; and that the ancient Celtic language had a common origin with the Latin. A fimilar change of confonants iz obfervable in the words volo and bull (the Pope's decree) which are radically the fame; az alfo the German wooll and the Englifh will. So the ancient Pergamus iz called by the modern Turks, Bergamo. See Matheim's. Eccle. Hift. Vol. I. and my Differtations, on the Eng. Langrage, Appendix.

The word Baron iz evidently derived from the German bar or par, and under the feudal fyttem, came to fignify the proprietors of large tracts of land, or thoze vaffals of the Lord Paramount, who held lands by honorable fervice.*
I thall hereafter attempt to proov that feveral modern words are derived from the fame root ; at prefent I confine my remarks to the word parifh, which, I conjecture, iz a compound of par, a landholder, and rick or rich, which haz been explained, az denoting territory or jurifdiction: Parick or parich, the jurifdiction of a par or baron. It iz true the words baron and parliament feem not to hav been ufed among the Saxons before the conqueft ; but they were ufed by moft of the nations of the fame original, on the continent; az in Germany, Burgundy, Sweden and Normandy: And the ufe of the word parochia in England, before the conqueft, or at leeft by the firft lawyers and traniliators of the Saxon laws, iz to me the ftrongeft proof that fome fuch word az parick exifted among the erly Saxons, or which waz latinized by thoze writers. Even if we fuppofe the word borrowed from nations on the continent, my fuppofition of the exiftence of fuch a word iz equally wel founded, for they all fpoke dialects of the fame tung.

The firft knowlege we hav of the word pari/s or rather parochia, iz in the Saxon laws, copied and tranflated into Latin by thoze erly writers, Bracton, Britlon, Fleta, or others of an erlier date. In that erly period, parochia waz a diocefe or bijhoprick.

I fufpect the jurifdiction of the bifhop waz originally limited by an erldom, county Thire, or territory of a great lord. This waz probably the general divifion; for fometimes a clergyman or bifhop, in the zerude ages, had

[^47]had cure of fouls in two or more adjoining lordfhips; and it of en happened that a lord had much watte land on hiz demefne, which waz not comprehended in the original parifh, and thus came, in later times, to be called extraparocbial. But whatever particular exceptions there might be, the remark az a general one, will hold true, with refpect to the original jurifdiction of a bifhop.

The number of counties in England iz at prefent forty, and that of the diocefes, twenty four ; but the number of counties haz been different at different times; and fome changes, both in the civil and ecclefiaftical ftate, hav doubtlefs, in a courfe of a thoufand years, deftroyed the primitiv divifion. It iz however fome proof of my hypothefis, that moft of the bilhops in England are ftil called by the names of counties, or of cities which are flhires of themfelves; az the bifhop of Durham, of Worcefter, of London, of Norwich, \&ic. or by the names of the cheef towns in counties; az bilhop of Winchefter, of Chichefter, \&cc.

Selden's account of the ancient divifions of the kingdom, confirms this opinion. See Bacon's Selden, ch. 11. The province or jurifdiction of an archbihop, waz prior to the origin of dioceffes or parifhes. Seldenz haz given an account of a divifion of dioceffes by archbifhop Theodore in the feventh century; by which it appears, that in fome inftances, a diocefe or parifh waz one fhire or county; and in others, a parochia covered two, three, of more fhires : But in almoft every inftance, the limits of a parifh were the limits of a fhire or fhires. And however frange the reader may think it, the word church and fire are radically the fame. The Saxon word waz cyrick or cyrk; * and the Scotch pronounce and write it kirk. It iz, like Bire, derived from the Saxon Sciran, cir, or feyre, to divide. The church or kirk waz the ecclefiaftical divifion, anfwering to fire, and come to fignify the jurifdiction of the cathedral

[^48]thedral church ; the primaria ecclelia or mother church; and hence the Saxon term cyrick fceate, church fcot or fees, paid by the whole diocefe.

In later times, the original parschia or diocefe was divided or extended by the Mickle-mote, Witenagemote or national afiembly, by advice of the bifhops, nobles, and cheef men.

From all I can collect refpecting this fubject, it appeers probable, that on the firft converfion of the Saxons to chriftianity, each earle, carledernan, or erl, whoze manor or juriddiction waz the origin of a county, had hiz clergyman or chaplain to perform divine fervice. Hiz refidence waz probably in the vicinity of the erl; and this waz the origin of the catbedral, or mother church, primaria ecclefia, to which the tenants of the whole diftrict or erldom afterwards paid tithes. On the firft eftablifhment of theze churches, the tenants paid tithes where they choze; but fraud or delay on the part of the tenant, and the encreafing power of the clergy, occafioned a law of king Edgar, about the year 970 , commanding all the tithes to be paid to the mother church, to which the parifh belonged.* This muft hav augmented the welth of the cathedral churches, and given them a fuperior rank in the ecclefiaftical ftate.

Previous, however, to this period, the thanes or inferior lords, had their chaplains and private chapels; and it waz a rule, that if fuch chapel had a confecrated cemetery or burying ground belonging to it, the lord might appropriate one third, of the tithes to the fupport of hiz private chaplain. The clerks or bifhops who belonged to the cathedral churches, and were the officiating minifters of the erls or princes, at that time the firft ranks of noblemen, acquired an influence in proportion

[^49]
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proportion to their property and the extent of theit jurifdictions. Hence the powers of modern bifhops in fuperintending the clergy of their diocefes. In later times, they acquired large tracts of land, ether by purchafe, gift or devife, and in right of their baronies gained a feet among the lords of the kingdom in parliament.

The inferior clergy were multiplied in proportion az the pceple wanted or could fupport them, and the jurifdiction of an earl's chaplain, being limited originally by his cure of fouls, and being founded on a parrick or territory of a lord, afterwards gave name to all the jurifdictions of the inferior clergy. Hence the name of pari/h, as denoting the extent of a parfon's * ecclefiaftical authority.

The jurifdiction of a biffop loft the name of parifh, parochia, at a very erly period; but ftil the fubordinate divifions of the ecclefiaftical ftate continued to be regulated by prior civil divifions. For this affertion, we hav an indifputable authority, which confirms my opinion refpecting the origin of parifhes. "It feems pretty clear and certain,", fays the learned and elegant Blackitone, Com. vol. I, 1.I 4 , " that the boundaries of parifhes were originally afcertained by thoze of a manor or manors ; fince it very feldom happens that a manor extends itfelf over more parifhes than one, tho there are often many manors in one parifh." This iz the prefent fate of facts, for originally the parifh, like the modern diocefe, covered many manors, or eftates of the inferior feudatories.

Parliament iz faid to be derived from the French, parlement, which iz compofed of parler, to fpeak, and ment or mens, mind. Cowel tit. Parliament.
" Parliament," fays Johnfon, "parliamentuns, law Latin ; parlement, French." Dict. fol. Edit.

[^50]"t It is called parliament," fays Coke Litt. p. 1 ro. Ed. Lond. 1778, " becaufe every member of that court fhould fincerely and difcretely parler le ment," (fpeek hiz mind) " for the general good of the commonwelth; which name it alfo hath in Scotland; and this name before the conqueft waz uzed in the time of Edward the Confeffor, William the Conqueror, \&c. It waz anciently, before the conquent, called micbel- $/ 2-$ nath,* ${ }^{*}$ michel-gemote ; ealla, witena-gemote; that is to fay, the great court or meeting of the king and all the wifemen; fometimes of the king, with the counfel of hiz bihops, nobles anid wifert of hiz peeple. This court, the French men call les eftates; or l'afemble des efates. In Germany it is called a dict. For thoze other courts in France that are called parliaments, they are but ordinary courts of juftice, and az Paulus Jovius affirmeth, were firft eftablifhed with us."

The late editor of Cokes Inftitutes, remarks, in a note on this paffage, that the latter part of this etymology iz jufly exploded, and apologizes for hiz author by faying, "it iz to be found in preceding authors of eminence." He difcards the ment, and confiders it, not az an effential, but an adventitious part of the word ; deeming it fufficient to derive the word from parler, to fpeak. This opinion he receives from Lambard.

Such a definition, with great deference to theze venerable áuthorities, iz a difgrace to etymology. Coke waz a great lawyer, and Johnfon a good Latin and Greek fcholar ; but neether of them waz verfed in the Teutonic language and inftitutions, where alone we Mould look for the origin of our laws and the Englift conftitution. Johnfon indeed waz a mere compiler of other mens etymologies, and Cowel, Selden, Junius and others from whom he copied, tho deeply lerned, fometimes fell into very whimfical miftakes. I am bold to affert that the Englifh derivation of parliament, or parlement from the French parler, haz no better authority

[^51]
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 ORIGIN of DOMESDAY, šc.thority than a mere whim or notion of theze writes. We might az well derive parler from parliament, and both from a parcel of gollips, becaufe they are loquacious.

The true etymology of the word iz par, or bar, a fandholder or baron, and $l e$, mote, the meeting. I fay mote, for this waz the Saxon fpelling of the word, after the prepofitiv $g e$ waz dropped. It waz originally $g e-$ mote, az in witena-gemote; afterwards the ge waz difufed, az in falk-mote. What the original French orthography waz, I am not certain ; but the word came to England from France, and we find the French article prefixed, par-le-ment; a meeting of the barons. The fame found waz ufed in Germany, Burgundy, and other parts of Europe, and in all, it had the fame meening, which it, in fome mefure, retains in France to this day.

The commune concilium of England, before the conquett, confifted of the witena, or wife men. It retained the alame of witcna-gemote, til after the Norman invafion. It iz perhaps impoffible, at this diftance of time, to afcertain exaftly the manner of fummoning this national affembly, or whether the commons or leffer nobility were entitled to a feet. In old charters, the king iz faid to hav paffed laws by advice of the archbifhops, bifhops, abbots, erls and wife men of the relm; feniorum fapientium populi. But we are not able to determin whether theze feniores fapientes were admitted on account of their age and wifdom; or whether pofeffion of real effate waz a requifit qualification. So much iz certain, that in France and Germany, where we firft heer of parliaments, all the barons, that iz, all the nobility, were entitled to a feet in the national council, in right of their baronys; and this iz afferted to hav been the cafe in England.* This fact, fo well attefted in hiftory az to be undeniable, ought long ago to hav led the critical enquirer to the true origin of the French word, parlement. The name of parliaınent took its rife under the feudal fyitem, when the affembly of men, fo called, confifted folely of barons or bars. It iz froms

[^52]this circumfance that the provincial affemblies of France are properly denominated parliaments. The erly Norman princes, who introduced the name into England, fummoned none to their council but the clergy and nobility, and fometimes a few only of the greater barons. The houfe of lords iz frictly a parliament, according to the original of the word, altho fince the commons hav made a part of the legiflature, the name iz extended to the whole body.

The word peer iz faid to be derived from the Latin par equal ; and this circumftance haz been the occafion of innumerable encomiums on the Englifh trial by peers. So far az equality in the condition of judges and parties, iz an excellence in any judicial fyftem, the prefent practice of trial by jury iz efteemable among a free peeple; for whatever may be the origin of the word peer, a trial by men of the naborhood may often proov a capital fecurity againft a court devoted to party: But it iz at leaft doubtful whether peers, az ufed for jurors, came from the Latin par; for it iz almoft certain that the word peer, az ufed for nobles, iz derived from the German par, a landholder, and this iz undoubtedly the tru primitiv fenfe of the word. That there waz fuch a word in ancient Germany, iz unqueftionable ; and paramount, which fignifies the lord of higheft rank, iz from the fame root ; par-amount, the par or baron above the relt. The juritts on the continent latinized the word, calling the lords pares; and this, in later ages, waz miftaken for the plural of the Latin par.

Az the pares or barons claimed almoft exclufiv jurifdiction over their manors, and held courts of juftice, ether in perfon or by their bailiffs, they came to be confidered az the fupreme judges in the laft refort of all civil and criminal caufes. Pares or barons became equivalent to judges. Hence the boufe of peers in England iz the fupreme judicatory of the nation. Hence the parliaments (meetings of peers) in France are fupreme courts of juftice.

Twelv waz a favorit number with our Saxon anceftors, and the king, or lord paramount, with twely judges,
judges, conftituted the fupreme court or council among the ancient Germans. It will hardly be confidered a digreffion to examin this inftitution with more attention ; for if I miftake not, the rudiments of it are vifible az far back az the Chriftian era; or even az the Gothic migrations to the weft and north of Europe.

In the Edda, or fyftem of Gothic mythology, compiled by Snorro Sturlefon, fupreme judge of Iceland, about the year 1220, we may difcern the principles which would naturally giv rife to the practice of trial by twelv men. The Edda will indeed be faid to be a collection of fables. To this I anfwer, fable iz generally, perhaps always, founded on fact; whatever additions may be made in a courfe of time by imperfest tradition. The Edda iz acknowledged to contain an authentic account of the opinions of the northern nations at the time it waz written. Thiṣ iz all I afk.

Snorro, and 'Torfæus the hiftorian of the north, inform us that even in Scythia, "Odin, the fupreme god of the Goths, performed the functions of cheef preeft, affifted by twelv pontiffs, who diftributed jufice."*

Let us attend to a fact confirming the account. Mallet, a hiftorian of credit, tefifies that the hall or Seet of juftice, my be ftil feen in different parts of Sweden and Denmark. "'Theze monuments, whoze rude bulk haz preferved them from the ravages of time, are only valt

[^53]vait unhewn ftones, commonly twelv in number, fet upright, and placed in form of a circle. In the middle iz a ftone, much larger than the reft, on which they made a feet for their king. The other fones ferved az a barrier to keep off the populace, and marked the place of thoze whom the peeple had appointed to make the election (of king.) They treeted alfo in the fame place of the moft important affairs."* There iz one neer Lunden, $\dagger$ in Scania, another at Leyra, in Zealand, and a third neer Viburg, in Jutland.

This being a well attefted fact, we are difpofed to beleev what iz related in the Edda, Fable 7 th, where it iz afked, "what the univerfal father do when he bilt Afgard, (the divine abode.") It iz anfwered, agrecable to the receeved opinion of the Goths, "he in the beginning eftablifhed governors, and ordered thers to decide whatever differences fhould arize among men, and to regulate the government in the plain, called Ida, wherein are tuelv feets for themfelves, befides the throne which iz occupied by the univerfal father."' $\ddagger$

On this paffiage, the tranflator of Mallets Hiftory haz the following note. "Theze judges were twelv in number. Waz this owing to there being twelv primary deities among the Gothic nations, az there were among the Greeks and Romans ? This I fhall not take upon me to decide; but I think one may plainly obferve here the firf traces of a cuftom, which hath extended itfelf to a great many other things. Odin, the conqueror of the north, eftablifhed a fupreme court in Sweden, compofed of twelv members, to affift him in the functions of the preefthood and government. This doubtlefs gave rife to what waz afterwards called the fenate. And the fame eftablifhment in like manner took place in Denmark, Norway, and other northern States. Theze fenators decided in the laft appeal, all differences of importance ; they were, if I may fay f , the affeflors of the prince; and were in number

* North. Antiq. Vol. I. 169.
+ London, in England, probably had its name from this place.
$\ddagger$ North. Antio. Vol. II. 4 r,
number twelv, az we are exprefsly informed by $\mathrm{Saxo}_{\text {, }}$ in hiz life of king Regner Lodbrog. Nor are other monuments wanting, which abundantly confirm this truth. We find in Zealand, in Sweden, neer Upfal, and if I am not miftaken, in the county of Cornwal, large fones, to the number of twelv, ranged in the form of a circle, and in the midft of them, one of a fuperior height. Such in thoze rude ages, waz the hall of audience; the ftones that formed the circumference, were the feets of the fenators ; that in the middle, the throne of the king. The like monuments are found alfo in Perfia, neer Tauris. Travellers frequently meet there with large circles of hewn flones; and the tradition of the country reports; that theze are the places where the raous or giants formerly held their councils.* I think one may difcover veffiges of this ancient cuftom, in the fabie of the twelv peers of France, and in the eftablifhment of twelv jurymen in England, who are the proper judges, according to the ancient laws of that country."

It iz certain that fome outiines of this mode of deciding controverfies by twelv, may be feen in the cuftoms of the Cimbri and Teutones, long before the Chriftian era. But I cannot find that the idea of cquality ever entered into the original inflitution. On the other hand, every old authority that I hav confulted confirms me in the opinion, that the twelo men were chofen from among the landholders or better claffes of peeple; that they were the judges of the court, and that the diftinction between judges and jury, law and fact, iz a refinement or improovment on the original conftitution, and comparativly of modern date.
It iz certain that a difference of rank exifted among the Germans in the time of Tacitus. "Reges ex nobilitate, duces ex virtute fumunt." $\dagger$ The fame writer exprefsly declares, that matters of inferior concern and private juftice came within the jurildiction of their princes. "De minoribus rebus principes confultant, de majoribus,

[^54]$\dagger$ Tac. de Mor. Germ. c. 7 .
majoribus, omnes."* In another paffage, he is more explicit: "Principes jura per pagos vicofque reddunt." $\dagger$ Cefar iz fill more explicit: "Principes regionum atque pagorum inter fuos jus dicunt, controverfiafque minuunt." $\ddagger$ Theze principes regionum atque pagorum, Blackftone fays, we may fairly conftur to be lords of hundreds and manors; \{ they were originally electiv, az we are informed by Tacitus, "eliguntur in conciliis

\author{

* Tac. de Mor. Germ. c. ir.—f C. 12 —— De Bello Gallico. lib. VI. c. 21.
}
§ Com. Vol. III. 35. This cannot be frictly true ; for the principes were electiv ; and therefore could not hav owned the land (pagus) or exercifed the office of judge in right of their property. The kings, princes, and generals of the ancient Germans were elected; fome for their nobility, that iz , the refpectability of their families, arifing from the valur and merits of their anceftors; others, az their duces, military commanders, were chofen for their virtues, their perfonal bravery. This I take to be the meening of that paffage in Tacitus, "Reges ex nobilitate, duces ex virtute fumunt."
"The Comites ex plebe," fays Selden, chap. 18, " made one rank of freemen fuperior to the reft in wifdom." The Saxon nobles were called adelingi, or wel born ; the freemen, frilingi, or free born ; the latter might be affiffants in the judicial department. The lower ranks were called lazzi or flaves; and indolence iz fo neceffary a confequence of bondage, that this word lazzi, or lazy, haz become finonimous with indolent, תug gi/h. This word iz a living national fatire upon every fecies of flavery. But the effect of flavery iz not merely indolence; its natural tendency iz to produce difonefly; "almoft every flave, being, fays Dr. Franklin, from the nature of hiz employment, a theef." Az a ftriking proof of this, we may inflance the change of meening in the words villain and knave, which at firt denoted tenant and plozumant, but during the oppreflions of the feudal fyitem, come to fignify, a rogue. Vaffal alfo denoted originally, a tenant or feudatory of a fuperior lord. It waz an honorable name, the barons being called the kings raffals. But fervitude iz to natural a confequence of the tenure of lands under a propietor, in fee, that vaffal haz becone finonimous with flave.* The change of meening in theze words

[^55]conciliis principis," and each had a hundred comites, or affiftant judges, who were chofen from among the peeple. "Centeni fingulis, explehe comites, concilium fimul et auctoritas, adfunt."* Theze hundred aflithants, or companions, were chofen explebe; but when chofen formed the concilium principis. The prince waz their prefident, chofen by themfelves, eliguntur in conciliis principes, and had aucioritatem, authority or jurifdiction in the town or difrict.

The idea of equality iz no where fuggefted ; on the contrary ; the hundredors when chofen became a court or legiflature in the diAtrict, competent to the general purpofes of government. No mention iz made of a diftinction between the legillativ and judicial departments ; on the other hand, we may fafely conclude, from the paffeges of Cefar and Tacitus before quoted, that the powers of making laws and deciding caufes were vefted in the fame men. Cefar fays, "nullus eft in pace communis magiftratus," nor could the Germans, in their primitiv fimple mode of living, need fuch a magiftrate. The princes jus dicunt, controver $/$ iafque minusut, diftributed juftice, by the affiftance of their comites, and according to the circumftances of the peeple.t This at leeft waz the cafe with refpect to matters of fmall magnitude.

## The

wordsiz a volum of inftruction to princes and legiflators. Reduce men to bondage, and they hav no motiv but feer to kcep them induftrious and honeft, and of courfe, moft of them commence rogues and drones. Why hav not the tyrants of Europe difcovered this truth ? Good laws, and an equal dif: tribution of the advantages and the rights of government, would generally be an effectual fubftitute for the bayonet and the gallows. Look thro Europe; , wherever we fee poverty and oppreffion, there we find a nurfery of villains. A difference in the property, education and advantages, originates the difference of character, between the nobleman of nicefthonor, and the culprits that fwing at Tyburn.

* De Mor. Germ. c. $1_{3}$.
$\dagger$ The practice of choofing affiftant judges in the Roman commonwealth, waz fomething fimilar to our mode of impannelling a jury. Theze affitants were fometimes a hundred, and

The number of comites principis, or affiftants, waz originally a bundred. This gave name to the difrict which they governed, and which afterwards confifted of any indefinit number, ftill retaining the primitiv name. In later ages, the number of afliftant judges waz reduced ; a grand jury fill confifts of twenty four ; a petit jury commonly confifts of twelv, but on certain occafions, and by the cuftom of particular places in England, may be compofed of fixteen, eight or fix.*

Such waz the conftitution of the ancient Germans, in which we may difcover the principles of the fyftem which they every where eftablifhed, after their conquefts in Gaul, Spain, Italy and Britain.

Twelv waz a favorit number, not only with the Saxons, but with all the nations of northern original. They had twelv principal deities; they numbered the units up to twelv, inftead of fopping at ten, like other nations ; $\dagger$ they had twelv judges to affift their kings or princes ; their hall for the election of their kings confifted of twelv huge ftones, placed in a circle. Hence we difcover the origin of the twelv fenators of Sweden, $\ddagger$ Denmark and Norway ; the twelv counfellors of ftate in ancient times ; the fable, az it iz called, of the twelv peers in France; the twelv judges in England, and
and it iz not improbable, the Roman and German cuftoms of electing that number inight be derived from the fame original.

The Pretor, (cheef juftice) or princeps judicum appointed by him, fummoned a number of perfons, who were called $j u$ dices feleciz, felect judges. Theze were to giv their verdict in criminal matters, like our juries. On the day of trial, the firft thing after opening the cotirt, waz the fortitio judicum, or impannelling of the jury, performed by the judex quaflionis or cheef judge on the trial, who took by lot fuch a number of the judices felecti, or jurymen, az the law, on which the accufation waz founded, had determined. Liberty waz given to the parties to reject, (challenge) and the places of thoze rejected, were filled by new appointments.-Kennetts Antiq. of Rome, $\mathrm{I}_{3} 8$.
*: See Coke Litt. and Hargraves notes on this fubjećt.

+ Mallets North. Antiquities.
I. Mentioned in the preceding note, copied from Mallet.
the trial by twelv peers or jurors, which waz former'y common to all the northern nations of Europe.*

On the Gothic eftablifhments in the fouth and weft of Europe, government took a military complection. The kings parcelled out the conquered lands among their generals, called duces or principes, by the Latin writers; and by the Saxons, beretoga. The generals of firft rank receeved or acquired whole provinces, az Burgundy, and the principalities of Germany. Thezc territories they diftributed among their inferior officers and comites or retainers, of whom every lord had great numbers about hiz perfon. Theze conftituted a fecondary, but very numerous clafs of nobility ; and altho there might be differences of rank and property among them, they were called by one general appellation. In England, they were called thanes, from a word fignifying to ferve, becaufe they held their lands by the condition of military fervice. On the continent, they were called barons, that is freemen, or tenants of land, upon condition of rendering certain military and honorable fervice to their fupetior lord, who waz called lord paramount.

Blackftone remarks, that "a baron's 'iz the mont general and univerfal title of nobility; for originally eycry one of the peers of fuperior rank had alfo a barony annexed to hiz title." $\dagger$ The origin of this title haz occafioned great enquiry among antiquaries; but the difficulty vanifhes upon my hypothefis, which derives the word from bar, a landholder and freeman ; for on the eftablifhment of the feudal tenures, all the lands were held by a few men ; the proprietors werc all called barons, and this accounts for the univerfality of the title juft mentioned. Thus the bifhops, after they had obtained gifts of large tracts of land or manors, refigned them to the conqueror, William; acceqted them again fubject

[^56]fubject to the conditions of lay fees, claimed rank with the nobility, and took their feets in the Englifh houfe of lords. Actual poffeffion of a barony waz originally requifit to conftitute a lord of parliament ; but the title iz now granted by the king without the poffeffion.

Blackitone mentions the difficulty of tracing the word baron to its primitiv fenfe; but confirms the foregoing explanation when he fays,' "the moft probable opinion iz that barons were the fame az our lords of manors."* The name indeed waz not ufed in England (fo far as can be collected from Englifh writers) till after the conqueft. But it iz certain that the feudal fyftem, tho not in all its feverity, waz eftablifhed in England before that period; and degrees of nobility were cotemporary with the Saxon eftablifhments in the ifland. The firft clafs were called in Saxon beretoga, that iz generals or military commanders. But the molt ancient and perhaps the moft important civil title waz that of earles or ealdormen. Theze erls were called alfo in Saxon fchiremen, for they exercifed fupreme jurifdiction in the Bires. After the conqueft they were called by the correfponding Norman title counts, from comites, becaufe they were the king's companions in war ; and their jurifdiction waz called a county. $\dagger$

Inferior to theze in rank were the Saxon thanes, who were fo called from the Saxon thanian miniftrare, becaufe they were the comites or attendants of the ancient kings or earls. Theze were numerous, and after the conqueft called by the equivalent continental title, barons. Of theze there were different ranks, thani majores or thani regis, who ferved the king in places of high importance, and took rank next to the bifhops and abbots. Theze had inferior thanes under them, called thani minores, who were alfo lords of manors. $\ddagger$ The word

* Com. Vol. I. 399.
+ I am by no meens certain that this derivation of counts from comites, iz jutt; it iz at leeft az probable az otherwife, that contces may be a Gothic word. . But this iz conjecture.

[^57]
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word peer I fuppofe to be derived from the fame root az; baron, bar or par, and to be equivalent in fenfe. It iz cleer to me that landholder, or man by way of eminence, waz its original meening ; and that it iz a proper name of the ancient nobility, giveis them az proprietors of vaft tracts of land, and that it had no reference to equality of rank.

But there are better proofs of this point than that drawn from this fuppofed derivation. The true original fignification of the word we hav in the phrafes, boufe of peers, peers of the relm, peerage. And for this affertion we hav the beft authorities in the language. Cowel, from whom Johnfon and moft modern lawyers have borrowed their definitions of law terms, after explaining the word peer az denoting jurors, fays exprefsly, "but this word iz moft principally ufed for thoze that be of the nobility of the relm and lords of the parliament." Here the author haz mentioned a well fupported fact, and quotes ancient authorities. But he immediately leevs fact, and runs into conjecture, az to the reezon of this appellation, which he deduces from a preconceeved, but probably erroneous, opinion. "The reezon whereof $i z$, that altho there be a diftinetion of degrees in our nobility, yet in all public actions they are equal ; az in their votes of parliament, \&c." Here the author takes it for granted that the word peer fignifies equal, and affigns, az a caufe of its moft principal appropriation to the nobility, that the men, tho of different ranks, hav an equal vote in parliament. This a curious reafon indeed! A man muft be more credulous than I am, to beleev this flight circumftance would giv rife to fuch a particular appropriation of a name. One would think that the fame reezon would hav given the name to the clergy in convocation and other ecclefiaftical courts. Yet the learned and candid Blackftone haz copied the fame reczon. "The commonalty, like the nobility, are divided into feveral degrees; and, az the lords, tho different in rank, yet all them are peers in refpect of their nobility; fo the commoners, tho fome are greatly fuperior to others, yet all are in law peers, in
refpect of their want of nobility." '* This appeers very extraordinary, that an equality of fuffrage fhould giv an appellation in preference to difference of rank, which iz fo much more obvious and more flattering to the haughty barons. But if the commoners are peers or equals in fuffrage az well az the lords; that iz, on the fame principle ; or as Blackftone ftates it, if the lords are peers becaufe they are noble, and the commoners are peers, becaufe they are not noble, why hav not the commoners the fame appellations of peers of the relm? The lords are not equally noble, by Blackftone's own ftatement, for they are of very different ranks; and the commons are not equally ignoble, (this word iz ufed merely for contraft) for they are of different ranks: Yet the vote of one commoner iz az good in the houfe of commons, az that of another ; and the vote of one lord, in the other houfe, iz az good az that of another. If the equality of fuffrage iz a proper ground for the title of peers in one houfe, the reezon extends to the other. Yet commoners are not peers of the relm; and until a good reezon can be affigned for the diftinction of titles between the houfes, I hhall beleev that the word peer had originally no reference to equality. $\dagger$

## But

* Com. Vol. 1. 403. "But the fame author, in page 399 , fays, the right of peerage feems to hav been originally territorial, that iz, annexed to lands, manors, \&xc. the proprietors of which were, in right of thoze eftates, allowed to be 'peers of the relm ;" that iz, in plain Englifh, certain men, in right of their eftates, were allowed to be equals of the relm. This will not pafs for reezon and truth on this fide of the Atlantic.
$\dagger$ Horne, in hiz Mirror of Juftices, chap. I. fect. 2. fays, " altho the king ought not to hav any peer. (that iz, equal) in the land, yet becaufe he cannot be a judge in a cafe where he iz a party, it waz behovefull by the law that he fhould havcompanions to heer and determin of all writs and plaints of all wrongs, \&c. Theze companions are now called countees, earles, according to the Latin comites, \&c." This iz fingular! The king ought to hav no equal ; therefore he ought to hav companions for judges; or, in plainer words, if poffible, the king ought not to hav equals in the kingdom, therefore he fhould hav peers to heer and determin criminal caufes. Common fenfe at leeft, if not etymology, will fay, "the king ought not ta hav equals, but he muft hav judges."

But fay the Engliih lawyers and antiquaries, "the bihops are not in ftrictnefs held to be peers of the relm, but only lords of parliament."* Why not? What is the diftinftion ? Here our authors leev us in the dark; but perhaps the foregoing clu will leed us to the light. Bifhops were not the original proprietors of baronies; they were not bars or pars, the hereditary lords of manors, confequently not peers of the relm. This iz fuch an obvious folution of the queftion, that I am furprized it Mould hav been overlooked. Under the papal hierarchy, the clergy gained vaft influence over the minds of men, and by a variety of expedients, hecame poffeffed of large eftates, and fome of them, of ancient baronies. But their acquifitions were comparativly of modern date, and many of them ufurpations, altho in confequence of their eftates they obtained a feet in the houfe of lords. They are therefore lora's of parliament; but the ancient peers, priding themfelves upon the antiquity of their families, and claiming certain prefcriptiv rights, would not admit the clergy to an equal fhare of authority and honor; for to this day, a vote of the temporal lords iz good againft every vote of the clergy. $\dagger$
"The appellation peer," fays Cowel, "feems to be borrowed from France, and from thoze tzele pecrs that Charlemagne inftituted in that kingdom." "The fame word waz ufed by other nations. Theze twelv peers conftituted a great council or fupreme court, and the members were all barons, or of the nobility. $\ddagger$ Can the word, applied to the members of this council, fignify equal? By no meens. Here we trace the word

## * Blackftone, Vol. I. ${ }^{157}$, from Staunford P C. $153^{\circ}$

+ It iz now held that e converfo, a vote of the fpiritual lords, if a majority, iz good againf all the temporal lords; but Coke douts it. Suppofing this to be admitted, the privilege is modern, and makes nothing againft my fuppofition.
$\ddagger$ It haz been remarked that baron iz the moft general title of nobility ; indeed every nobleman waz originally a baron. Coke. I. 74. The lords of manors, both in England and on the continent, were the fuitors in the king's court, and called pares curtis or curice. The lords tenants were called the peers. of hiz court baioni. See Blackftone, Vol. I. ch. 4.
ho a remote period of antiquity, and find it ufed by the emperor of Germany ; or at leeft an appellation given to one of the firft councils in hiz dominions. This iz the pure primitiv fenfe of the word peers, barons 5 that iz, in the full latitude of its fignification, all the ancient nobility; who held lands of him ether immediately or mediately ; who formed hiz fupreme judicial court, and in forne countries, hiz legiflativ affembly; who were hereditary councillors of the crown; and cheef judges of all caufes arifing on their own manors, except fuch àz were of great confequence.

This explanation accounts for what Selden has remarked, chap. 65, that "the barons of England, before the reign of Edward I, were rather the great and richer fort of men, than peers, altho they were of the number." That iz, the Sazon thanes, who were great landholders, but inferior to the erls, had, after the conqueft, receeved the appeliation of barons from the continent ; but, being a fecondary clafs of nobility, had not claimed or acquired the power and privileres of the German and French princes and nobles who had the title of peers, until the Norman kings had introduced, into the kingdom, the opprefliv, and invidious diftinctions of the feudal tenures, in the full extent of the fyftem.

It will be enquired, if this iz the fenfe of the word, how came juries of common freeholders to be called peers? The anfwer iz eefy; the jurors were the judges of the inferior courts, and not merely the equals of the parties, az iz commonly fuppofed. The erl or baron, in frictnefs; but more commonly, the vice comes, Theriff or lords deputy, waz the prefident or cheef juftice, and the jurors, the adjfant judges. For this opinion, numberlefs authorities may be produced. The barons were the affiftant judges, peers, in the court of the lord paramount or king, and thus became judges by prefeription; fo the word peer or baron, in time, became equivalent to judge. Az the nobles were judges in the kings court, and decided on appeels in the laft refort, fo the freeholders whe conftituted the cours
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in the county, hundred or manor, came to be denominated peers, that iz, judges.

Reeve, in hiz hiftory of the Englifh Law, remarke, that "the adminiftration of juftice in the days of Willian the conqueror, waz fo commonly attendant on the rank and character of a baron, that baro and jufticiarius were often ufed fynonimoufly." Blackftone fays, " it iz probable the barons were the fame az our lords of manors, to which the name of court baron (which iz the lords court, and incident to every manor) givs fome countenance." Vol. I. 398. It iz furprizing, theze writers fhould approach to neer the tru original and meening of the word, barom, and not reech it.

Molt writers on the ancient flate of government in Europe, hav remarked that the nobility held the office of judges. "Les mefmes comtes," fays Mezeray, "et dues, qui jugeoint les François, les menoient a la guerre." tom. 1. p. iI8. The counts and dukes were both judges and generals.
"Duo-comitum munera fure; unum videlicet juftitiæ populis miniftrandæ, alterum militiæ fibi fubjefte, quando in bellum eundum erat, educendæ atque regendæ." Muratori. Antiq. Ital. tom. I. p. 399. The counts had two offices or departments of bufinels ; the adminiftration of juftice, and command of the troops in war.

Stuart, in hiz Englifh Conftitution, remarks, "that the erls prefided in the courts of law. Their jurifdiction extended over their feefs: In all caufes, civil and criminal, they judged without appeel, except in cafes of the utmof confequence." Part 3. Sect. 3.

I prefume it iz needlefs to multiply authorities. The ftrongeft argument in favor of my opinions iz drawn from the fupreme judiciary powers of the houfe of lords in England. The lords are peers of the relm; that iz, the ancient prefcriptiv judges or barons, who claim the privilege by hereditary right or immemorial ufuage. The boufe of peers, iz literally and in fact, a boufe of judges; an affembly of all the ancient judges in the kingdom. So Selden relates of the Saxons, whom

He fuppofes to be defended from the fame original az the Greeks, and long prior to the ages of Roman glory ; "s their country they divided into counties or circuits, all under the government of twelv lords, like the Athenan territory under the Archorites. These, with the other princes, had the judicial power of diftributiv justice committed to them, with a hundred commoners out of each divifioh." Tit. Saxons. The fame writer declares, chap. 58 , that the nobles "were in their mot ordinary work, meetings of judges, or courts of judicature; that the king and him barons made many laws and conflitutions which hav obtained the name of ftatutes," (which he fuppofes may have been equitable decifions of new causes, which afterwards had the force of laws) "that the judges of this fupreme court are the baronage of England; and that the house of lords fill retain their fupreme judiciary powers by ancient prefcriptiv right."

In addition to this authority, I would remark that the modern fupreme judiciary of Scotland is copied almot exactly from the ancient Saxon trial by laghmen or thanes. The lords of feffion, or prefident and tourteen judges, are a court of law and fact, without a jury; and this is exactly the old trial by peers.

The parliaments in France are justly aid by lord Coke, to be ordinary courts of jufice; ; another ftriking evidence of what I hav advanced. The word parliamint came from France, where it denotes that affembly of barons, which conflitutes the fupreme court of jufice in each of the feveral provinces. This is the original import of the word, and the parliaments in France fill retain that fignification. This name was introduced into England, under the Norman princes, and fuperfaded the Saxon name of the national afiembly, witenagemote. Indeed, during the depreffion of the peeple, under the first princes of the Norman line, when the military tenures were eftablifhed with rigor, national aflemblies were called but feldom, and when fummoned, confifted principally of the bifhops and peers (barons) of the rem. They however acquired the name of parT 2
ligament,
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biament, and retain it to this day; altho one branch of that body iz compofed of commoners. The tru meening of parliament iz a meeting of barons or peers, and their principal bufinefs waz to decide controverfies: They had original jurifdiction over caufes in which the nobles were parties, az men of rank would not feek redrefs before ari inferior tribunal ; and they had an appellate jurifdiction over other caufes in the laft refort. The parliament of England iz a legiflativ body; but the boufe of lords retains the primitiv privilege of finally deciding controverlies. This branch of the degillature alone anfwers to the parliaments in France, which approach reer the ancient inflitution.* ${ }^{*}$

So in Erigland, the houfe of lords, and even the remporal lords alone, were called formerly a parliament. Blackftonie, b. IV, c. 19, upon the authority of ancient books and recoids, repeetedly denominates the houfe of peers, when atting az a court of fupreme judicature, a parliament, a full parliament; and the fpiritual lords are not permitted to giv any vote upon gilty or not gilty, for they are niot arcient peers (that iz, batons, prefcriptiv judges) of the relm. It haz been douted whether the fpiritual lords had a right to fit in the houfe on the trial of a peer; but by a determination of the lords in the erl of Danby's cafe, $: 670$, they were permitted "to ftay and fit in court in capital cafes, till the court proceeds to the vote of gilty or not gilty." Still they form no part of the court ; the temporal lords conftituting a full parliament, that iz, az I hav explained the tru primitiv meening of the word; a meeting of barons or juades. $\dagger$

## I would

* The Norman princes might well call theit councils parliaments, meetings of barons; for they often fummoned none but the barons and clergy, and fometimes but a few of the barons. Henry the third, once fummoned but twenty five barons of two hurdred and fifty, then in the kingdom, and one hundred and fifty of the ctergy. Yet this meeting waz a parliament. Selden, chap. 67.
+ Thoze who wifh to fee a more particular acconnt of the extenfiv judicial powers of the barons in Europe, may confult Robertfon's Charles V. Vol. I. page 49, and note [Z] page $250_{2}$. where the authorities are referred to.

I would juft add on this head, that the infitution of twelv judges in England, iz copied from the ancient mode of trial in Germany. The old Curia Regis confifted of the king, hiz grand jufficiary, the officers of hiz palace and his barons. This court followed the kings perion wherever he went. Out of this were formed the feveral courts now eftablifhed at Weftmintter. But the title of barons of the exchequer and barons of the cinque ports, who are judges, furnifhes an additional argument in favor of my opinions.

The foregoing explanation of the words, baron and peer, leeds to a probable account of the trial by peers. It can be prooved that the jurors were the judges of the county, hundred and manor courts, and the probability iz that the fuitors in theze courts receeved the appella. tion of peers, from the circumftance of their being landholders. Several authorities feem at leeft to favor this opinioṇ.
"Concerning the inftitution of this court by the Laws and ordinareces of ancient kings, and efpecially of Alfred, it appeereth that the firit kings of this relm had all the lands of England in demefine, and les grand manors et royalties, they referved to themfelves; and of the remnant, they, for the defence of the relm, enfeoffed the barons of the relm, with fuch jurifdiction $2 z$ the court baron now hath, and infituted the freeholders to be judges of the court baron." "*
" The manor courts ars of two forts. The firt iz by the common law, and iz called the court baron, az fome hav, faid, for that it iz the freeholders or freemens court, (for barons in one fenfe fignifie freemen) and of that court the freebolders, being fuitors, be judges. 'The fecond iz the copyholders court, which iz called a court baron, becaufe among the laws of king Edward the confeffor, it iz faid: "Barones vero qui fuam habent curiam de fuis ' hominibus," taking the name of the baron who waz lord of the manor, or for that properly
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erly in the eye of the law, it hath relation to the freebolders who are judges of this court. And in ancient charters and records, the barons of London and the cinque ports do fignify the freemen of London and the cinque ports."* Theze paffages are exprefs to my purpofe. Indeed it muft hav been that the freeholders, now called jurors, were judges; for the lord of the manor waz cheef judge or prefident merely, and we heer nothing, at this erly period of Saxon jurifprudence, of a diftinction between law and fact.

Horne, in the Mirror of Juftices, afferts+ " that by the conttitutions of Alfred, the free tenants in every county, hundred and manor, were to meet together and judge their nabors." "Every free tenant hath ordinary jurifdiction in theze courts." "The lords and tenants ihall incur certain penaltics by the judgement of the fuitors." "Theze courts are called county courts, where the judgenent iz by the fuitors, if there be no writ, and iz by warrant of ordinary jurifdiction." That iz, when there waz no fpecial court held by the juftices in eyre. $\ddagger$ So alfo in a book called the "Diverfity of Courts," written in Heary the eighth's time, it iz faid, " in the court baron the fuitors are the judges, and not the feward."

Cowel tels us, "the court baron iz more properly curia baronum, i. e. the court of freebolders, (for fo barones does alfo fignify) over whom the lord of the manor prefides. In this court the freebolders are judges." $\S$

Selden's authority confirms this fact. He fays, " neether waz the bifhops nor fheriffs work, in the folk-mote or county court, other than directory or declaratory; for the freemen were judges of the tact, and the other did but edocere jura populo." $\|$ Here a diftinction iz cleerly made beetween the freemen and the populus; the freemen were the judges, and the bifhop or theriff edocuit jura, proclaimed the decifion to the multitude.

[^59]muititude. The freemen, or landholders, then were the pears of the court; they were not the equals of the multitude, for the populus, the laborers of all defcriptions, were confidered az belonging to an inferior clafs of men, and had no voice in the folk-mote.
To fum up the whole, we hav the authority of the correet and judicious Blackftone, who exprefsly afferts, book III. chapters IV and V, that in the court baron, the hundred court and county court, the freeholders or fuitors are the judges, and the fteward in the two former, and the fheriff in the latter, are the regiftrars or miniferial officers. Now it iz well known that before the conquef, theze included all the courts that were in the kingdom, except the witenagemote, in which there waz nothing like a jury, feparate from the members of that council. So that the frecholders or jurors were not only judges, but they were the fole judges in all the inferior courts in the kingdom; and of courfe there could be little or no diftinction between law and fact. Nay, more, the fuitors swere the witneffes alfo; and the principal reezon for fummoning freebolders of the vicinage waz originally this; it waz fuppofed they were acquainted with the facts in difpute. Hence laws were made to compel the jurors to tell the truth, if they knew the fages, which waz always fuppofed, till the contrary appeered. In theze courts fmall caufes were decided; and the county court had cognizance of ecclcfiaftical caufes, az well az civil, and often determined difputes between the nobles, about real eftates of immenfe value.
But important matters were generally brought bebefore the witena-gemote, or affembly compofed of the king, bifhops, erls and wife men. This waz a national council, which united in itrelf all powers, legiflativ, judicial, civil and ecclefiaftical, in law and equity. Such a thing az a jury waz never known in this fupreme court. William the conqueror firt feparated the civi! from the ecclefiaftical authority, and fubffituted the $a u$ la regia, a high court, confifting of hiz cheef officers and barons, in place of the Saxon witena-gemote. This gourt waz the fupreme judicature in the nation ; a jury
waz no part of it, and it followed the king whereves he went, till it waz fixed by Magna Charta in Weftminfter Hall. Afterwards, in the reigns of Henry III and Edward I, feveral courts were carved out of the Aula Regis; az the common pleas, the court of kings bench, the exchequer and chancery courts; and it does not appeec tliat a jury, diftinct from the judges, formed any part of the important common law courts, till after this period. The diftinction therefore between judges and jury, law and fact, feems not to hav been known, till the diffolution of the Aula Reigis, at the cloze of the thirteenth century.

Let us enquire what kind of men theze freeholders were, who were fummoned az jurors or judges at theze courts.

Lord Coke iz exprefs, and quotes Glanvil and Bracton for authorities, that "in ancient times the jurors were twelv knights," (that iz, probably, perfons holding land amounting to a knights fee.)*

Henry III iffued writs to the feveral counties to enquirc into the liberties of hiz fubjects, by twelv good and lawful knights. $\dagger$ 'The' Saxon laws are more explicit. " Habeantur placita in fingulis wapentachiis, ut exeanzur duodecem thayni et prepofitus cum eis, et jurent fuper fanetuarium, quod eis dabitur in manu, quod neminem innocentem velint accufare, vel noxium concelare." $\ddagger$ Here the law of Ethelred iz explicit in ordaining a court of twelve thayni, thanes or barons, with their prepofitus or prefident, who waz the officer of the hundred. Cowel remarks on this paffage, "that this may feem to intend the number of judges, and not of the jury; but the jury themfelves, in fome cafes, are judges, that iz, they are judges of the fact, and the judge iz bound to giv fentence according to their verdict."

## This

[^60]This writer here fuppofes the thayni to be really jurors and judges; but judges only of the fact. This iz the fundamental error of moft lawyers who hav written on the fubject; they take it for granted, that the diftinction of law and fact waz coeval with the trial by twelv frecholders. Yet a fingle circumftance, mentioned by Cowel in the fame page, with the paffage quoted, might hav undeceeved him, which iz, that " trial by jury waz anciently called duodecem virale judicium," the judgement of twelv men. Their fentence or decifion waz called a judgement; the diftinction between the verdict of a jury, and the judgement of the court, waz unknown in the erly ages of the Saxons; nor can I find it mentioned, till after the conqueft.

This, and fimilar paffages, hav however occafioned much difpute among other Englifh lawjers and awtiquaries. They hav adopted the opinion, that a jury muft confift of twely equal commoners, and cannot explain what iz ment by fummoning twelv thanes. "Brady and Hicks," fays Stuart, "contended that theze thanes were not jurors, but judges or lawyers. Coke and Spelman were of a different opinion." The truth iz, they were both jurors and judges; and a knowlege of the tru primitiv fenfe of one little monoSyllable in our language, would hav unravelled the whole myftery to theze learned enquirers.

The moft ufual word for jurors, in the Saxon laws, iz labmen or lagemen; a word that haz puzzled the law writers, az it feems to meen fomething more than equals; and they hav no idea of any thing in a jury, but equality. Hicks fuppofed them to be judges, "duodeni jure confulti," men verfed in law. Spelman rendered the word, legales bomines, good and lawful men; very inadequate words indeed; but the error haz been copied times without number, and ftill prevails. Labman iz literally a law man, man of the law, a judge. Law waz in a rude ftate, at that period; but the thanes were both lawyers and judges; jure conjulti.* Profeffional

[^61]
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Profeffional diffinctions could not be but little known, amidft an unlettered peeple, who had few pofitiv laws, and fewer records and precedents; and the labmen, the feniores thani, or meliores viri, az they were called, were fummoned at certain times to decide controverfies, according to law, where a law waz provided; otherwife according to their difcretion. The decifions of theze lablmen were held in efteem ; many of them were preferved and handed down by tradition, and I hav no dout, theze, rather than ftatutes, gave, rife to the general and particular cuftoms, which are called the common law of England.*

Coke defines labman to be one, "habens focam et facam fuper homines fuos;" that iz, liberty of holding a court over hiz tenants: Which explanation he quotes from Bracton. "Soke, (or foc) fignificat libertatem curiæ tenentium quam focin appellamus." $\dagger$
temporal courts. § But the thanes were the moft ufual judges in the courts baron. The proper Saxon name of this court waz balimete or balmote, ballmeeting; "Omnis caufa terminetur vel hundredo, vel comitatu, vel balimote, focam habentiam, vel dominorum curia." $\dagger$ And in W. Thorn, Anno $117^{6}$, the judges of this court are exprefsly faid to be thanes, "thanenfes, gui in Halimozo fuo, in Thaneto, omnia fua judicia exerceri," (debent.) Selden, chap. 47, mentions a law of Henry I, which recites a cuftom of that time, by which "the tifbops and erls, with other the cheef men of the county, were prefent in the county court az affiffants in directory of judgement." Nothing can be more explicit. And altho Selden, in a paffage hereafter quoted, mentions a compromife between Gunthrune, the Dane, and the Saxon king, that men of a rank inferior to lords mould be tried by their equals, yet this inferior rank could extend only to freenen; for others were never admitted upon juries.
*"And the fheriffs and bailiffs caufed the free tenants of their bailiwicks to meet at the counties and hundreds, at which juftice waz fo done, that every one fo judged hiz nabor by fuch judgement az a nan could not elfewhere receev in the like cales, until fucly times az the cuftoms of the relm were put in writing, and certainly eftablified."-Mirror. chap 1 . fect. 3.

+ Fleta. lib. I. c. $4 \%$
§ See Selpen, tit Sax. Bifops.

This word iz found in domefday and in the laws of Edward the confeffor. Cowel quotes a paffage from an ancient book, where. Ulvet, the Son of Forno, iz called lagaman of the city of York, where, he fays, it doutlefs fignified fome cheef officer, az judge or recorder. Thoze who had focam et facam, or jurifdiction over the perfons and eftates of their tenants, were the thanes or barons ; and this iz agreed by Lambard, Somner, Coke, Cowel, and moft writers on law.* Lambard, whoze authority iz very refpectable, fpeeks of a jury thus: "In fingulis Centuriis comitia funto, atque liberæ conditionis viri dưodeni xtate fuperiores unà cum prepofito, facra tenentes juranto, \&cc." Of a jury per medietatem leriqua, he fays, "Viri dacodeni jure confultit, Anglix fex, Wallix totidem, Anglis et Wallis jus dicunto." Fol. 91. 3. Here Lambard not on! y defribes jurors az men of free condition and refpectable for agc, but az jure conjulti, the juldges of the court ; and jus dicunto ; they were men who adminittered law and juftice. This, it appeers from all ancient teftimonies, waz the uniform practice among the Saxons. The jurors were twelv thancs or men of free condition; labmen, jure confulti, or judges, and conftituted the court ; with the prepofitus, or proper officer of the diftrict, az their prefident, who fat az the deputy of the erl, in the county court ; the deputy of the lord of the manor, in the court baron; or az the cheef magiftrate of the hundred. And one fource of error in underfanding this ancient inflitution, haz been the wrong tranlation of labman, by Spelman and others, who rendered the word, legalis homo; a good and lawful man. The meening iz not fo indefinit az a lawfful man, which could not be redily underffood or explained. Rude nations do not deal in fuch vague ideas.
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ideas. The meening iz, man of lav, whoze bufineft it waz to know the law and adminifter juftice.*

But if we fuppofe 'the word to meen legalis homo, and that the only requifit in a juror, iz freedom; or that he fhould be liber bomo; this would exclude 2 vaft proportion of the Englifh nation from the privilege. I know that Magna Charta repeetedly mentions theze freemen, liberos bomines, and fecures to them certain rights, among which iz, trial per pares fuos, which I fuppofe to hav been originally, by their judges; altho at this period, the idea of equality in the condition of judges might hav prevailed : And indeed the freemen were moifly tried by men of equal rank. I am fenfible alfo that the modern conftruction of Magna Charta extends this privilege to every man in the relm of England; omnis liber homo iz faid to comprehend every Englifh fubject. I rejoice that by the ftruggles of a brave peeple, this conftruction of that compact haz actually
*Selden waz forced to confefs the jure confrilii and atate fuperiores, fo often mentioned in the Saxon laws, az compoling the homage or jury of twelv, to hav been cheef men both for experience and knowlege. To fuch as fumble at this conceet, as he expreffes it, he remarks that the work of jurors requires them to be cheef men, az they judge of matter of faEZ; (a reezon drawn from the modern notions of jurymen's province.). And be adds, the jurors, who were co-affelfors, with the bifhop or Theriff in the court, were feeted in the moft eminent place, and might hav held it to this day, az they do in Sweden, had the cheef men ftill holden the fervice. But the great became negligent of fuch public duties, and left the bulinefs to thoze of 2 meener condition, who would not or durft not take the bench; and therefore took their feets on the floor-(took feparate feets.) He fays further, that the Danes, on their fettlement in England, would not affociate with men of this condition; fo that a compromife took place between Alfred, the Saxon king, and Gunthrune, the Dane, by which it waz decreed, that a Jord or baron fhould be tried by twelv lords, and one of inferior rank, by eleven of his equals and one lord. This waz in the cale of homicide only ; tho afterwards the law might extend to other cafes and civil fuits. By hiz own account of the matter, this writer fuppofes the trial by twelv waz originally. a trial by the cheef men, (ibanes labmen) and the idea of equality? was never fuggefled in the practice till the ninth or tenth cen-
actually taken effect in a confiderable degree. But I cannot think all the Englifh nation were comprehended in the words of the infrument ; or that the privilege of trial by peers waz extended, or ment to be extended, to all the peeple. Magna Charta waz merely a convention between the king and hiz barons, affembled at Runing-mead; and the laboring part of the peeple, debafed by fervitude under an oppreffiv ariftocracy, feem hardly to hav been in the contemplation of the parties. The villeins, ruftics, or tenants at will, who probably compofed a majority of the peeple, had one privilege indeed fecured to them : It waz ftipulated that they hould not be deprived, by fine, of their carts, plows, and other inftruments of hufbandry; that iz, they fhould not be deprived of the meens of Jaboring for their mafters. Further than this, a large proportion of the Englifh were not noticed in Mag-
tury. But juries exifted at courts for centuries before ; and the word peers iz acknowleged to hat had its origin on the continent, where it fignified the lords or members of the high court inftituted by Charlemagne. In modern ufe, trial by peers iz trial by equals generally; for men are mofty become freemen and landholders; but this waz not the prinitiv practice; nor was equality the bafis of the inftitution. Even if we fuppofe the word peer to hav fignified equal, as uzed originally on the continent, it extended no privilege on that account to the body of the nations where it waz ufed; for it ment only the kings equals, hiz comites, hiz dukes, erls and barons, among whom he waz merely primus ineer pares. In England Bracton, who wrote under Henry III, declates the king waz confidered in this light; and that the se erls and barons are his affociates, who ought to bridle him, when the law does not. ${ }^{\circ *}$ The tourts then which Charlemagne inftituted in France and Gerd many, confifted merely of the kings peers or equals; and in theze countries, the courts remain moftiy on the ancient foot. ing $;$ fo that none but the nobility can be tried by their equals. In this fenfe of the word therefore juries were not ufed in England, till the compromife between Alfred and Gunthrune, about the year 900 . Before that period, the jurors were not called or confidered az equals; but they were thanes, jure confulti, labmen and clergymen. A diftinction afterwards took place, and lords were tried by sbeir equals, and commoners by sbeirs.

[^63]na Charta, but were confidered az a part of their loid? property, and transferable, like moveables, at their-plez: ure.

The freemen, or thoze claffes of peeple which came within the defeription of liberi bomines in that fanous convention, were the nobility and clergy tenants in eapite, or fuch at moft az had a life eftate in lands, and could ferve on juries. The lazzi, villeins, or\}modern copyholders, were not at that time capable of ferving ; they were below the rank of freemen; they had not the right of trial by peers, even in the common acceptation of the word; nor were they admitted to the privilege till the reigr of Richard III. Multitudes of them are not peers of the commons, even on the principle of equal fuffrage, for they hav not the property requifit to qualify them for the privilege of voting at elections. BlackItone's affertion therefore, that every.fabject of the kingdom haz a right by Magna Charta, to trial by hiz equals, cannot be tru, for vaft numbers of the nations are not, and never were, entitled to be jurors. - But in the ienfe I underfland and hav explained the word, every man haz a right to trial by biz peers 3 , that iz, by frecholders of the vicinity, who are bis judses. The propricty of calling them biz judges, pares fuos, iz difcovered in the gradation of courts eftablifhed in England. The peers of the relm, or barons, were originally the fuitors or judges in the kings court, where alone the nobility were tried; hence the barons were always tried by their judges, pares fuos. The clergy, the thanes of the lower class, or other freeholders who had life eftates in lands, were the fuiters in the courts of the counties, the hundreds and manors. Theze were the judges of theze courts, and called peet's. The frecmen might be faid to be tried by their equals ; but the villeins were not ; yet both were tried by their peers ; that iz, by the peers of theze inferior courts, who were exclufivly the judges.*

## From

[^64]From what haz been advanced on this fubject, if we may rely on fubftantial authorities, and at leeft probable etymologies, the following conclufions may be fafely deduced. That in ancient Germany, the prins cipes pagorum et regionum, with a certain number of affiftants, originally $a$ hundred, fometimes twenty four, but commonly twelv, elected by the peeple, (not pro $r$ nata, but for a flated period) formed a council (concilium) for the government of a diftrict : That in their military expeditions, the duces, or generals, had their life guards, or comites, who attached themfelves to the perfon of their cheef, and fought by hiz fide :* That
others, teftify that the folk-mote, peeple's meeting or county court, waz a county parliament, invefted with legillativ or dilcretionary powers in county matters. In theze fmall diftricts, they appeer to hav been competent to decide all controverfies, and make all nedeffary local regulations. The legiflativ, judicial and executiv powers, both civil and ecclefiaftical, were originally blended in the fame council ; the witena-gemote had the powers of a legiflature, of a court of law, and of a court of equity over the whole kingdom, in all matters of great and general concern. But this court waz compofed of lords, bifhops, and majores natu or fapientes, men refpected for their age and lerning, who were of the rank of freemen. All the freemen were bound alfo to do fuit in the lords court, and to attend the folk-mote on the heriffs fummons; but twelw were ufually felected to fit az judges in common cafes.

The vaft powers of the county court, when the freeholders were ali fummoned and actually fat in judgement, may be underfood by two facts. Odo, the conquerors half-brother, and Lanfrank, archbifhop of Canterbury, had a difpute about certain lands and tenements in Kent. The archbifhop petitioned the king, who iffued hiz writ, and fummoned the freemen of the county, to take cognizance of the fuit. After three days trial, the freemen gave judgement for the archbihop, and the decifion waz final.

In like manner, two peers of the relm, a Norman and an Italian, fubmitted a title in fifteen manors, two town/hips, and many liberties, to the freeholders of the county, whofe judgement waz allowed by the king. $\$$

* "Magnaque et comitum æmulatio, quibus primus apud principem fuum locus; et principum, cui plurimi et acerrimi comites." $\dagger$ The princes kept az many of thefe retainers in their fervice


That theze retainers, in fome of the Teutonic dialect: on the continent, were called barons, az, they were called thanes by the Saxons in England : That after the irsuption of the northern nations into the fouth of Europe, the conquered lands were divided among the great officers and their retainers, az fees or ftipendiary teuds, on the honorable tenure of military fervice : ' Chat the princes, erls and barons, hav been, from time immemorial, the affittant judges in the kings courts, and eech of them, z cheef judge, with power of holding courts; on hiz own demefnes : That parliaments on the contiment were affemblies of berons, and originally courts of jujtice, az they are ftill in France: That the word peers waz firtt ufed on the continent, to denote the members of this fupreme judicial court, and in its primitiv fenfe, az derived from bar or par, it fignified frcemen or landholders; and thence came to denote judges, who were originally the proprietors of lands or manors: That this latter fenfe iz its tru meening, whether applied to the houfe of lords or to a common jury, who were anciently the judges of the inferior courts, and are ftill, in many cafes, judges of law az well az fact, notwithfanding the modern diftinction, which haz taken place in confequence of an extenfiv and taflly complicated fyftem of jurifprudence : That the houfe of lords in England retains the primitiv fenfe of the word pecrs, az well az the original right of judging in the laft refort, and this houfe alone iz a parliament, according to the ancient meening of the word on the continent: That the freemen mentioned in Magna Charta and all the old law writers, were thoze who held their lands by honorable fervice, for term of life, or had eftates of inheritance ; and that the lazzi, villiens or bondmen, who conftituted the major part of the nation, were not compreliended under the words liberi bomincs, were not entitled to be jurors themfelves, and confequently could not be tried by their equals: That the twelv jurors
among
fervice in time of peace, az they could fupport. "Haec dignitas, he vircs, magno femper eleftorum juvenum globo circumdari, in pace, decus, in bello, prafidium. ibim."
among the Saxons were the cheef men of the county and judges: That the idea of equality in the jurors or judges waz introduced by the pride of the nobility, and the humble condition of their tenants, under the invidious diftinetions of ranks created by the feudal fyftem: That this idea however haz been the meens of preferving the rights of both in England; while the nations on the continent, having been lefs fuccelsful in their ftruggles, and not having wrefted the right of judging from the barons, the original peers or proprietors of that right, hav not acquired a privilege, ineftimable in a country where diftinctions of rank prevail, and do not enjoy the bleflings of equal liberty: That this privilege haz been confiderably extended in England, by the abolition of military tenures, and the diffufion of property among the commons: But that America haz given the privilege its utmoft extenfion, by making laws of inheritance that enable every man to be a freeholder; thus reducing the Englifh theory to practice, and entitling every man literally to the right of trial by biz equals.

How far theze conclufions are fupported by the foregoing authorities and arguments, every reeder will judge for himfelf. I hav ventured my opinions with my. ufual franknefs, in oppofition to thoze of the fages of the law, which hav been receeved for centuries. The vaft weight of authority, and long eftablifhed prepoffeffions of men in favor of a different theory, make me diffident of my own opinions on this fuhject ; but there are many pafliges in ancient law writings, and many cuftoms and laws ftill exifting in the Englifh conftitution and government, which I cannot explain and reconcile on any other hypotheis.

The excellence of trial by peers, in ancient times, appeers to me to hav confifted in this; that twelv indifferent men of the naborhood, with the power of judges, were the guardians of life and property againft the rapacity of the lord of the manor or hiz deputy. It iz a fact well known that geriffs, the deputies of the erls, were in feveral counties hereditary officers; but when
they were not, they had almof unlimitted powers irs the fhire, which they often abufed to oppref's the peeple. Under the feudal fyltem they appeer to hav been almof abfolute tyrants; and the undue exercife of their powers, probably gave rife to thoze articles of Magna Charta, which declare, that " no freeman fhall be taken, imprifoned, or difeized of hiz freehold, liberties, or free cuftoms, but by the lawful judgement of hiz peers, or by legal procefs; that fheriffs fhould not hold county courts above once a month; that theriffs, caftelians, coroners, and kings bailiffs, fhould be reftrained from holding pleas of the crown; that theriffs, who had the management of the crown revenues, within their feveral diftriets, fhould not raize the farms of counties, hundreds and tythes, according to their plezure." Theze provifions were evidentiy defigned to remedy aftual evils; the violence and ufurpations of the executiv officers, who ahted under the king, or the great lords, with powers almof uncontrolled.* Againft fuch perty tyrants, the revival or confirmation of the right of trial by twelv freeholders of the vicinage, mult hav been a capital fecurity: But freeholders alone could be impannelled on a jury ; fieebolders alone could be difeized of frecholds; confequently the privilege of being tried by equals, could extend to freeholders only. With refpet to all others, the excellence of the inftitution could not confift in the equality of condition in the jurors; but in having twelv fubftantial freemen, impartial, independent men, unaccuftomed to opprefifion, to check and control the minifters of ju.ftice.

Since the feparation of court and jury, law and fact, juries, in civil cafes, hav become of lefs confequence.

[^65]Judges are appointed by the reprefentativs of the peeple, ether in legiflatuie or fome other form, and are removeable for mifbehavior. They are ufually az good judges of fact as a jury, and better judges of law. One flate* haz a fatute empowering the parties to fubmit fact az well az law to the court. This places the court on its Saxon inflitution, except az to the rumber of judges. It iz alfo a common practice for the parties to agree on the facts, and fubmit the law to the court. The practice fuperfedes a jury. On commercial queftions an ordinary jury are altogether unfit to decide ; they are incompetent judges, becaufe commerce iz regulated by peculiar laws, beft known by merchants. Hence the inflitution of chambers of commerce, and the practice of referring caufes to arbitrators of the mercantile profeffion.

But the principal valu and excellence of juries are preferved in criminal caufes. Judges, by long cuftom, become hardened in the bufinefs of condemning, and may fometimes pronounce fentence, which, even when legal, may be unneceffary. Jurors, lefs accuftomed to the cruel tark, retain thoze feelings which fometimes pleed againft evidence, in favor of humanity, and foften the rigor of penal laws.

I fhall cloze the ze remarks with two quotations from very refpectable authors.

What Camden haz collected concerning the word baron, ferves to illuftrate and confirin my opinions on this fubject; and the reeder will be pleezed with the following paffage from his Britannia, Vol. I. page 238.
"Among the greater nobility, the barons hav next place. And here, tho I am not ignorant what the lerned write concerning the fignification of this word in Cicero ; yet I am willing to cloze with the opinion of Ifidore, and of an ancient grammarian, who will hav barons to be mercenary foldiers. This feems to be pretty plain from that known place of Hirtius in the Alexandrian war; "they run to the affitance of Caffius; for he always ufed to hav barons, and a good number

[^66]
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 ORIGIN of DOMESDAY, E®\%number of foldiers for fudden occafions, with theif weapons reddy, about him, and feparate from the reft.' Nor iz the old Latin and Greek Gloffary againft us, when it trannlates baro by cune, a man; az always in the laws of the Longobards, baro iz ufed for a man.

The etymologies of this name which fome hav fancied, do not by any meens pleafe me. The French heralds will hav barons to be fo called from par-hommes in French; that iz, of equal dignity ; the Englifh lawyers fay it iz from robora belli, the finews of war ; fome Germans think it a contraction of banner-heirs, i. e. ftandard bearers ; and Ifidore derives it from bareis, i. e. grave or weighty. Alciatus thinks the name comes from the berones, an ancient peeple of Spain, which he fays were formerly ftipendiaries ; but that other, from the German bar, i. c. a free man, pleezes me better.

The precife time when this name came into our inland, I hav not yet difcovered : The Britons difown it ; and there iz not the leeft mention made of it in the Saxon laws, nor iz it reckoned in Alfrick's Gloffary among the titles of honor; for there, dominus iz tranflated laford, which we hav contracted into lord. And among the Danes, the free lords, fuch az our barons are at this day, were called thanes, and (and az Andreas Velleius tells us) are termed fo fill. In Burgundy, the ufe of this name iz very ancient ;* for Gregory of Tours fays thus, " the barons of Burgundy, az well bifhops az others of the laity, \&c." The firlt mention of a baron in England, that I hav met with, iz in a fragment of the laws of Canutus, king of England and Denmark, and even there, according to different copies, it iz read vironus, baronus, and thani. But that the barons are there ment, iz plain from the laws of Willian the conqueror; in which that word in the laws of Canutus iz tranflated by baro. Take the whole paffage. "Let the exercitals $\dagger$ be fo moderated, az to be tolerable. An erl thall provide fuch things az are fitting, eight horfes, four faddled and four unfaddled; four

[^67]four ftecl caps, and four coats of mail ; eight javelins,* and az many fhields; four fwords, and two hundred mancae $\dagger$ of goid. But a kings viron or baron, who iz next to him, thall hav four horfes, two faddled and two unfaddled; two fwords, four javelins, and az many Shields, one ftel cap, and fifty mancae of gold."

In the beginning of the Norman times, the valvafors and thanes were reckoned in order and dignity, next to the erls and barons, and the greater valvalors (if we may beleev thoze who hav written concerning feudal tenures) were the fame that barons are now. So that baro may feem to hav come from that name; which time inaz, by little and little, made fomewhat finoother. But even then it was waz not a title of any great honor ; for in thoze times there were erls who had the barons under them: And I remember, I hav red in, the ancient conftitutions of France, that there were ten barons under one erl, and az many cheeftans $\ddagger$ under a baron. It iz likewife certain, that there are charters fince the Norman conqueft, wherein the erls write thus: "To all my barons, az well French az Englifh, greeting, \&c." Nay, even citizens of the better rank were called barons; fo in domefday book the citizens of Warwick are ftiled barons; and the citizens of London, with the inhabitants of the cinque ports, had the fame title given them. But a few years after, az fenators of Rome were chofen according to their eflates, fo they were accounted barons with us, who held their lands by an entire barony, or thirteen knights fees, and one third of a knights fee, every fee (az we hav had it in ancient book) being computed at twenty-pounds, which in all make four hundred marks ; for that waz the value of one entire barony ; and they who had land and revenues to this value, were wont to be fummoned to parliament. It feems to bav been a dignity, with juri/diifion, which our court-barons, in fome mezure fhow. § And the great number of barons iz an argument that they were fuch lords who could

[^68]bold pleaz within their own jurijdiciion, (like thoze whom the Germans call free-heirs) efpecially if they had their caftles; for then they anfwered the definition of Baldus, the famous lawyer, who calls him a baron, that had a mere and mixt government in fome cafte, by the grant of the prince. And (az fome would hav it) all who held baronies, feem to hav claimed that honor ; fo that fome of our lawyers think, that baron and barony, erl and erldom, duke and dukedom, king and kingdom, were in the nature of conjugates. It iz certain, that in that age, king Henry III, reckoned one hundred and fifty baronies in England. From hence it iz, that in the charters and hiltories of that age, almoft all noblemen are ftiled barons; a name, which in thoze times waz exceeding honorable ; the baronage of England including in a manner all the prime orders of the kingdom, dukes, marquiffes, erls and barons.

But that name haz been much more honcrable fince king Henry III, out of fuch a multitude, which waz feditious and turbulent, fummoned to parliament by writ, fome of the beft* only; " for he," (the words are taken out of an author of confiderable antiquity) " after thoze great difturbances and heart-burnings between himfelf, Simon de Montefort, and other barons, were laid; appointed and ordained, that all fuch erls and barons of the kingom of England, to whom the king fhould vouchfafe to direct hiz writs of fummons, fhould come to hiz parliament, and no others, unlels their lord the king thould pleeze to direct other writs to them alfo." And what he began a little before hiz deth, waz ftrictly obferved by Edward the I, and hiz fucceffors. From that time they were only looked on as barons of the kingdom, whom the king by fuch writs of fummons had called to parliament; until Richard the II, in the eleventh year of hiz, reign, created John de Beauchamp of Holt, baron of Herderminfter, by the delivery of a diploma, bearing date the tenth of October. From which time, the kings hav often confer-

[^69]red that honor by diploma, (or rather honorary letters) and the putting on of an honorary long robe. And that way of creating barons by diploma, and the other of writs of fummons, are in ufe at this day; tho they are mentioned therein not by the name of baron, but of chevalier. They who are thus created, are called barons of parliament, barons of the kingdom, and barons honorary, to difiinguith them from thoze $u$ ho are commonly called barons according to the ancient conftitution; az thoze of Burford and Walton, and fuch az were barons to the counts Palatine of Chefter, and of Penbroch, who were feudal, and barons by tenure only.'

This account of Camden's, iz alone fufficient to convince me, that my opinions are right refpecting the origin and dignification of the word baron. But this author cleerly miftakes the meening in the pafiage quoted from Hirtius. "Caffius ufed to hav barons, and a good number of foldiers, for fudden occafions." Infted of mercenary foldiers, barons here imeens the comites, retainers, who were chofen men, and who ferv ed their cheef voluntarily. Theze attached themfelves to the perfon of the cheef, az a military guard; at the fame time, they ferved to gratify the pride of the hero: Hæc dignitas, hæ vires, fays Tacitus.

I hav before remarked that it iz probable bar and vir are the fame word. Camden tells us, the Greek Gloffary tranflates baro by aime, and in the laws of William, the Norman, the vironus, baronus and thanus, found in the laws of Canute, are tranflated by baron or viron. $B$ and $v$ are convertible letters, and theze facts amount to a convincing proof that bar and wir are the fame word, or from the fame root. The progrefs of the word iz this. Firt it denoted a man or hurband, vir ; afterwards a freeman or proprietor of land, bar, baron, viron; in proportion az the valu of lands encreefed in Europe, the proprietors acquired welth and influence; they claimed exclufiv judicial powers on their manors, and thus the words baron and feer came to fignify judge. Under the feudal fyftem, theze bar-
ons became princes on their territories, fubordinate only to the king or lord paramount. Power attends property, and theze barons finally affumed the right of controlling kings, and trairpling on their tenants. Where the barons and princes counbined, they eftablifhed defpotic anthority over the peeple; when they quarrelled, one party or the other had recourfe to the commons. for affiftance, and waz compelled to grant them confiderable privileges.

The foregoing explanation of baron iz confirmed by another fact now exifting. In law, a bufand iz called baron to this day, baron and fomme, hurband and wife. Agreeable to this idea, the terms ufed in ancient infeudations by the tenant or vaffal, were, devenio vefer homs; I become your man; that iz, your baron, in the feudal fenfe of the word. And a jury, in conformity with the fame idea, were anciently called bomagrum, the homage, or manhood ; that iz, a court of berions, landholders or free tenants.

I would only remark further, that Camden iz probably miftaken in faying the Britons difown the word baron. In Welłh, barn fignifies a judge, and there can be little dout that the word iz from the fame original ; being written without the vowel 0 , agreeable to the Hebrew manner.

Different nations are more or lefs inclined to uze the vocal founds and afpirates, according to the different genius of their languages. So in Irifh the word waz pronounced with an a!pirate, barbon, or brehon; for there iz little room to dout this old Irifh word iz from the fame root. At the time of the conqueft of Ireland by Heriry II, the Irifh were governed by the brebon law, fo ftiled from lrehon, the Irifh name of judges.* We are alfo told that the ancient lrith had a cultom of deciding caules by twelv men $\dagger$; and authors teftify that the fame practice exifted in ancient Britain. $\ddagger$ Their decifion iz called by the erly writers, duodecem virale judicium. In fhort the univerfality of
this

* Blackftone Com. Vol. I. 100.
$\ddagger$ Lelands Introd. to Hift. of Ireland. $\ddagger$ L. L. Hoeli.
this word and the trial by twelv, iz a ftrong proof, that all the nations of Europe fprang from a common stock.*

Sir William Temple derives barons from the Ruffian briarons, and luppofes the word to be of Gothic original. Hiz only inaccuracy iz, that he takes a modern derivativ for the primitiv root ; whereas the Ruffian boiarons itfelf iz derived from bar, az wel az baron. The authority of this judicious and lerned writer wil however contirm what I hav advanced in the foregoing pages; I thal therefore cloze my remarks with a paffage from hiz works, vol. III, 363 .
" 1 know very well how much critic haz been employed by the moft lerned, az Erafmus, Selden, Spelman, az well az many others, about the two words baro and feudum; and how much pains hav been taken to deduce them from the Latin and Greek, and even the Hebrew and Egyptian tungs ; but I find no reezon, after all they hav faid, to make any doubt of their having been both the original of the Gothic or northern language; or of barons having been a term of dignity, of command, or of honor, among them, and feudum of a foldier's thare of land. I find the firt ufed abuv eight hundred years ago, in the verfes inentioned of king Lodbrog, when one of hiz exploits waz to hav conquered eight barons. And tho fees or feuda were in ufe under later Roman emperors, yet they were defived from the Gothic cuftoms, after fo great numbers of thoze nations were introduced into the Roman armies. Az to the word baro, it iz not, that I find, at all agreed among the lerned, from whence to derive it ; but what that term imports, it iz eafy to colleç from their feveral accounts, and confirm by what fil remains in all the conflitutions of the Gothic government. For tho by barons are now ment in England fuch az are created by patent, and thereby called to the houfe of lords ; and baron in Spanifh fignifies only a man of worth or note, and the quality denoted by that title be different in the feveral countries of Chriftendom; yet there

[^70]there iz no queffion, but they were originally fuch perfons az, upon the conqueft of any country, were, by the conquering prince, invefted in the poffeffion of certain tracts or proportions of free lands, or at leett az they held by no other tenure but that of military fervice, or attendance upon their prince in war with a certain number of armed men. Theze in Germany, France, Scotland, feem to hav had, and fome ftil to retain, a fovereign power in their territories, by the exercife of what iz called high and low ju:tice, or the power of judging criminal az well az civil caufes, and infliting eapital punifhments. But I hav not found any thing of this kind recorded in England, tho the great barons had not only great number of knights, but even petty barons holding under them.

I think the whole relm of England waz, by William the conqueror, divided into baronies,* however the diftinctions may hav been long fince worn out ; but in Ireland they fill remain, and every county there iz divided into fo many baronies, which feem to hav been the thares of the firft barons. And fuch as theze great proprietors of land, compofed, in all the north weft regions (of Europe) one part of the ftates (eftates general) of the country or kingdom."

Sir William Temple proceeds then to giv hiz conjeftures refpeating the origin of the word baron. He remarks that Guagini, in hiz defcription of Sarmatia, printed in 1581 , calls all thoze perfons who were cheef pofliffors of lands and dignities, next to the prince, duke or palatine, in the vaft empire of Mufcovy, by the common appellation of boiarons, now contracted into boiars. From this he fuppofes baron to be derived. It iz however much more probable that baron and boiaron had a common root in fome period of remote antiquity ; which afterwards fpread into all parts of Europe.

## With

[^71]With refpeet to trial by jury, Sir William remarks, Vol. III. r 30 , that this waz undoutedly of Saxon inftitution, and continued thro all the revolutions in England. He fays there are fome traces of it in the firlt inflitutions of Odin, the firft great leeder of the Afiatic Goths or Getæ into Eurape. He mentions the council of twelv, éftablifhed by Odin, and thinks it probable sheze twelv men were at firlt both judges and jurors; that iz, they were a court of arbitrators or referees, az we fhould now flyle them, empowered to decide all caules according to equitable principles and the circumftances of each cale ; and their determinations afterwards grew into precedent for their fucceffors. In procefs of time and multiplicity of bufinefs, the matter of fact continued to be tried by twelv men of the naborhood ; but the adjudgement of punithment and the fentence waz committed to one or two perfons of lerning or knowlege in the ancient cuftoms, records and traditions. Thus, he obferves, in the Saxon reigns, caufes were adjudged by the aldermen and bifhop of the feveral fhires, with the affiftance of twelv men of the fame county, who are faid to hav been judges or affiftants. He allows, the terms jury and verdit were introduced by the Normans; but afferts very juftly that trials by twelv men, with that circumftance of their unanimous agreement, were ufed not only among the Savons and Normans, but are known to hav been az ancient in Sweden, az any records or traditions in the kingdom; and the practice remained in fome provinces of that country, til the late revolution.

## POSTSCRIPT.

ON further examination of this fubjeft, I am led to fubjoin the following remarks, which are fupported by the indifiputable authority of Glanville and Bracton.

1 hav before fuggefted that the Saxons, prior to the conqueft, conducted moft of their important affairs in the county or heriffs court, where all the free tenants
were bound to attend. 'Theze free tenants confifted of the leffer barons, the knights and fokemen, or foccage tenants who had freehold eftates. Theze freeholders, were, by the nature of their eftates, the pares curtis; they were the proper and fole judyes of all caufes triable at the county court, which included almoft all civil actions, and they were denominated in Saxon, labmen, lawmen. The county court, thus compofed of all the frecholders in the fhire, waz a tribunal of great confequence, and inferior only to the witena-gemote, or national affembly. The Latin riters called theze freemen pares curtis and fcelatores, peers of court and fuitors. Curtis iz a Saxon word latinized,* like warrantizo murdrum, and hundreds of other law terms; and there iz little dout that pares iz a word of fimilar origin.

But what places the point I would eftabliith, beyond controverfy, iz, the pares curtis were in fact of different ranks. The knights or leffer barons, az well az the common foccage tenants, were included in the term pares curtis; for they were bound to do fuit and fervice in the court of the lord paramount. Another fact iz of equal weight in the argument : Theze pares, in the county court, tried all real actions between the nobility. In the caufe of Odo, Bifhop of Bayeux, and archbifhop Lanfranc, in the reign of William the conqueror, the king dirested totum Comitatum conjidere. Many fimilar inftances might be cited, were it neceffary. Theze noblemen wcre tried by the pares curtis, the peers of the county court ; but who ever faid they were tried by their equals?

The Norman princes attempted to difcountenance theze fhire motes of the Saxons, and fubftitute the trial of facts by twelv juratores, men fworn to fpeek the truth. In the reign of Henry II, the trial by jurors had become com:non, if not general. Queftions of feifin ware tried by twelv common freeholders; but queltinns of right were tried by twelv knights; the theriff fummoning four knights who elected the twelv. I would

[^72]I would here remark that the principal original reezon for fummoning freeholders of the vicinage, waz that of their fuppofed perfonal knowlege of the fact in difpute. The jurors were properly the witne/fes. This iz evident from circumftances and from the pofitiv teftimony of the erly law-riters. The firf mention of a proper jury, in any public act, iz in the conflitutions of Clarendon, 1164 , where the theriff iz directed, quìd faciat jurare duodecim legales bomines de vicineto, Jeu de villa, quòd inde veritatem fecundum confcientiam fuam manifefabunt. It iz faid in old writers that the jury $m u / t$ Jpeek the truth, if they know it. If the twelv men firft fummoned knew the truth, they were compelled to declare it, under the penalty of perjury. If fome knew the facts and others did not, the latter were difmiffed and others fummoned, till twelv were found who knew the facts, ether by what they had feen and heerd themfelves, or from fuch teftimony of their fathers and others, az gained full credit.

Without attending to juries in this light, the laws refpecting them appeer beyond meafure abfurd and $t y$ rannical. Their being fzorn to fpeek the truth, would be abfurd on any other ground; for had they judged of facts on teffiinony, they would hav been fworn to declare their opinion, and not the truth. Their verdit? vere dicfum, derives its name and propriety from the fame circumftance; and the prefent practice of fwearing them to "a tru verdict giv," when they judge of facts only by the perhaps contradifory teflimony of feveral witneffes, iz, ftrielly fpeeking, abfurd.

The keeping juries, without meet, drink or fire, can be accounted for only on the fame idea; it waz a method to compel an agreement among men, who were acquainted with facts, fome of whom might at times be obftinate, and not willing to difclofe them. But how ridiculous would it be to punifh men for not agreeing in opinion, about what others teftified!
All this iz ftill more evident from the manner in which many queftions refpefting real eftates were afo cettained and determined. It waz cuffomary for the jurors,
jurors, after they were chofen, to go upon the land to find the tru ftate of the fact in queltion, and then deliver their verdiet. Hence the propriety of the expreffion in clofing iffues; and this be prays may be enquired of by the country.

I would obferve further, that the reezon, why appeels from the yerdict of a jury were not allowed, iz fimply this, that the jurors were fuppofed to hav decided from their own knowlege. It waz certainly a wife provifion that the folemn declaration of men under oath, living in the naborhood, and eye or eer witnefles of the recent tranfactions between the parties, fhould not be overthrown by other teftimony; for all other evidence muft hav neceffarily been of an inferior nature. But the reezon haz ceefed, and there iz now nothing more facred in the verdict of a jury, given on the teftimony of others, than there iz in the opinions of arbitrators, referees or auditors under oath. The laws refpecting juries are all founded on the idea that the men were acquainted with the facts in difpute. Their verdict waz formerly a declaration of facts; it iz now a mere matior of opinion. In thort, the original defign of the inftitution iz totally changed, and moftly fuperfeded. Since juries rely on teftimony, they need not be collected from the vicinage; it iz even fafer to hav men who are ftrangers to both plaintiff and defendant. Jurors cannot be punifhed for perjury, for how can a man perjure himfelf in giving hiz opinion? They cannot be ftarved to deth, nor carted about town for difagreement ; for how iz it poffible for twelv men always to think alike, when they hav to form their opinions on ciafhing teftimonies? In thort, juries do not now anfwer one of the purpofes for which they were at firit inftituted ; and however necelfiry they may be deemed to the prefervation of civil liberty, it appeers to me they are, in a great meafure, ufelefs.
I cannot leev this fubject without remarking the influence of habit, in maintaining forms, when the fubflance no longer exifts. 'This iz neerly the cafe with the whole inftitution of juries; but particularly in the
manner of adminiffering the oath to them. The practice of fwearing the foreman and the other jurors feparately, ftill exifts in fome of theze Itates, altho the reezon no longer remains. It originated in the manner of delivering the verdict, which waz, for every juror feparately to anfwer the interrogatories of the judge. While this practice remained, it waz very proper that eech juror fhould take a feparate oath ; altho this formality iz difpenfed with, in adminiftering the oath to witneffes, in modern courts; the words, "you and rech of you fwear," being fubstituted for a feparate adminiftration of the oath.

## No. XXIV.

HARTFORD, SEPTEMBER, 1789.

## The INJUSTICE, ABSURDITY, and BAD POLICY of LAWS againft USURY.

USURY, in the primitiv fenfe of the word, fignifies any compenfation given fot the ufe of money; but in modern legal acceptation, it iz the taking an exorbitant fum for the ufe of money; or a fum beyond what iz permitted by law. The municipal laws of different ftates and kingdoms hay fixed different rates of intereft ; fo that what iz ufury in one country or ftate, iz legal intereft in another. The propriety of fuch laws iz here called in queftion.
I. It iz prefumed that fuch laws are unjuf. Money iz a fpecies of commercial property, in which a man haz az complete ownerhip, az in any other chattel intereft. He haz therefore the fame natural right to exercife every af of ownerhip upon money, az upon any other perfonal effate ; and it iz contended, he ought to hav the fame civil and political right. He ought to hav the fame right to trade with money az with goods; to fell, to loan and exchange it to any advantage whatever, provided there iz no fraud in the hufinefs, and the minds of the parties mect in the contracts. The legillature haz no right to interfere with private contracts, and fay that a man fhall make no more than a certain profit per cent on the fale of hiz goods, or limit the rent of hiz houfe to the annual fum of forty pounds. This pofition iz admitted for felf evident, az it refpects every thing but money; and it muft extend to money alfo, unlefs it can be proved that the privilege of ufing money in trade or otherwife without reftraint, and making what profit a man iz able by fair contract, with gold and filver, az well az with houfes and lands, will produce fome great public inconveni-
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ence, which will warrant the fate in laying the ufe of fuch gold and filver under certain reftrictions.*

The only reezon commonly given for limiting the intereft of money by law, iz, that monied men will otherwife take advantage of the diftrefies of the poor and needy, to extort from them exorbitant intereft. Admit the propofition in its utmoft latitude, and it furnifhes no argument in favor of the reftraint, becaufe the reftraint iz no remedy for the evil. On the other hand, it generally increafes the evil; for when the law forbids a man to take more than fix per cent. for the ufe of hiz money, it, at the fame time, leevs him the right of withholding hiz money from hiz diftreffed nabor, and actually lays before him the frongeft motivs for withholding it. The law tuches the pride of a man, by reftraining what he deems an unalienable right, and this confideration, added to a certainty of employing hiz money to greater advantage, impels the man to turn a deef eer to hiz nabors calamities, when he would be otherwife difpofed to afford relief. 'The law therefore, fo far from furniming a remedy, actually doubles the evil.

To proov this affertion more cleerly, let me call the attention of my reeders to facts within their knowlege. Every man knows that there are perfons in every flate, who, thro imprudence, idlenels or misfortune, become - involved, and unable to pay their dets when du. Theze perfons feldom make provifion for difcharging their dets, till they are preffed by their creditors. When they are urged by juft demands or legal procefs, they

[^73]
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 The IN JUSTICE, छ゙\%they are under a neceffity of raifing money inmediate ${ }^{2}$ iy: But money iz fearce; it iz in a few men's hands, who will not pay the full valu of lands or perfonal eftate. The . poor detor iz then obliged to fell hiz farm or hiz cattle, or both, at private fale or at auftion, for any price they will fetch, which iz commonly but a fmall part of the valu. Now, if the detor could hav borrowed a fum of money, at ten, fifteen, or even twenty per cent. he might hav been a gainer by the loan; for by being prohibited by law from borrowing money, at a high intereft, he haz been obliged to facrifice twenty, perhaps fifty or a hundred per cent. Laws againft ufury do not help fuch men; on the contrary they opprefs them. Could fuch men get money even at twenty per cent. they would often be benefited by the loan ; they might fave their eftates and avoid mifery and ruin. A prohibition of high intereft only compels the diftreffed to feek releef by facrificing property in a way not guarded againft by law. Nay, I beg leev to affert that fuch laws are the very meens of producing, fupporting and enriching a hoft of oppreffors in every ftate in America. There are a few men, in every ftate, who are what iz called beforeband; theze men will not loan money at legal intereft, for this very good reezon, they can do better with it, az they fay; and no man can blame another for making the moft profitable ufe of hiz money. Theze men therefore keep their money, till their diftreffed nabor iz forced by det to fell hiz farm ; then iz the time to lay out their money; they get the farm at their own price, which iz generally lefs than half its valu. In moft fates, lands are fold at auction, where they are facrificed; and the poor owner haz all the charges of a legal fuit to pay, az wel az the det; and the land fold for a fmall part of its valu. This iz the common practice, authorized by law; fo that laws againft ufury only create an evil in one way, by endevaring to prevent it in another.

The evil and hard/hips of this law, of felling real eftate on execution, hav been fo great, az to giv rife to 2 different mode of fatisfying executions in Connecli-
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cut. In this ftate, a man's perfon and eftate are both liable for det ; but if the perfonal eftate iz infufficient, the creditor haz hiz election, ether to confine the dettor in prifon, or take hiz lands. But the law, which iz fo far in favor of the creditor, here fteps in to prevent a facrifice of the real property at public fale; and ordains that the creditor fhall take it at a value, which thall be apprized by three indifferent freeholders. This law does injuftice to the creditor ; for it interferes with the contract, and obliges him to take that for pay whicli he did not engage to receev. But it favors the dettor, in a fate where money iz fcarce and cannot be eezily raized on an emergency. So far one law, by doing injuftice to creditors, correets fome of the ill effects of the law againft high intereft in Connesticut ; but the remedy iz partial, for men in diftrels for money; generally fell their eftates at private fale, for one half their valu; and a few monied men and rich farmers are conftantly taking advantage of their nabors calamities, to enrich themfelves. Such men make more than fifty per cent. per ann. on their money by theze fpeculations, and no law can wholly prevent them. Now laws againft ufury create this very evil: They drive money from a country; they create a neceffity for it ; and then a few welthy men enrich themfelves, not by loaning at fifteen or twenty per cent. but by purchafing lands at half price, which are fold to keep men from jail, who, if they could hav got money for a few months, at twenty per cent. might hav fold their eftates to advantage, or otherwife paid their dets. In general then we may obzerv, when a man iz reduced to the receeffity of afking money at twenty per cent, hiz fituation iz fuch that it iz better to giv that intereft, than to rifk a fale of property on a fudden to raize the money. Laws againft ufury do not fave fuch men; it iz idle to fuppofe it ; on the contrary, they multiply inftances of oppreffion, az all America can witnefs.

But the argument, if good, proovs too much. If leg:Ilators hav a right to fix the profit on money at interelt, to prevent exorbitant demaids from injuring the
neceffitous, wil not the fame reezon warrant a reftriction on the profits of every commodity in market? If my rulers hav a right to fay, my annual profit on money loaned, fhal be but fix per cent. hav they not a right to fay the advance on my wheet fhal be but fix per cent. ? Where iz the difference ?.A poor man may indeed be diftreffed by a demand of high intereft, and fo he may by the high price of flour; and I beg leev to fay, that diffrefles from the laft caufe are infinitely the moft numerous, and the moft deferving of legillativ remedies. It wil perhaps be faid that the price of bred, in all eities, iz fixed by law-tru; but if the price of wheet iz not likewife fixed, there are times of fcarcity when the law muft vary the price, or the baker muft le ruined, and the poor be deftitute of bred. In an extenfiv fertile country, like Argerica, fuch cafes may not happen frequently ; but the actual exiftence of the fact proovs that fuch laws rather follow the flate of the market, than regulate it. And indeed it iz a queftion, whether in this country, the citizens of our large towns would not be fupplied with bred at a cheeper rate, without any regulations at all.
2. But the ab/urdity and bad policy of laws arainft ufury, are fo obvious, that it iz furprizing fcarcely an attempt haz been made to abolifh them in any country. Such laws are abfurd and impolitic, becaufe they actually and always produce and multiply the diftrefles they are defigned to remedy. It iz impofiible it thould be otherwife: 'The very laws of nature and commerce require that fuch reftraints fhould neceffarily counteract their own defign. It iz neceffary that commodities fhould be fometimes plenty and fometimes farce ; and it iz equally neceffary that money, the reprefentativ of all commodities, thould be liable to the fame fluctuations. In the commercial world, money and commodities wil aiways fiow to that country, where they are moft-wanted and wil command the moft profit. The confequence iz that a high price foon produces a low price, and vice verfa.

Let us apply the principle to the prefent queftion. When money can bear its own profit, its profit or the intereft arining on loans, wil be in proportion to the profit made in commercial tranfastions. If a man can make tivelv per cent. on hiz fock, in any kind of trade or fpeculation, he wil not convert that ftock into cafh, and loan it at $f x$ per cent. While therefore commerce or fpeculation wil afford a man greater profits, than the law affords him on hiz loans of cafh, he wil hav no money to lend. The confequence iz, while the law fixes the rate of interelt lower than the annual profits of other bufinefs, a country wil be defitute of money.

This iz precifely the cafe in America. Our remittances to Europe and the Eaft Indies require contiderable fums in fpecie to be exported; and the merchant wil not import fpecie, except to faciiitate the purchafe of hiz cargoes in America. He will not import it for the purpofe of loaning, becaufe hiz flock in trade affords a better profit. The few landholders who hav a little cafh abuv their annual expenditures, wil not loan it ; for they can make twelv, fifteen, eighteen per cent. on their money by the purchafe of certificates, and more on the purchafe of lands. There are therefore no motivs, no inducements, for the welthy citizens to loan money, and confequently when a man iz diftreffed to make a payment, he iz compelled to facrifice property to perhaps five times the valu of the det ; becaufe the law will not permit hiz nabor to take twelv or fifteen per cent. per ann. for the loan of money, a few months; when he haz the money, and would gladly releev hiz frend, if he could receev an adequate compenfation.

Thus laws againft ufury drive cafh from a country. They really and continually create a fcarcity of an article, and then reftrain men from raizing the price, in proportion to that fcarcity. They create diffreffes of the poor, and at the fame time, create an impoffibility of releef. Were moncy left, like all kinds of commodities, to command its own price in market; whenever its price flopuld rize abuv the ufual cleer profit of other bựhinefs,
bufinefs, men would import fpecie, or turn their ftock into cath, and loan it on good fecurity; for no man would fubmit to the drudgery of bufinefs, if he could make money az faft by lying ftil, with hiz money at interef. Had money been permitted to bear its own price according to the demand for it in America fince the war, it would hav been kept in the country, or introduced til the rate of intereft had fallen, even below the legal ftandard. Limit the profit on any article of life, and fet the price fo low that peeple can make more by deeling in other articles, and the articles fo fixed wil become fearce and deer. Were the legillatures of the feveral ftates to fay that our traders fhould make but one per cent. on falt, they would not bring cargoes of it to the country. It would be az fcarce az money iz now. Let the price of wheet be fixed at half a dollat a bufhel, and in two years we fhould not hav a bufhel in market. It iz the fame cafe fivith money. The low profits on the ufe of money, expel it from the country, and none can be obtained at the legal price. Let the intereft rize to any fum which can be obtained, and in two ycars, it would be az eezy to borrow money at a low interef, az it iz now difficult to command it at any price. The laws of nature wil continue to opperate, in fpite of the feeble oppofition of human power.

Another confideration demands our notice. Tha laws againtt ufury increafe the diftreflies of the needy, by enhancing the rifk, and confequently the infurance on loans.* It iz fruitles to attempt to prevent loans of money. When men arc prefled for money, they can always find perfons to fupply them, upon fome terms. Butaza loan of money at a higher rate of intereft than iz allowed by law, expofes the lender to a lofs of the money, and a fine or forfiture befides, hiz demand for the ufe of hiz money wil rize in proportion to that rink.

[^74]This haz always been one of the moft pernicious effects of fuch laws. So that the law, not only creates a fcarcity in the firft inftance, but actually raizes the demand of intereft much abuv the natural demand required by that fcarcity. In fhort, infted of releeving the detter, it multiplies hiz diftreffes four fold.

Befides, fuch laws, like all national reftrictions on trade, tend to make men difhoneft, in particular things, and thus weeken the powers of the moral faculty. There are ten thoufand ways of evading fuch laws, and llight evafions gradually produce a habit of violating law, and harden the mind againft the feer of its penalties. Indeed, fuch laws tend to undermine that confidence which iz the bafis of focial intercourfe. Laws which encourage informations, fhould be enacted with caution. Such are laws againft ufury. A man haz often the ftrongeft temptation to be a treecherous rafcal, by inducing hiz frend to loan him money, on illegal intereft, and then betraying him. This fpecies of villany waz lately earried fo far in Maffachufetts, az to induce the legiflature to repeel a clauze of their law againft ufury. And a man of morality muft fhudder, while he reeds the legal profecutions and adjudications in England upon their ftatutes of ufury.

The abfurdity of attempting to fix the valu of money iz another objection to it of no finall confequence. The valu of it depends wholly on the quantity in circulation and the demand. In this refpect it refembles all other articles of trade; and who ever thought of fixing the price of goods by law ?* It iz almoft impoffible for a leginature to afcertain exactly the valu of money at any one time ; and utterly impoffible to fay that the valu when afcertained, fhall continu the fame for fix months. Nay, two ftates adjoining eech other may eftimate the ufe of money very differently at the fame pericd. In New York the legal intereft iz feven per cent. in New England but fix. A man may therefore do that legal-

[^75]ly in one ftate, which in the others would expoze him to a fevere penalty.

In ancient Rome the intereft waz twelv per cent. The emperor Juftinian reduced it to four, but allowed higher intereft to be taken of merchants, on account of the rifk. In Holland, when Grotius wrote, the common intereft waz eight per cent. ; but twelv to merchants. In England, the ftatute 37th, Henry VIII, confined intereft to ten per cent. By the 2rit James I, it waz reduced to eight ; by the 12 th Charles II, to fix ; and by 12 th Ann, to five, the prefent legal intereft in that country,*

Poftilethwaite remarks very jufly that theze laws hav not afcertained the real valu or intereft of money; for when the legal intereft haz been fix per cent. the real intereft haz fometimes been four; and when the legal intereft haz been five, the real intereft haz fometimes been feven. Indeed the intereft of money depends on fuch a combination of circumftances, az the fearcity of money, the demand in market, and the hazard, that an attempt to find and fix a permanent rate, iz one of the moft vifionary fchemes that a public body can undertake. To proov the impofibility of fuch a fcheme, I would only mention the continual practice of violating laws againft ufury; which would not be the cafe, if the real valu of money had been afcertained and fixed. $\dagger$ If legiflatures had found the tru valu of the ufe of money, there would hav been fewer violations of their laws : If they hav, in any cafe, fixed a rate of intereft lower than the real valu, they hav violated the rights of their fubjects. This iz a ferious confideration; and perhaps in no inftance are the laws of England and America more ftrongly marked with the traces of an-
cient

* Blackftone Vol. II. 462.
+ What are marine infurances, bottomry, loans at refpondentia and annuities for life, but exceptions to the gencral law againft ufury? The neceffity of higher intereft than common iz pleeded for theze exceptions. Very gond ; but they proov the abfurdity of attempting to fix that, which the laws of nature and commerce require fhould be fluctuating. Such laws are partial and iniquitous.
cient prejudice and barbarity, than in the prohibition which prevents a man from ufing hiz money az he pleezes, while he may demand any fum whatever for the ufe of hiz other property.

The only power, I conceev, a legiflature haz to determin what intereft fhall arize on the ufe of money, or property, iz where the parties hav not determined it by agreement. Thus when a man haz taken up goods upon credit, or where, by any other legal meens, a man becomes poffeffed of anothers money or eftate, without a fpecific Atipulation for intereft, the law very properly fteps in and afcertains the fum which the detter thall pay for the ufe of that money. But to make a law that a man fhall not take but fix per cent. for the ufe of monev, when the borrower iz willing to giv more, and the lender cannot part with hiz money at that rate of interef, iz a daring violation of private rights, an injury often to both parties, and productiv of innumerable embarraflinents to commerce.

We are told that fuch laws are neceflary to guard men from the oppreffion of the rich. What an cerror ! Waz a monied man ever compelled to affin a diffreffed nabor, by the forfitures incurred by fu:h laws? Iz not hiz money hiz own? Wil he lend it all, if it fhould not be for hiz benefit? Befides, cannot a man in neceffity alienate hiz property for one fourth of its valu ? Are not fuch bona fide contraicts made every day to raize money to anfwer a temporary purpofe? Nay, hav not the laws of all commercial ftates authorized fales by auction, where any man may part with hiz property for a fourth of its valu ? Iz there any remedy in law againft fuch a facrifice of a man's eftate? Wherein then confifts the fecurity of laws againft ufury ? In the name of common fenfe and common equity, let legiflators be confiftent. If men are improvident, lazy and carelefs, a lofs of property wil be their punifhment, and no mezures of government wil prevent it.

To what then fhall we afcribe the fevere laws again? high intereft, which hav been and ftil are exifting in moft commercial countries? I prefume the caufe may
be
be cafily affigned. The Jewifh prohibition, not to take intereft, except of ftrangers, firf gave rife to douts in the minds of our pious chriftian forefathers, with refpect to the legality of agy interef at all. - This produced, in the dark ages, fevere ecclefiaftical laws againft taking any thing for the ufe of money; and theze laws originated a general prejudice againft it, thro the Chriftian world.

In the twelfth and thirteenth centuries, commerce began to revive; but az there waz but little money, and trade waz lucrativ, becaufe in few hands, money bore a very high intereft. In fome parts of Europe, the intereft waz forty per cent. Even with this intereft, certain Italian traders could make an annual profit, and therefore it swaz for their benefit to giv it, It however rendered them very unpopular.*

The Jews, for their infidelity, had been confidered by the Chriftians az outcafts on earth. Severe laws were enacted againft them in almolt every country; depriving them of the ;ights of citizens, and forbidding them to hold real effates. Profcribed and infulted, the poor Jews were compelled to turn their band againg every man in their own defence. They commenced frolling traders and bankers, and by theze meens commanded a large fhare of the money in every kingdom.

With this command of cafh, the Jews very juftly compenfated themfelves for the injuries they fufiered from the tyrannical laws which exifted againft them. They loaned money at the higheft rate of intereft they could obtain. Hence the general karacter of the Jews, and the prejudice againft them that furvives to this enlightened period.
It iz very probable, that before the difcovery of the American mines, money waz fo fcarce in Europe, that a few brokers in cech kingdom might engrofs fuch a Thare, az to hav it in their power to opprefs peeple. 'This waz evidently the cafe in England, about the reign of Edward 1, and the parliament thought proper to interfere and reftrain the evil. Laws againft ufury wcre

[^76]were doutlefs neceffary and uferifl at that time. But fince the world haz been filled with gold and filver from South America, and nations hav opened an intercourfe with eech other, there never can be a want of fpecie, where a country can fupply produce enough to exchange for it. It haz become a mere fluid in the sommercial world; and in order to obtain a fupply, in a country abounding with produce and manufactures, the legillature haz nothing to do, but let it bear its .own price ; let it command its own valu, ether at intereft, or in exchange for commodities.

Laws againf ufury therefore I confider az criginating ether in the neceffity of the times, which long ago ceefed, or in a bigotted prejudice againft the Jew's, which waz az barbarous formerly, az it iz now infamous. Laws reftraining the intereft of money I now confider, in the fame light, az I do laws againft freedom of confcience. And were it not for the force of habit, I fhould az foon expeft to fee a modern legiflature ordering a pious fectary to the fake for hiz prinsiples, az to fee then gravely paffing a law, to limit the profit on the ufe of hiz money. And unlefs the leginatures of this enlightened age fhould repeel fuch laws, and place money on a footing with other property, they will be confidered az acceflory to a direct violation of the deereft rights of men, and will be anfwerable for more frauds, perjuries, treechery and expenfiv litigations, than proceed from any other fingle caufe in fociety. I am fo firmly perfuaded of the truth of theze principles, that I venture to predict, the opinions of men will be changed in lefs than half a century, and pofterity will wonder that their forefathers could think of maintaining a pofition fo abfurd and contradictory, az that men hav no right to make more than fix per cent. on the loan of money, while they hav an indefeezable right to make unlimited profit on their money in any other manner. They will vew laws againft ufury in the fame light that we do the inquifition in Spain, the execution of gypfies and witches in the laft century, or thoze laws of England which make rool. annual income neceflary to qualify a man for killing a partridge, while they allow forty ßillings only to qualify him for electing a knight of the fhire.

## [317]

## No. XXV.

HARTFORD, OCTOBER, Iク89.

## On A L L E G I A N C E.

WRITERS on law divide allcgiance into two kinds, natural and local. "Natural allegiance iz fuch az iz du from all men born within the kings dominions, immediately upon their berth. For immediately upon their berth, they are under the kings protection; at a time too when (during their infancy) they are incapable of protecting themfelves. Natural allegiance iz therefore a det of gratitude, which cannot be forfeited, cancelled or altered, by any change of time, place or circumfances; nor by any thing but the united concurrence of the legiflature. An Englifh man who remoovs to France or to China, owes the farne aliegiance to the king of England there az at home, and twenty years hence az wel az now. For it iz a principle of univerfal law, that the natural born fubject of one prince cannot by any act of hiz own, no, not by fwearing allegiance to aroother, put off or difcharge hiz natural allegiance to the former; for hiz natural allegiance waz intrinfic and primitiv and antecedent to the other, and cannot be devefted, without the concurrent act of that prince to whom it waz firt du. Indeed the natural born fubject of one prince, to whom he owes allegiance, may be entangled by fubjecting himfelf abfolutely to another ; but it iz hiz own act that brings him into theze ftraits and difficulties, of o:ving rervice to two mafters; and it iz unreezonable that, by fuch voluntary aft of hiz own, he fhould be able at plezure to unloofe thoze $b$-nds by which he iz connected to hiz natural prince." "\%

I miftake much, howevcr, if the natural born fubje? would be fo mucin entangied with hir fraits and diffficul-

[^77]
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fies, az lord Coke, Hale and Blackftone, would be, to fupport their aflertions and obviate the abfurdities of their reezoning.

It iz aftonifhing to obferve how flowly men get rid of old prejudices and opinions. The feudal ideas of allegiance, which make fidelity in the fubject an obligation or grateful return for the protection of the prince, ftil prevail, and are made the bafis of all modern reezoning on the fubject. Such ideas in the dark ages, and in the days of feudal defpotifm, are not to be wondered at. Every baron waz a tyrant on hiz manor, and az hiz only fafety confifted in hiz caftle and hiz vaffals, it waz neceffary to bind hiz fubje?ts to him by oaths and fuperfition, az wel az by a demand upon their gratitude. But wil our fage writers on government and law, forever think by tradition? Wil they never examin the grounds of receeved opinions? Let nie enquire

What iz the real ground of allegiance? Iz it not protection? Not at all. We may juft az wel invert the propofition, and fay, that allegiance iz the ground of protection. A prince iz the reprefentativ of a nation or ftate, fo that allegiance to him, iz merely allegiance to a ftate or body politic.* According to our ideas, allegiance to a king, and fidelity to a ftate, are the fame thing; for detach a king from all comection with a nation or ftate, and he becumes a private man, and entitled only to the rights of fuch. 'This at leeft iz the opinion of an American, whofe mind iz not biaffed by perfonal attachments to a fovercign.
What then iz the ground of fidelity to a ftate? The anfwer iz eezy ; the moral lazu, which haz for its object the good of fociety. This iz the bafis of all obligations in a flate, whether exprefs or implied; yet writers on this fubject hav hardly mentioned it. Blackfone indeed takes notice of an implied, original allegiance, antecedent to any exprefs promis ; but feems rather to confider

[^78]
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confider it az a return for the duties of the fovereign, which he owes before coronation, than az an obligation arifing from the very conftitution of fociety.
Taking the moral law or the good of fociety for the ground of all allegiance, we difcuver two fpecies of duties to be performed by every man ; the moral duties, which exift at all times and in all places; and certain political duties, required by the municipal laws of eech ftate. The firlt are the badis of natural or perpetual allegiance; the Jaft, of local allegiance. The firt or moral duties create an obligation upon every man, the moment he iz born, which cannot be cancelled or difcharged by any act of an individual, or by any agreement between prince and fubject ; the laft, or political duties, impoze an obligation upon every meunber of a frate or body politic, the moment he fteps within its jurifdiction, to fubmit peaceably to fuch pofitiv injunctions of that fate, az hav been judged neceflary for its welfare.
Now to maintain that an oath of allegiance wil bind a man to perform all the laft clafs of duties, or the pofitiv duties enjoined by a particular flate, and not required by the general laws of fociety, when the man haz perhaps become a member of another flate, three thoufand miles diftant, iz to defend the wildeft notions that can poffers any man's brain. Every man iz bound always and in all places to do right, and avoid doing rong; and this with, or without taking an oath of fidelity to any ftate. This iz implied allegiance, univerfal and perpetual ; and $I$ deny that there $i z$ any other ground of this allegiance, except the univerlal principles of right and roing.

Should it be faid, that a man may bind himfelf by oath to perform the pofitiv or political duties required by a ftate, altho he may remoov and become a citizen of another ftate; I anfwer, this wil involv him in the firaits and difficulties mentioned by Blackftone; for the political duties of the two flates may interfere with eech other. The truth iz, a man haz no right to take fuch an oath, nor haz a flate any right to require it.

## $320^{\circ} \quad O n$ A L L E G I A N C E.

He may fwear, when he enters into any kingdom os fate, that he wil be a good citizen, and fubmit to all the laws of the ftate, zobile be iz a member of it ; and further, that he wil obferve the moral law in hiz conduct towards that fociety, after be haz ceefed to be a member of it. Further than this, he haz no right to fwear. Az to every duty, not required by the laws of fociety in general, but only by the municipal laws of a fate, a iman's allegiance commences when he enters that itate ; and ceefes the moinent he leeves it.* The doctrin of a perpetual allegiance iz wholly a feudal idea; inculcated, when every lord waz at war with hiz nabor ; and waz compelled by felf prefervation to attach hiz vaffals to himfelf by oaths, the penalties of perjury and the forfeitures of treezon.

Blackftone fays, in the paffage already quoted, "that natural allegiance iz a det of gratitude," becaufe the fubject iz under the kings protection while an infant. He might juft az wel fay, protection iz a det of gratitude du from the prince, becaufe the fubject iz born in hiz dominions. On this principle of gratitude, a child iz obliged to obey and ferve hiz parent, after he haz left hiz family, and while he livs. This det, according to the fame author, cannot be cancelled, but by "concurrence of the legifature." How in the name of reezon, can an act of the legillature diffolv a natural tie? How can it cancel a det of gratitude? Common fenfe looke with difdain on fuch week and futile reezoning. But if there iz fuch a thing az natural and perpetual allegiance, an Englifhman, who remoovs to France, cannot take arms to defend France againft an invafion from England. Is this agreeable to the laws of nature and fociety, that a man fhould not protect himfelf and hiz property? It wil be faid that the man iz within the Englinh king's liegeance, and entitled to hiz protection. But the king cannot protect him; it iz beyond hiz nower, and the Englifhman iz not obliged to leev France and feek protection in England. Hiz eftate and hiz family may be in France, and if he choofes to refide there, it iz hi\% unalicuable right and duty to defend

[^79]
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fond both againft any invalion whatever. Every war; except a defenfiv one, iz a breech of the moral law; but when a natural born fubject of England, haz become a citizen of France, he iz fubject to the laws of France, and bound to affift, if required, in defending the kingdom againf hiz natural prince.

No.
*

## EXPLANATION of the REEZONS, why MARRIAGEiz PROHIBITED between NATURAL RELATIONS.

MUCH haz been faid and written to afcertain between what relations marriage ought to be permitted.

The civil, the canon, and the Englifh laws, differ az to the degrees of confanguinity necefiary to render this connection improper. A detail of the arguments on this fubject, and even a recapitulation of the decrees of ecolefialtical councils, in the erly ages of the church, would be tedious and uninterefting. I thall only offer a few thoughts of my own on the queftion, with a view to illuftrate a fingle point, which haz been agitated in modern times, and on which the different American flates hav paffed different decifions. The point iz, whether a man fhould be permitted to marry hiz former wife's fifter. In fome flates this iz permitted ; in others, prohibited.

Thoze who favor the protibition, ground their reezon on the Levitical law, which fays a man thall not marry hiz wife's fitter, during the life of hiz wife, to tex her. This prohibition, while it reftrains a man from having two fiffers for wives at the fame time, anong a peeple where poligamy waz permitted, iz a negativ pregnant, and a Atrong argument that a man waz ailowed, after the deth of a wife, to marry her: Gifter.

The Jewifh law, however divine, waz defigned for a particular nation, and iz no farther binding upon other nations, than it refpe.ts the natural and focial duties. In no one particular, hav men been more miftaken, than in explaining divine commands. It haz been fufficient for them to refoiv a law into the wil of God, without
without examinining into the reezons for which the law waz revealed. They feem to hav inverted the foundation of moral obligation, in fuppofing the moral law to derive its propriety and fitnefs originally from the wil of Deity, rather than from the nature of things. They talk about the fitnefs and unfitnefs of things, independent, not only of fociety, but of God himfelf. Such wild notions, I prefume; are not common. There could be no fitnefs nor unfitnefs of things, before things were made ; nor could right and rong exift without focial beings. The moral duties therefore are not right, merely becaufe they are commanded by God; but they ate commanded by liim, becaule they are right. The propriety or fitnefs of them depends on the very nature of fociety; and this fitnefs, which waz coeval with creation, waz the ground of the divine command.*

The law of Mofes, regulating marriages, waz founded on this propriety or fitnefs of things. A divine command givs a fanction to the law ; but the propriety of it exifted prior to the command. The reezons for prohibiting marriage between certain reiations are important; yet they feem not to be underftood: It liaz been fufficient, in difcuffing this point, to faỳ, fuch iz the lazv of God; and few attempts hav been made to find the reezons of it, by which alone its extent and authority cán be afcertained.

There are two rules, furnifhed by the laws of nature, for regulating matrimonial connections. The firft iz, that marriage, which iz a focial and civil connestion, fhould not interfere with a natural relation, fo az to defeet or deftroy its duties and rights. Thus it iz highly improper that an aunt fliould marry her nephew, or a godfathcir hiz grand daughter; becaufe the duties

[^80]and rights of the natural relation, would be fuperfeded by the poitiv duties and rights of the civil connection.

The other rule iz much more important. It iz a law of nature that vegetables mould degenerate, if planted continually on the fame foil. Hence the change of feeds among farmers. Animals degenerate on the fame principle. . The phyfical caufes of this law of nature, are perhaps among the arcana of creation; but the effects are obvious; and it iz furprizing that modern writers on law and ethics thould pafs over almoft the only reezons of prohibiting marriage between blood relations. Confanguinity, and not affinity, iz the ground of the prohibition.*

It iz no crime for brothers and fifters to intermarry, except the fatal confequences to fociety; for were it generally practifed, men would foon become a race of pigmies. It iz no crime for brothers and fifters child. ren to intermarry, and this iz often practifed; but fuch near blood connections often produce imperfect children. The common peeple hav hence drawn an argument to proov fuch connections criminal ; confidering weaknefs; ficknels and deformity in the offspring az judgements upon the parents. Superfition iz often awake, when reczon iz atleep. It iz juft az criminal for a man to inarry, hiz coufin, az it iz to fow flax every year on the fame ground; but when he does this, he muft not complain, if he haz an indifferent crop.

Here then, the queftion occurs, iz it proper for a man to marry hiz wife's fifter? 'The anfwer iz plain. The practice does, not interfere with any law of nature or fociety; and there iz not the fmalleft impropriety in a man's marrying ten filters of hiz wife in fucceffion. There iz no natural relation deftroyed ; there iz no relation by blood; and ceffante ratione, ceffat et ipfa Lex; the law ceefes when the reezon of it ceefes.

[^81]No.

## No. XXVII.
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MISCELLANEOUS .REMARKS on - DI-
VIZIONS of PROPERTY, GUVERNMENT, EDUCATION, RELIGION, AGRICULTURE, SLAVERY, COMMERCE, CLIMATE and DISEEZES in the UNITED STATES.

THE laws which refpect property, hav, in all civilized communities, formed the moft important branch of municipal regulations. Of theze, the laws which direct the divifion and defent of lands, conftitute the firft clafs; for on theze, in a great mezure, depend the genius of guvernment and the complection of manners.

Savages hav very few regulations refpecting property; for thete are but few things to which their defires or neceffities prompt, them to lay c:aim. Some very rude, nations feem to hav no ideas of property, efpecially in lands ; but the American tribes, even when firft difcuvered, claimed the lands on which they lived, and the hunting grounds of eech tribe were marked from thoze of its nabors, by rivers or other natural boundaries. The Mexican and Peruvian Indians had indeed advanced very far towards a fate of civilization ; and land with them had acquired almoft an European valu; but the northern tribes, yet in the hunter ftate, would often barter millions of akers for a handful of trinkets and a few ftrings of wampum.

In the progrefs of nations, land acquires a valu, proportioned to the degree of populoufnefs; and other objects grow into eftimation, by their utility, convenience, or \{ome plezuse they afford to the imagination.

In attending to the principles of guvernment, the leeding idea that frikes the mind, iz, that political power depends moflly on property; confequently guvernment will take its complection from the divifions of properiy in the fate.

In defpotic ftates, the fubjects muft not poffefs property in fee ; for an exclutuv poffeffion of lands infpires ideas of independence, fatal to defpotifm. To fupport fuch guvernments, it iz neceflary that the laws thould giv the prince a fovereign control over the property az wel az the lives of hiz fubjects. There are however very few countries, where the guvernment iz fo purely arbitrary, that the peeple can-be deprived of life and eftate, without fome legal formalities. Even when the firft poffeftion waz the voluntary gift of the prince, grants or conceffions, fanctioned by prefeription, hav often eftablihed rights in the fubject, of which he cannot be deprived without a judicial procels.

In Europe the feudal fyftem of tenures haz given rife to a fingular fpecies of guvernment: Mof of the countries are faid to be guverned by monarkies; but many of the guvernments might, with propriety, be called ariftocratic republics. The barons, who poffefs the lands, hav moft of the power in their own hands. Formerly the kings were but lords of a fuperior rank, primi inter pares; and they were originally electiv. This iz Atil the cafe in Poland, which continues to be what other ftates in Europe were, an ariflocratic republic. . But from the twelfth to the fixteenth century, the princes, in many countries, were ftruggling to circumfcribe the power of the barons, and their attempts, which often defolated their dominions; were attended with various fuccefs; What they could not accomplith by force, they fometimes obrained by ftratagem. In fome countries the commons were called in to fupport the poyal prerogativs, and thus obtained a thare in jegifation, which haz fince been auginented by vaft asceflions of power and influence, from a diftribution and encreefe of welth. 'This haz been the cale in England. In other countries, the prince haz combin-
cd with the barons to deprefs the peeple. Where the prince holds the privilege of difpoting of civil, military and ecclefiaftical offices, it haz been cezy to attach the nobilty to hiz intereft, and by this coalition, peese haz often been fecured in a kingdom; but the peeple hav been kept in vaffalage. Thus by the laws of the feudal fyitem, moft of the commons in Europe are kept in a fate of dependence on the great landholders.

But commerce haz been favorable to mankind. Az the rules of fucceffion to eftates, every where eftablimed in Europe, are calculated to aggrandize the fire at the expenfe of the many, commerce, by creating and accumulating perfonal eftate, haz introduced a new fpecies of power to ballance the influence of the landed property. Commerce found its way from Italy and the eeft, to Germany and England, diffufing in its progrefs freedom, knowlege and independence. Comnerce iz. favorable to freedom; it flurithes mot in republics; indeed a free intercourfe by trade iz almoft fatal"to defpotifm ; for which reezon, fome princes lay it under fevere eftrictions: In other countries it iz difcuraged by public opinion, which renders trade difreputable. This iz more fatal to it, than the edicts of tyrants.

The bafis of a democratic and a republican form of governinent, iz, a fundamental law, favoring an equal or rather a gencral diftribution of property. It iz not neceflary nor pollible that every citizen thould hav exactly an equal portion of land and goods, but the laws of luch a fate moild require an equal difribution of inteftate eftates, and bar all perpetuities. Such laws occafion conftant revolutions of property, and thus hold out to all men equal motivs to vigilance and induftry. They excite emulation, by giving every citizen $=1$ equal change of being rich and rcipesable.

In no one particular do the American fates differ from European nations more widely, than in the rules which regulate the tenure and diltribution of lands. This circumftance alone wil, for ages at leeft, nrezerve a government in the united nates, very different from any which now exifts or can arize in Lurope.

## 328 MISCELLANEOUS REMARKS.

In New England, inteftate eftates defend to all the children or other heirs in equal portions, except to the oldeft fon, who haz two Thares. This exception in favor of the oldeft fon, waz copied from the levitical code, which waz made the bafis of the firt New England infitutions. The legiflature of Maffachuretts, at their May felfion, r 780 , abolifhed that abfurd exzeption ; and nothing but inveterate habit keeps it alive in the other ftates.*

In confequence of theze laws, the peeple of New England enjoy an equality of condition, unknown in any other part of the world. 'To the fame caufe may be afcribed the rapid population of theze ftates; for eftates by divifion are kept fmall, by which meens every man iz obliged to labor, and labor iz the direat caufe of population. For the fame reezon, the peepie of theze frates, feel and exert the pride of independence. Their equality makes them mild and condefending, capable of being convinced and guverned by perfuafion ; but their independence renders them irritable and obflinate in refifting force and oppreflion. A man by affociating familiarly with them, may eezily coax them into hiz views, but if he affumes any airs of fuperiority, he iz trceted with az little refpect az a fervant. The principal inconvenience arizing from theze difpofitions iz, that a man who happens to be a little diftinguifhed for hiz property or fuperior education iz ever expoled to their envy, and the tung of glander iz bizzy in backbiting him. In this manner, they oppoze diftinetions of rank, with great fuccefs. This however iz a private inconvenience; but there iz an evil, arifing from this jealoury, which deeply affects their gevernment. Averfe to diftinations, and reddy to humble fuperiority, they become the dupes of a fet

Lands in ConneEticut defend to the heirs in the following manner: Firft to children; and if none, then to brothets and filters or their legal reprefentativs of the whole blud; then to parents; then to brothers and fiffers of the half blud; then to next of kin, the whole blud taking the preference when of equal degree with the half blud.
of artful men, who, with fmall talents for bufinefs and no regard for the public intereft, are always familiar with every clafs of peeple, fyly hinting fomething to the difadvantage of great and honelt men, and pretending to be frends to the public welfare. The peeple are thus guverned at times by the moft unqualified men among them. "If a man wil thake hands with every one he mects, attend church conftantly, and affume a goodly countenance; if he wil not fwear or play cards, he may arrive to the firft offices in the guvernment, without one fingle talent for the proper difcharge of hiz duty; he may even defraud the public revenu and be accufed of it on the moft indubitable evidence, yet by laying hiz hand on hiz breft, cafling hiz eyes to heaven, and calling God to witnefs hiz innocence, he may wipe away the popular fufpicions, and be a fairer candidate for preferment than before hiz accufations. So far az the harts of the pecple are concerned, the difpofition here mentioned iz a high recommendation, for it proves thein mild, unfufpecting and humane: But guvernment fuffers a material injury from this turn of mind; and were it not for a few men who are boldly honeft, and indefatigable in detecting impofitions on the public, the guvernment of theze ftates would always be, az it often iz, in the hands of the weekeft, or wickedeft of the citizens.

The fame equality of condition haz produced a fingular manner of fpeeking among the peeple of New England.* But the inhabitants of all the large towns, wel bred citizens, are excepted from this remark.

Altho the principle iz tru that a general diftribution of lands iz the bafis of a republican form of guvernment, yet there iz an evil arifing out of this diftribution, which the New England ftates now feel, and which wil increafe with the population of the country. The tracts of land firft taken up by the fettlers, were not yery conliderable; and theze having been repeetedly dividedamong a number of heirs, hav left the prefent proprietors almoft without fubfitence for their families.

[^82]Vaft numbers of men do not poffefs more than thirty or forty akers eech, and many not half the quantity. It iz with difificulty that fuch men can fupport families and pay taxes. Indeed moft of them are unable to do it ; they involve themfelves in det ; the creditors take the little land they poffers, and the peeple are driven, poor and helplefs, into an uncultivated wildernefs. Such are the effects of an equal divifion of lands among heirs ; and fuch the caufes of emigration to the weftern territories. Emigration indeed iz a prefent remedy for the evil ; but when fettlements hav raized the valu of the weftern lands neerly to that on the Atlantic coaft, emigrations wil moftly ceefe. They wil not entirely ceefe, until the continent iz peepled to the Pacific ocean; and that period iz diftant; but whenever they ceefe, our republican inhabitants, unable to fubfirt on the fmall portions of land, affigned them by the laws of divifion, muft hav recourfe to manufactures. The holders of land wil be fewer in number, becaufe monied men wil hav the advantage of purchafing lands very low of the neceffitous inhabitants, who wil be multiplied by the very laws of the ftate, refpelting landed property. Other laws however could not be tolerated in theze flates. In Europe, provifion iz made for younger fons, in the army, the church, the navy, or in the numerous manufactures of the countries. But in America, fuch provifion cannot be made ; and therefore our laws eezely provide for all the children, where they are not provided for by the parents.

By extending our views to futurity, we fee confiderable changes in the condition of theze republican flates. The laws, by barring entailments, prevent the effablifhment of familics in permanent affluence; we are therefore in little danger of a hereditary ariftocracy. But the fame laws, by dividing inheritances, tho their firf effect iz, to create equality, ultimately tend to impoverifh a great number of citizens, and thus giv a few men, who commanded money, an advantage in procuring lands at lefs than their real valu. The evil iz increafed in a flate, where there iz a fcarcity of cath ${ }_{x}$. occafioned
pecafioned by the courfe of trade, or by laws limiting the intereft on money loaned: Such iz the cafe in Connecticut. A man who haz money may purchafe wel cultivated farms in that ftate for feventy, and fometimes for fifty per cent. of the real valu. Such a fituation iz favorable to the accumulation of great effates, and the creation of diftinctions; but while alienations of real effates are rendered neceffary by the laws, the genius of the guvernment wil not be materially changed.

The caufes which deftroyed the ancient republics were numerous; but in Rome, one principal caufe waz, the valt inequality of fortunes, occafioned partly by the fratagems of the patricians and partly by the fpoils of their enemies, or the exactions of tribute in their conquered provinces. Rome, with the name of a republic, waz feveral ages loozing the /pirit and principle. The Gracchi endevored to check the growing evil by an agrarian law; but were not fuccefsful. In Cefar's time, the Romans were ripened for a change of guvernment; the jpirit of a commonwelth waz loft, and Cefar waz but an inftrument of altering the form, when it could no longer exift. Cefar iz execrated az the tyrant of hiz country; and Brutus, who ftabbed him, iz applauded az a Roman. But fuch waz the ftate of things in Rome, that Cefar waz a better ruler than Brutus would hav been; for when the fpirit of a guvernment iz loft, the form muft change.

Brutus would hav been a tyrannical demagogue, or hiz zeel to reftore the commonwelth would hav protracted the civil war and factions which raged in Rome and which finally muft hav fubfided in monarky. Cefar waz abfolute, but hiz guvernment waz moderate, and hiz name waz fufficient to reprefs faction and prezerve tranquillity. The'zeel of Brutus waz intemperate and rath; for when abufes hav acquired a certain degree of ftrength ; when they are interwoven with every part of government, it iz prudence to fuffer many evils, rather than rifk the application of a violent remedy.

How far the Roman hifory furnifhes the data, on which the politicians of America may calculate the fu-

## $33^{2}$ MISCELLANEOUS REMARKS:

ture changes in our form of guvernment, iz left to eyery man's own opinion. Our citizens now hold lands in fee ; this renders them bold in independence: They all labor, and therefore make hardy foldiers ; they all reed, and of courfe underfand their rights; they rove uncontrolled in the foreft ; therefore they know the ufe of arms. But wil not poor peeple multiply, and the poffeffions of real eftates be diminifhed in number, and increefed in fize? Muft not a great proportion of our citizens becum manufacturers and thus looz the bodies and the fpirit of foldiers? While the mafs of knowlege wil be increefed by difcuveries and experience, wil it not be confined to fewer men ? In fhort, wil not our forefts be levelled, or confined to a few proprietors? and when our pecple ceefe to hunt, will not the body of them neglect the ufe of arms? Theze are queftions of magnitude ; but the prefent generation can anfwer them only in profpect and ipeculation. At any rate, the genius of every guvernment muft addapt itfelf to the peculiar ftate and fpirit of the peeple who coimpofe the ftate, and when the Americans looz the principles of a free guvernment, it follows that they wil. fpeedity looz the form. Such a change would, az in Rome, be afcribed to bad men; but it is more rational to afcribe it to an imperceptible progrefs of corruption, or thoze infenfible changes which fteel into the beft conflitutions of government.

New England waz originaily fettled by a religious fe?, denominated puritans, who fled from the fevere reftraints impofed upon diffenters in the reign of king James I. Placed beyond the feer of control, they formed fiftems of civil and ecclefiaftical government, exactly fuited to their, rigid notions. All their inftitutions wear mafks of an enthuliaftic zeel for religion. Removed from the tyranny of one church, they vibrated to the other extreme, and with an ardor to bild up, Chrift's kingdom, in what they quaintly call, a boriling widdernefis, they eltablifhed a tyranny of the fevereft kind over the confcicnces and rights of their own fociety, and by arbitrary decrees banihed thoze who difiented
diflented from them upon the moft metaphifical points. It waz a law of the firft fettlers at Bofton, that none could be free men and entitled to vote for civil rulers, who were not in full communion with the church; and none could be admitted to full communion, without the recommendation of a clergyman. Theze laws threw all the power of the flate into the hands of the clergy.* It iz equally aftonithing and ridiculous to the pofterity of thoze godly peeple, to find the church and frate, in the infancy of the fettlement in America, rent with difcord upon the fimple queftion, whether " fanc"tification preceeds juffitication." Yet hundreds of councils were held upon this or fimilar points, and a diffent from the common opinion or fuch trifling queftions, waz herefy, punifhable with excommunication and banifhment.

But candor requires fome apology to be made for our anceftors. Bigotry waz not confined to the New England fettlers; it waz the characteriftic of the age. The firt fettlers in New Jerfey, Virginia and Penfylyania, and indeed in moft of the colonies, prohibited witchcraft under penalty of deth; tho the laws feem not to have been executed any where except in Maffachufetts. But the fame gloomy fupertition reigned in England. The ftatues of Henry VIII. and James I. making witchcraft and forcery felony without benefit of clergy, upon which many perfons fuffered deth, were not repeeled, till the ninth yeer of George II. or about 1736. Juft before the reftoration in 1660, no lefs than thirteen gypfies were condemned at one Suffolk affizes, and executed.

But why fhould I go to former times and other ftates for apologies? Iz it not eezy to find fuperflition and prejudices among oarfelves equally abfurd and indefenfible? Does not a law againft playing with cards proceed from theze prejudices? What iz the difference between playing with /potted papers and fpotted boards? Chequers, back-gammon and chefs are not prohibited, and the ganes are az enticing az thoze which are prohibited.

[^83]
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 MISCELLANEOUS REMARKS.hited. Are not fuch games az capable of conceelment az any domeftic concerns? Wil laws ever reech them? Haz the legiflature any right to control my family amuzements? In hort, do laws ever fupprefs or reftrain any fpecies of game? By no meens; on the other hand, I can teftify from actual obfervation, that prohibited games are practized az much az others, and in ftates where penalties againft them are moft fevere; gaming iz the moft frequent.

Again, are laws againft witchcraft more abfurd than laws againft ufury? Did not both originate in ages of monkith bigotry, and in the fame religious fcruples? Iz it not az illiberal a prejudice to fay, that à man fhall hav but fix per cent. profit on money loaned, yet may make fifty per cent. if he can, on the fame valu in goods, houfes or lands; az it iz to fay; that a man thal not be a fanatic, or a woman hav the hytterics ? Haz not any man az good a right to be whimfical or fupertitious, az a legiflature to be inconfiftent? Az to the right, I fee no difference. A man who iz oppreffed to an obvious degree by a rich creditor, wil find releef againft the oppreffor. In a court of equity, a fanatic, who thould keep a naborhood in an uproar by hiz religious worhhip, would be punifhable for a mifdemeenor. But when two men can make a voluntary contract for eight per cent. intereft, a contract which eech deems favorable for himfelf, that he fhould be punifhable with a hevvy forfiture, iz a curofity in leg-. inlation, which ought to be placed on the catalog of papal bulls.

Superftition appeers in all ages under different afpects. The failor who repozes confidence in the horfethoe on hiz maft, the Roman who counts hiz beeds; the judge who gravely fentences a witch to the gallows, and the legiflator who thinks it a crime to rececv great profits for the ufe of money, may be equally confcientious, and to pofterity in fome future time, wil appeer to be equally miftaken.

But while we contemplate the cenfurable laws of the firf New England fettlers, let us not pafs by many
excellent regulations which proceed from their religious zeel, and which hav been the bafis of inflitutions the moft favorable to morals, to freedom and happinefs.

In the firft place, our anceftors made provifion for fupporting preechers of the gofpel in every village. Abating fome rigid maxims, which were propagated and maintained for the firt century, with too much zeel, the influence of the clergy, in NewEngland, haz been productiv of the happieft effects. The clergy, being wel informed men, and fcattered among the peeple at large, hav been inftumental in diffufing knowlege. Frends to order, and refpected by their parifhioners, they hav at times faved the ftates from turbulence and diforder. The advocates of liberty, they efpoufed the American revolution with firmnefs, and contributed to unite the peeple in a fteddy oppofition to Britifh mezures; and fince the eftablifhment of peece, they hav had no friall influence in oppozing mezures, fatal to good faith and the rights of freemen.

The effects of their influence are the moft generally vizible in Connecticut, where every town iz well fettled and fupports a clergyman. This fate never experienced an infurrection; its oppofition to the Britifh power, during the war, waz fteddy and unanimous and tender laws and paper currency hav been uniformly reprobated fince the revolution.

The old fettlements in Maffachufetts may fall under the fame character; but the weftern and northern counties are exceptions. In a great proportion of the townfhips, which hav been lately fettled, there iz no clergyman or other perfon of fuperior information, to direct the popular councils and check a rizing oppofition. It waz obzerved, during the late infurrections in thoze counties, that the towns which were deftitute of any wel informed men, furnifhed the moft numerous and moft turbulent hofts of infurgents. The wel informed counties on the fee coaft furnifhed fcarcely a man.

In addition to this, it may be remarked, that the mildnefs of manners and the hofpitality which prevail
among the yemanry of New England, are alcribeable in a great meazure, to a genetal adminiffration of religious ordinances. The diftinction in this refpect, iz fo great between New England and fome other parts of America, that in travelling among the fetters on the frontiers of Vermont, a man miay afcertain where the fettlers were born and educated, merely by their manner of receeving and treetring him. This iz afferted from actual obzervation.

The ftate of Rhode Ifland furnifhes full proofs of what iz here faid in favor of the clergy. That fate waz fettled by refugces from Maffachuletts, who were banifhed or perfecuted by the firlf fettlers, for their religious tenets. Roger Willians and hiz adherents imbibed an inveterate hatred againtt the colony of Marfachufetts, and in particular againft the clergy, whoze rigid zeel occafioned their expulfion trom the colony. The prejudice continued among their defendants, and to this day the inhabitants boaft of their liberality of fentiment and their freedom from the bigotry of clergymen, which, they fay, enflaves the peeple of Maffachufetts and Connecticut. This averfion to the clerical order haz however had a pernicious effect in the ftate. The body of the peeple, unaccuftomed to the fobriety and decent deportment neceffary in religious worfhip, and defpizing the puritanical manners of their nabors, are educated in licentioufnefs and void of principle. To this fource may be traced the moft unjuft and tyrannical laws that ever difgraced a popular affembly, and a perfeverance in executing them, which can procced only from obftinate ignorance and difhoneft views. The large trading towns are excepted from this defcription; the inhabitants of which are well informed, polite, liberal, and firm fupporters of good government; but they encourage fobools and Jupport a refpectable clergy.
In the fecond place, our anceffors difcuvered their wizdom in eftablifhing puilic fchools and colleges. The law of Connecticut ordains, that every town, or parifh containing feventy houfeliolders, flall keep añ Englifh

Englifh fchool, at leeft eleven months in a yeer; and towns containing a lefs number, at leeft fix months in 2 yeer. Every town keeping a public Ikool,iz entitled. to draw from the trezury of the ftate, a certain fum of money, proportioned to its cenfus in the lift of propenty which furnifhes the rule of taxation. This fum might hav been originally fufficient to fupport one fkool in each town or parifh; but in modern times, iz divided among a number, and the deficiency of money to fupport the fkools iz raifed upon the eftates of the peeple, in the manner the public taxes are affeffed. To extend the benefits of this eftablifhment to all the inhabitants, large towns and parifhes are divided into diftricts; eech of which iz fuppofed able to furnifh a competent number of fkolars for one fkool . In eech difirict a houfe iz erected tor the purpofe by the inhabitants of that diftrict; who hire a mafter, furnifh wood, and tax themfelves to pay all expenfes; not provided for by the public money. The frool iz kept during the winter montlis, when every farmer can fpare hiz fons. In this manner every child in the fate haz accefs to a fchool. In the fummer, a woman iz hired to teech fmall children, who are not fit for any kind of labor. In the large towns, fkools, ether public or private, are kept the whole yeer; and in every county town, a grammar fchool iz eftablifhed by law:

The fate of Maffachufetts haz alfo public fchools on fimilar principles. The colleges and academies are too well known to need any defcription or remarks.

The beneficial effects of theze inftitutions will be experienced for ages. Next to the eftablifhments in favor of religion, they hav been the nurferies of welinformed citizens, brave foldiers and wize legiflators: A peeple thus informed are eapable of undertanding their rights and of difcuvering the meens to fecure them.

In the next place, our forefathers took mezures to prezerve the reputation of kools and the morals of yuth, by making the bufnefs of teeching them an honorable employment.' Every town or Siftriet haz a committee whoze duty iz to procure a mafer of talents
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and karaiter; and the pratice iz to procure a mant of the beft character in the town or naborlood. The weithy towns apply to yung gentlemen of libera! education, who, after taking the bachelor's degree, ufually keep fkool a yeer or two, before they enter upon a profeffion. One of the moft unfortunate cirs cumftances to education in the middle and futhern fates, iz, an opinion that fkool keeping iz a meen employment, fit only for perfons of low karacter. The retches who keep the fkools in thoze ftates, very frequently degrade the employment ; but the misfortune iz, public opinion fuppozes the employment degrades the man : Of courfe no gentleman will undertake to teech children, while, in popular eftimation, he muft forfit hiz rank and karacter by the employment. Until public opinion iz corrected by fome great examples, thie common fchools, what few there are in thoze ftates, muft continu in the hands of fuch vagabonds az wander about the country.

Neerly connecied with the eftablifhment of fkools, iz the circulation of newfpapers in New England. This iz both a corifequence and a caufe of a general diffufion of letters. In Connecticut, aimoft every man reeds a paper every week. In the yeer 1785 , I took fome pains to afcertain the number of papers printed weekly in Connesticut, and in the futhern frates. I found the number in Connecticut to be neerly eight thoufand; which waz equal to that publifhed in the whole territory, fouth of Pennfylvania.* By meens of this general circulation of public papers, the peeple are informed of all political affairs; and their reprefentativs are often prepared to deliberate on propofitions, made to the legiflature.

Another inftitution favorable to knowlere, iz the eftablifment of parith libraries. - Theze are procured by fublcription, but they aic numerous, the expenfe not being confiderable, and the defire of reeding univerfal.

[^84]terial. One hundred volums of books, felected from the beft writers on ethics, divinity and hiftory, and red by the principal inhabitants of a town or village, wil hav an amazing influence in fpreding knowlege, correcting the morals and foftening the manners of a nation. I am acquainted with parifhes, where almoit every houfholder haz red the works of Addifon, Sherlock, Atterbury, Watts, Young, and other fimilar writings; and wil converfe handiomely on the fubjects of which they treet.

Still further, the wifdom of the erly fettlers in New England iz remarkable in the divifion of their territorial jurifdictions into townfhips, and incorporating them with certain powers of a fubordinate nature. Every town iz a corporate body, with power to appoint, at an annual meeting, certain town magiffrates, called felee eqmen, who hav the charge of providing for the poor, fuperintending the town property, difpozing of the monies \&rc. rendering an account to the town at the annual meeting. The towns alio appoint conftables, collectors of taves, $t$ furveyors of roads, tithing men, whoze bufinefs iz to prezerve order on Sundays, infpectors of various denominations, \&cc. The towns are obliged to bild and repair their own bridges, repair roads, and defray the expenfe by a tax impozed. by themfelves. They alfo fupport their own poor. This fyftem of fubordinate legillation haz the advantage of laving the legiflature much trubble, and the corporations can hardly abufe powers, which are limited to their own territorie's ; nor wil they probably neglect their duty, az it iz for their intereft and convenience to perform it.

In the general organization of guvernment, the New England ftates differ widely; thoze of Maffachufetts and New Hampfhire, being formed fince the revolution, are wel known; thoze of Connecticut and Rhode Ifland are moddled upon the charters of Charles II, and have fuffered but little alteration, fince their firf eftablifhment.

The

+ This iz an evil of great magnitude.

The New England colonies were originally guverned by a cheef niagiftrate or guvernor, a deputy, and a certain number of affiftants, all chofen by the peeple. They were called the court of afliftants, and for a confiderable time, exercized all powers legillativ and judicial. The clergy were uzually afociated with them, and they feem to hav taken cognizance likewife of ecclefiaftical matters. The rulers of peeple in finall Tocieties, in crly fettlements, and in the fimple ftate of nafure, uzually hav difcretionary powers to act for the common good. This waz the cafe with the ancient witena-genote, and folk-motes or county meetings in England ; and with the firft legiflatures in theze colonies.

The towns foon began to fend reprefentativs to the court ; but for feveral yeers in Bofton, they fat in the fame houfe with the affiftants; in the fame manner az the knights of thires, or reprefentativs of the inferior barons, fat in parliament with the lords on their firft introduction into the legillature. But az the towns multiplied, this practice waz found inconvenient, and the deputies were feparated from the affiftants. When this took place the affiftants rezerved to themfelves the judiciary powers, which at firt were lodged in the whole affembly. In Connecticut, the affiftants or upper houfe of alfembly retained theze powers in cffect, till the late revolution ; only for the fake of convenience, five of their number were appointed by both houres, to the immediate exercize of the office and to ride the circuit. Still the affembly were a court of appeels in the laft rezort, to all intents and purpofes; for on petition, any judgement or decree might be heerd and reverfed by the legiflature. Since the revolution, a fupreme court of errors iz conflituted, but on an exceptionable plan, and the legiflature continues to exercize fupreme judicial power on petitions. This iz a remnant of the old adminiftration, which was once harmlefs, if not neceflary; but in a large community, may be confidered az a faulty part of the guvernment. The whole leginture likewize acts at a court for the
trial of public delinquents. This iz an evil of unbounded magnitude. When charges are exhibited againft any public officer, or any objections made to hiz, re-appointment, he iz admitted to a hearing, council iz cm ployed, the charges are red, witneffes examined, and the delinquent makes hiz defence in perfon or by attorney. This mode of impeachment and trial iz the worit that can be invented. It iz difficult or impoffible for a large popular aficmbly to be goodjudges; they cannot perfectly underftand a cafe; they are credulous; and their compafion cezily moved. A pathetic harang, efpecially from the accufed himfelf, with teers in hiz cyes, and the misfortunes of hiz family painted in difcription, wil fkreen from punifhment any knave, however numerous hiz crimes, or however convincing the proofs of hiz gilt. A popular affembly fhould not fit in judgement upon delinquents, for the fame reezon that wimen would be improper judges, and for the fame reezons that the mother and wife of Coriolanus were the only perfons who could fave Rome from his vengence.*

The conftitution of Connecticut iz if poffible, more defectiv in the trezury or finance department.' The trezurer iz annually appointed by the freemen in the ftate at large. This makes him dependent on them. The collectors are fcattered in every part of the fate; and if the trezurer iz not agreeable to them, az he wil not be, if he iz rigorous in enforcing collections, they can render him unpopular and throw him out of office. This iz an evil; befides, the conftables, who are collectors, are appointed by the towns; if they are rigorous in their duty, they are liable to looz their office: or what iz worfe, they may fet up az candidates for the legifiature, and by an influence arizing from their power in exacting taxes with a greater or lefs degree of rigor, procure an election to an employment for which they

[^85]
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 MISCELLANEOUS REMARKS.they are wholly unqualified. When a confiderable number of collectors hav obtained feets in the legillazure, they are ever reddy to delay or furpend the collection of taxes. This is not the worft part of the fytiem. The method of obtaining the money in default of the collectors, iz tedious, expenfiv, ineffeefual, and in thort ridiculous. WWhen a collector iz in arreer, a diftrefs iffues from the trezury againft hiz eftate. Upon a return of noin c/i, or in cafe of the collector's infolvency, execution ifliues againt the felectmen of the town, whofe eftates are liable for the arrecrages of taxes. The felectmen then levy a tax upon the inhabitants to, indemnify themfelves.

It would be endiefs to enumerate the evils arizing out of this mode of collection. If the trezurer was appointed by the legiflature, with power to name his collectors and call them to account;' and if collectors were obliged to giv bonds with fufficient fecurity to fave the ftate from lofs, which fecurity fhould be liable to diffrefs immediately on failure of the collector, the taxes would be collected with promptitude and a great faving of expenfe.

It may be obzerved, that the faults of the conftitution are afcribeable to tee ancient fimplicity of the New England peeple, and the corruptions of the adminiftration hav grown out of the long tranquillity of the flate. While the peeple had perfect confidence in their rulers, they were not difpofed to difobey the laws; and while there were few opportunities of corruptions, there might be no inftance of maladminiffration, fo obvious or atrocious az to alarm enquiry, and excite peeple to change laves and forms, to which they had been familiarized. The inconveniencies refulting from a union of the leginlativ and judicial powers in the fame hands, were not fo great az to be fenlibly felt by the public; and habits of refpect for men in office, and fubmiffion to law, had rendered men credulous and unfufpecting. To this day, it iz difficuit to make the inhabitants beleev that their rulers and magiftrates can betray a puiblic truft. Till within two yeers, the guvernor, deputy ghevernor, judges of the fuperior court, or two juftices
of the peece, could draw upon the trezury of Connecticut, without their accounts being examined by any controller or auditor.

Before the legiflature could be perfuaded to inflitute a controller's office az a check upon the trezury, it waz necefliary to exhibit to them frong proofs of maladminiftration in that department; and the evils arizing from the prezent mode of collecting taxcs, muft be obvious and great, before they wil make any change in the fyftem. Men are guverned by habit. The firft Jaws of a country :ake their complection from the peculiar caft and circumfances of the peeple; and then the laws in turn contribute to form the manners of fucceeding generations. The fate of Connecticut iz ans illuftrious example of this truth. By its fituation, it can never be expozed to fudden changes by an influx of foreigners. It haz no great capital, no gencral mart where all bufinefs centers; it haz very little intercourfe with Europe; and the communication by water between New York and Rhode Inland iz̀ fo direct, cezy and cheep, that for nine months in the yeer, few peepie travel thro Connecticut. For theze reezons, ancient manners and habits will be prezerved longer in this ftate than in moft of the others.

There iz one article in the conffitution of this flate that merits notice and imitation, becaufe it iz equally fingular and excellent. It iz the manner of eleciing the affiftants or fenators of their own legiflature, and the members of congress. Theze are elected by the freemen at large in the whole ftate. The number of fenators iz twelve, and chofen anmually in this manner. In September, the frecmen affemble in the towns and vote for twenty perfons, by ballot ; the votes are all returned to the legiflature in October, and numbered; and the twenty names that hav the moft votes are faid to ftand in nomination, and are publifhed by order of aflembly. The next April, the freemen affermble again, and vote by ballot for twelv of the twenty, and the twelv perfons who hav the moft votes, are elelied. Reprefentatives in congrefs are chozen in a finilar manner. The great excellence of this mode of choozing
iz, it holds up to public view, fix months before election, the karacters who are candidates ; peeple hav an opportunity of enquiring into their merits, that they may feleft from the whole thoze who are the leef exceptionable.

It iz allo a fingular advantage that one branch of the legiflature flands upon the fuffrages of the whole. If a man's nabors take a diflike to hiz public or private conduat, they wil, if poffible, difmifs him from office. This iz the great misfortune of fimall diftrict elections, for it often happens that a man's integrity and independence in public mezures, are moft likely to render him unpopular among hiz nabors; and fometimes finall domeflic occurrences may turn the tide of favor: againft him. But when a man iz elected by a large difrict, he iz not expozed to this evil ; and nothing fhort of a general oppofition to popular mezures will fhake him from hiz elevation. Theze remarks hav been repeetedly verified in Connecticut. 'Tho independence of the fenate, owing moftly to this articie in the conftitution, haz feveral times faved the ftate from the moft difgraceful acts.

The reprefentativs are chiozen twice a yeer, for there are two regular feflions of the legiflature. 'This iz an inconvenience, but not fo great, az it appears to our futhern nabors; for the freemen meet in towns, which are but about fix miles fquare; fo that they can go from home, make a choice, and return in three hours.

The regularity of theze meetings iz incredible to ftrangers, accuftomed to the tumultuous elections in England and the futhern ftates. No mân dare folicit for the votes of hiz nabors, nor ever offers himfelf a candidate by advertizing. The freemen meet in fome public bilding, uzually a church, feet themfelves, heer the law red refpeSting elections, and proclamation iz made that they prepare their ballots for the officer to be chozen. The conflables then carry a hat to every freeman and take the votes, which are counted by the civil authority, and the choice declared in the meeting. Thus the reprefentativs arc elected; but the balloty.
for guvernor, deputy guvernor, fenators, and delegates to congrefs, are feeled up, and fent to Hartford, where they are numbered at the annual election in May. The choice iz conducted with neerly the fame fobriety az public worfhip on Sunday. How different the elections in the futhern ftates, where I hav feen candidates march at the hed of their adherents, armed with clubs, and force their way to the place of election, and by violence thrufting away their rivals! It is a misfortune in thoze ftates, that the freemen of a whole county affemble at elections. This iz one principal caufe, why the elections lre attended with tumults, riots, quarrels, bloody nozes, and in a few inflances, with deth. The laws of a republic fhould gard againft all large collecions of peeple either for good or bad purpofes: They are always dangerous. Rome furnifhes innumerable leffons on this fubject ; and if the futhern legiflatures attend to facts, they wil doubtlefs divide their counties into fmall difricts for the purpofe of clection, and hav the choice completed in one day; that the candidates might not be able to hed their frends in more places than one. It iz of infinit confequence that the pernicious influence of elections fhould be deftroyed.

Religion in Connecticut haz the fupport of law. Contrachs with clergymen are valid in law, and every man iz compelled to pay hiz proportion of taxes to pay the falary of the minitter of the pariih where he relides, unlets he produces du proof that he attends worfhip with fome diffenting congregation; in which cafe he iz excuzed. This iz confidered by ftrangers az a hardihipt: But it produces few inconveniencies in a fate where there are few difienters from the common worthip; and theze few are exempted, if they attend any religious worfhip. Every perfon iz indulged in worhhiping az he pleezes; and whatever modern liberality may pretend, the regular preeching of the gofpel, az a civil inflitution, iz az neceffary and ufful, az the effablifhment of fkools or courts of juitice. Without any regard to compuilion over confciences, or any reference to a future life, a legal provifion for the moral initructors
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Aructors of men, iz az beneficial in fociety, az any civil or literary inflitution whatever; and a commonalty, who hav not the benefit of fuch inftruction, wil, I prefume to affert, always be ignorant, and of ruf uncivil manners. It iz an article of fome conftitutions in America, that clergymen fhal hold no civil office. This exclufion iz founded on juft az good reezons, az the old laws againft witcheraft ; a clergyman being no more dangerous in a civil office, than a witch in civil fociety. It iz faid that the bufinefs of clergymen iz divine and fpiritual, and that they fhould hav no concern with politics. The objection iz equally good againft merchants, mechanics and farmers, who hav no immediate concern with legiflation. The truth iz, evcry citizen haz a concern in the laws which guvern him; and a clergyman haz the fame concern with civil laws, az other men. There hav been bad clergymen and tyrannical hierarkies in the world ; but theerror lies in fcparating the civil from the ecclefiatical government. When feparated they become rivals; when united, they hav the fame intereft to purfu. A clergyman's bufinefs iz to injorm hiz peeple, and to make them good men. This iz the way to make thein good ritizens. The clergymen in Bofton take the right method to accomplifh this bufinefs; they throw afide all divine airs and imperious grave fuperiority; they mingle in the moft familiar manner, with other peeple ; they are focial and facetious, and their parifhoners delight to hav them at all entertainments and concerts. This conduct remoovs the awful diftance between them and other defcriptions of men; the are not only efteemed and refpected, hut luved; their decent deportment iz imitated; their churches are crowded, and their inftructions liftened to with plezure. Such men are bleffings to fociety: That clergymen ought not to meddle with politics, iz fo far from truth, that they ought to be well acquainted with the fubject, and better than moit claffes of men, in proportion to their literary attainments. Religion and policy oughi ever to go hand in hand; not to raize a fyttem of defpetifm over
the confciences, but to enlighten the minds, foften the harts, correct the manners and reftrain the vices of men. If men are to be fitted for heaven, it $m u / t$ be by theze meens; there iz no other way. The feparation of religion and policy, of church and flate, waz owing at firft to the crrors of a gloomy fuperftition, which exalted the minifters of Chrift into Deities; who, like other men, under fimilar advantages, became tyrants. The way to check their ambition, and to giv full efficacy to their adminiftrations, iz to confider them az men and citizens, entitled to all the benefits of guvernment, fubject to Jaw, and defigned for civil az wel az jpiritual inftructors.

The flate of New York waz fettled with views, widely different from thoze which actuated the New England puritans. Some Dutch merchants firt eitablifhed factories at Albany and on Manhattans, now York Ifland, for the purpofe of opening a fur trade. When the province came into the poffeflion of the Englifh, feveral gentlemen of property took up large qraits of lands, which, being regulated by the Englith laws of defcent, continued unbroken, til the late revplution. But many of the proprietors of theze manors, efpoufing the royal caufe in the late conteft, left their eftates, which were of courfe confifated and fold by the ftate. This circumftance waz fatal to many large manors; and a law of the ftate, enacted about the yeer 1781, which breaks the prefent and bars all future entailments, wil in time divide the large eftates which remain unbroken. The Dutch poffers the moft fertile parts of the old fettlements; az Ulfer and Claverak counties, part of Albany and Kings county, on Long Ifland. They are honeft and economical, but indolent, and deflitute of enterprize; fo that the ftate wil be moftly indetted to emigrants from New England, for its future population and improvements.

New York city iz the moft favorable frand for a great commercial port on the united fates. Men may indulge themfelves in rapfodies, about the Potomack, the Ohio and the Miffifippi ; but no part of theze fiates,
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flates, ceft of the Allegany, wil ever rival New York; and it iz doutful whether the fame conveniencies for bufinefs unite on any part of the Miffififpi. New York iz the center of the commerce of all the territory, between the weftern boundary of Rhode Ifland and the middle of. New Jerfey, from the Atlantic neerly to the borders of Canada; a diftrict of two hundred miles by two hundred and fifty. And the geography of the country telis us, that no part of Atlantic America can claim the fame extenfiv advantages. New York iz not cezily defended in time of war, and therefore, without a navy, iz not a fafe place for an arfenal ; but Weft Point, fixty miles abuv the city, on the Hudfon, iz the moft impregnable fortrefs in America.
Before the revolution, the guvernment of New York waz under the influence of the crown of Great Britain, the guvernor and council being appointed by the king. It waz illiberal in the preference given to the epifcopal church ; no other denomination of Chriftians being able to obtain any corporate eftablifhment. The fame illiberal preference waz difcuverable in the inflitution and guvernment of the college, now called Columbia collegc, in which diffenters of any defription could not. hav a fhare. The revolution haz effected a change in theze particulars. Diffenting churches, which are the moft numerous is the ftate, are or may be incorporated ; and education begins to be encuraged by the laws. A univerfity iz eftablifhed, with a power of fuperintending and regulating fkools throughout the flate; but provifion iz not made for maintaining common fkools in every quarter of the ftate. Ignorance fill prevails among the yemanry ; and this enables certain defigning karacters to exercife a pernicious infuence in the suvernment.

1 he tertitory of New Jerfey originally belonged ta, two, and afterwards to many proprietors, who appointed the gevernors. But in the reign of queen Ann, the guvernment waz rezigned to the crown, and for a number of ycers, the guvernor of New York waz alfo semernor of the Jerfies, althe eech province had a dif-
trict affermbly. The heirs of the original proprietors, or their purchafers, fill hold the foil. There are in this ftate many large eftates, but an entailment iz good only to the firt donee in tail ; the eftate, on hiz deth inteftate, being divided equaily among hiz heirs. In general the laws of New Jerfey are highly republican ; but they make no provifion for a general diffurion of knowlege. Many of the yemanry are extremely ignorant. The college at Princeton iz a very valuable inflitution ; but fo little concern haz the legiflature for the intereft of lerning, that the funds of that college are taxed by law.

The prefent conftitution of New Jerfey iz liable to few exceptions; but the flate iz divided into two parties which often agitate the guvernment. Az the caufe and effeas of the controverfy which began and fil continues theze parties, are little known to their nabors, I beg leev here to offer a concife flate of the fąts from unqueftionable authority.

James, duke of York, in June 1654, conveyed News Jerfey to John, lord Berkeley, and Sir George Carteret, in fee. The bounds of the territory granted were, the main fee and Hudfon's river on the ceft, Delaware bay or river on the weft, Cape May on the fouth, and on the north the northernmoft branch of Delaware bay, or siver, which iz forty one degrees and forty minutis of latitude, crofing over thence in a firait line to Hudjon's river, in forty one degrees of latitude.
Some intermediate conveyances of lord Berkeley's undivided half part were made, but need not be here recited. On the firft of July, 1676 , waz executed a quintipartite deed, between Sir George Carteret, and the grantees of lord Berkely, by which the territory waz divided; Sir George Carteret releefing all the weltern. part to the grantees of Berkeley, and the latter releefing the eeftern part to Sir George. The line of partition, which originated all the fubrequent difputes, is thus defcribed in the deed: "Extending eeftward and northward along the fee contt and the laid viver, called Hudfon's river, from the eeff fide of a certain place or hathor:
harbor, lying on the futhern part of the fame trat of land, and cominonly called and known in a mafs of the fame, by the name of Littlc Egg Harbor, to that part of the faid Hudfon's river, which iz in forty one degrees of latitude, being the furthermort part of faid tract of land and premifes, which iz bounded by the faid river, and croffing over from thence in a frait line; extending from that part of Hudfon's river aforefaid, to the northernmoft part or branch of thie before mentioned river, called Delaware river, and to the moit northerly point or boundary of the faid tract of land and premifes, granted by hiz royal highnefs, James, duke of York, to lord Berkeley and Sir George Carteret."
A difficulty aroze about the northern point of partition ; the duke of York's grant making the northernmoft branch of Delaware bay or river to be in forty one degrees and forty minutes of latitude; and declaring a line from this point to the latitude of forty onc on Hudfon's river, to be the northern boundary of New Jerfey. Difputes aroze, and the legiflature of New Jerfey, in 1719 , paffed an act, declaring that a partition line between Eeft and Weft Jerfey, fhall be run from the mofl nortberly point or boundary of the province, on the northernmogt branch of Dclaware river, to the moft futherly point of Little Egg Harbor. Commifioners were appointed for this purpofe, and alfo for running the line between New York and New Jerfey. They met with commiffioners from New York, but could not agree, and left the bufinefs unfinifhed. In 174I, another attempt waz made by Mr. Alexander, furveyor general of both divifions, but obnoxious to the Weft Jerfey proprietors. He began to run the line, but fome errors he committed, or bad infruments, prevented the completion of the bufinefs; he ftopped half way. Difputes ran high, and were attended with riots, till the yeers 1762 and 1764 , when by a law of New York and another of New feriey, it waz agreed the line between the provinces fhould be run by commifioners to be appointed by the crown. To this agrecment the proprietors
prietors of Weft Jerfey az well az Eeft, were parties. The commiffioners met, fixed the two fation points between New York and New Jerfey, one at a rock on Hudfon's river, in forty one degrees of latitude, the other at the forks of the Delaware, at the mouth of the river Makhakamak, in latitude $41^{\circ} \cdot 21^{\prime} \cdot 37^{\prime \prime}$. This point on Delaware iz eigbteen minutes twenty three feconds, to the futhward of the nortbern boundary of New Jerfey, az defcribed in the duke of York's grant to the firf proprietors; which waz, on the northernmof branch of Delazvare river, which iz forty one deg rees forty minutes of latitude.
Both parties appeeled to the crown, but without fucrefs. Acts were afterwards paffed, both by New York and Ncw Jerfey, confirming the line between the provinces, and theze ads receeved the approbation of the king in council. This waz an amicable fettlement between the two provinces; and it waz expeited that the northern limits of Newu Ferfey and the fation points on both rivers, being fixed by law, nothing waz neceffary to quiet all parties, but to run the line from the north ftation point on Delaware to Little Egg Harbor.

A correfpondence for this purpofe took place between the proprietors of Eeft and Weft Jerfey; but before the matter waz completed the war commenced. Since the war, the controverfies hav been revived, and divided the fate into violent parties. It feems the proprietors of Eeft Jerfey expected the north fation point on Delazvare would hav been fixed az high az forty one dogrees forty mirutes, the point defcribed by the original grant from the duke of York. This would hav carried the limit of the fate about eighteen miles further north on the Delaware fide. Now there iz a bend in the Delaware, at the forks, fo that the ftation point az now fixed, iz carried further eeft than it would be, had it been fixed in forty one degrees forty minutes; fo the decifion of the commiffioners waz in favor of the Weft Jerfey proprietors. From the forks, the river bends its courfe wefterly of north, and from a point eighteen miles north, a line to Little Egg Harbor, would leev ait
angle containing feveral thoufand akers of land, in Eefe. Jerfey. This iz a fhort fate of the origin and progrefs of a controverly, which ftil agitates the fate and difturbs the peece of their guvernment ; the jealoufies between Eeft and Weft Jerfey being almoft az great az between the northern and futhern ftates, upon a queftion refpeeting the feet of guvernment, or any other matter of little confequence to the union. The conteft however iz of magnitude to both parties in New Jerfey, az the lands in difpute hav been fettled upon doutful titles; and altho an aze of the legiflature may eftablifh theze, yet the loozing party wil expett a compenfation.*

The commerce of New Jerfey iz almoit wholly carried on thro New York and Philadelphia. Its fituation, between two large commercial towns, refembles that of Connecticut ; but in one refpeef, the latter haz the advantage, viz. that of a butiful navigable river, penetrating the fate and affording the beft conveniencies for a trade to the Weft Indies. The legillature of New Jerfey hav attempted to call home the trade of the ftate, by holding out liberal encouragement for dire?t importations from abroad, and making free ports. Perth Amboy affords a fine harbor, but it iz difficult, perhaps impoffible, to raize a rival in the naborhood of New York. New Jerfey and Connecticut wil find their intereft in encuraging manufactures.

Penfylvania waz fettled by a religious fect, remarkable for their fobriety, induftry and pacific difpofition, Mr. Penn, the firt proprietor of the province, waz a man of fuperior talents. The free indulgence given to all religious denominations, invited fettlers from England, Germany and Ircland, and the population of the province, with the confequential increefe of the valu of lands, waz rapid beyond any thing known in the other colonies. The province however waz harraffed with difputes between the acting guvernors and the commons.

[^86]mons. The proprietary, who waz the guvernor, ufually rezided in England; appointing a deputy with a council, to act for him in the province. . The proprietaries were often feltifl, and made demands upon the peeple, which their fenfe of liberty and right would not permit then to grant. The quit-rents, paper currency, and fome other matters, were conftant fubjects of altercation, whenever the affembly convened.*

The long and violent oppofition to the influence of their proprietaries, who were abroad, and often confidered az hoftile to popular privileges, together with the beneficial effects of a paper currency, during the infant ftate of the province, may be the reozons why the conftitution of Penfylvania, formed at the revolution, yerged too much towards an extreme of democracy; $t$ and why the legiflature of that fate waz the firft to iffue a paper currency, after the war. The old republican patriots, who had refifted, with fuccels, the encroachments of arbitrary guvernors and kings, determined to frame a conflitution, which fhould prevent the interférence of a guvernor and council in acts of legillation; and men who had feen the good effects of paper currency, without its evils, would be the firft to recommend it. It iz natural ; men are guverned by habit.

At the revolution in 1776, the reprefentativs of the province, acting on the principle that public good tranfeends all confiderations of individual right, affumed the reigns of governinent, formed a conititution for the purpofe, and divefted the proprietaries of both territory and jurifdiction. They gave them however, 530,000 . fterling in lieu of all quit-rents, and rezerved to them confiderable tracts of land. The firt conftitution, like that of the Netherlands, waz framed upon the

[^87]the ruins of oppreffion, and with a too jealous atterttion to popular rights. It waz defectiv in the moft materidi articles, ard a few yeers experience induced the peeple to adopt another form, more analagous to thoze by which her fifter ftates are guverned.

The laws of Penfylvania, refpecting inheritances, hav not barred entails; but az entails may be docked by the Englifh fineffe of common recoveries; az the divifions of lands favor equality, az wel az the genius of the peeple, there can be no apprehenfions of an ariftocratical influence from large poffifions of real eftate. A fingle man may hold real or perfonal eftate to fuch an amount, az to hav an undu influence in politics and commerce. When a man haz become fo powerful that hiz nabors are afraid to demand their rights of him in a legal way; or when a town or city iz fo far under hiz control, that the citizens are generally afraid of offending him, he iz or may be a dangerous man in a free ftate, and a bad man in any ftate. A Clive and a Haftings are az dangerous in a ftate, az an Arnold or a Shays, if they hav the fame evil propenfities; for thoze who oppoze law, are generally punifhed; but thoze who are abuv law, may do injuftice with impunity.

The peeple in Penfylvania may be included under the three denominations of Frends, Gcrmans, and Irifh defendants. 'The Frends and Germans were the firft fettlers, and for the moft part liv between the Delaware and Sufquehanna. Theze are peeceable and induftrious peeple. The Irith or their defendants, inhabit the weftern counties; they are induftrious, but not fo wel informed in general, az the inhabitants of fome older counties, and at times hav been turbulent citizens. It waz the misfortune of this, $a z$ of all the futhern ftates, that no provifion for public fkools waz incorporated into the original fundamental laws.

Without fuch a provifion, it is not poffible that a body of freemen houkl hav the reeding neceflary to form juft notions of liberty and law. This defeet wil probably be fupplied by the new confltution and the
fuiture laws of the ftate. The number of colleges and academies alreddy founded and endowed, proov the difpofition of the legillature to encurage fcience. The only dificulty iz to perfuade an agricultural peeple to fettle in villages or ilans, for the purpofe of maintaining a clergyman and ikoolmafter ; and thus to tarry into effect the wife and benevolent defigns of their rulers.

Philadelphia iz a great commercial city; but it iz queftioned whether commerce wil giv it a future growth equal to that of New York. The future population of the futhern part of New Jerfey, and the peninfula between the Chefapeek and the Atlantic, wil not add much to the trade of Philadelphia. The naborhood of the city and moft of the lands towards Lancafter and Bethlehem, are alreddy wel fettled. About feventy three miles weft of Philadelphia runs the Sufquehanna; a river not indeed navigable at the mouth, but with fome portages, capable of opening a communication by water from Wioming to the Chefapeek; and thould canals be opened to avoid the falls and rapids, the trade of the ftate, quite to the hed of that river, wil center in Baltimore. At any rate Baltimore and Alexandria wil command moft of the trade weft of the Surquehanna ; fo that Philadelphia muft depend moftly, for the increefe of her bufinefs, on the population northward, about the hed of the Deleware. The commerce however wil always be confiderable, and the fpirit of the citizens in eftablifhing manufactures, promifes a grcat extenfion of the city.

The ftate of Penfylvania waz, for many yeers, agitated by a territorial controverfy with Connecticut; the hiftory of which iz breefly this.

King James I. in 1620, made a grant to a number of gentlemen, called the Plimouth Company, of all the lands in North A merica, included between the 40 th and $4^{8 \text { th }}$ degrees of latitude, throughout all the main land from fee to fet; except fuch lands az were then fettled by fome Chrifian prince or flate. The only fettlements at that time north of Virginia, were at New York and Albany, on the Hudfon.
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In 1628, a number of gentlemen obtained from the company a grant of lands, bounded on the north, by a line three miles north of Merrimak river, and on the fouth, by a line three milcs fouth of Charles river, throughout the main lands from the Allantic on the cef, to the South See; on the worf. This waz the firft grant of Maffachufetts.

In the yeer 1631, Robert, enl of Warwick, prefident of the Plimouth company, granted to lord Say and Seal, and lord Brook, all that part of New England, extending from Naraganfet river, the ,fpace of forty leess on a frait line, neer the fee coaft, north and fouth in latitude and bredth, and in length and longitude of and within all the aforefaid bredth, throughout all the main lands from the weffern Ocean to the South Sce. This grant waz confirmed by the charter of Charles II. dated April $23 ; 1652$, with a fimilar defcription of the territory.

In r654, king Cliarles II. gave hiz brother a tract of land in America, the defription of which iz not wholly confiftent or intelligible; but one part of the grant interfered with the Connefticut patent, and difputes aroze, which were amicably fettled by commiffioners in 1683 ; the line between Connecticut and New York being fixed at Ryram river, about twenty miles eeft of the Hudfon.

In 1680, Sir William Penn obtained from the crown a trait of land, extending from twelv miles north of New Caftle, on the Delaware, to the forty third degree of latitude, and from the Delaware weftward five degrees of longitude. This grant interfered with the patent of Connesticut, provided the grant to the guvernor and company of Connecticut thould be extended weft of New York, according to the words of that and the other grants of New England. Mr. Penn took care to gain a juft title to hiz patent by bona fide purchafes of the Indians, who pofferfed the foil. But the queftion iz, whether he had a right of pre-emption to lands before granted to other men ; and whether the king's grant to him could be valid, fo far az it cuvered lands alredy conveyed by
the crown to a company, which had begun fettlements upon the grant.

The Penfylvanians contended that, the geografy of this country being little known in England, az all the maps and charts at that time were imperfect and erroneous, it mult hav been owing to an ignorance of the diftance from the Atlantic to the South See, that the grants were made to run thro the continent : That Mr. Penn had acquired the beft of titles to the lands in difpute by fair purchafe from the nativ proprietors: And that Conneeticut, by a fettlement of her boundary with New York, had fixed her weftern limits, and re ${ }_{\text {- }}$ linquifhed all claim to lands weft of New York.

While any part of Connecticut, eeft of New York, remained unlocated, the inhabitants fuffered their claims weftward to lie dormant. But about the yeer 1750, the whole of this territory waz located, and the peeple began to think of forming a fettlement weft of Delaware river. They however knew that the lands were claimed by Penfylvania, and to remoov all douts az to the validity of their own title, requefted the opinions of the moft eminerit council in England, upon their right by charter to the lands in queftion. They receeved for anfwer, that the grant to the Plimouth company, did extend to the weftward of New York : That the fettlement of the boundary line between New York and Connecticut, did not affect their claims to lands in other parts : And that, the charter of Connecticut being of a prior date to that of Sir William Penn, there waz no ground to contend, that the crown could make an effectual grant to him of that country which had been fo recently granted to others. This anfwer waz fo decifiv and cleer in favor of their claim, that they procceded to locate and fettle the lands on the Sufquehanna river, within the latitude of the Conneeticut charter. It feems however that a few featter ing fettlements had been made within the fame latitude, on the oppofit fide of the river, under Penfylvania locations. The fettlers foon came to an open quarrel, and poth fates became interefied in the controverfy. The
difpute
difpute however fubfided a few yeers during the war, til finally both fates fubmitted their claims to the jurifdiction of the territory, to a federal court, which waz held at Trenton, in Noyember, 1782. The decifion of this court waz in favor of Penfylvania, and Connecticut acquiefced.

Diflatisfied with this decree, the fettlers under Connesticut and individual claimants; determined to maintain their right to the foil, which they had poffeffed more than twenty five yeers; and to fubmit this alfo to a fedcral court. No court however waz ever held for the purpofe; the claimants not finding any fupport from the guvernment of Connecticut. The fettlers, amounting to many hundreds, remained upon the foil. Penfylvania, by a precipitancy arifing out of an imperfect frame of guvernment, refolved to take poffeffion of the lands, and fent an armed force for the purpofe. This mézure waz rahh, efpecially az the principal fettlers had taken the oath of allegiance to that fate, and were willing, if they could be quieted in their poffeffions, to becum good and peeceable citizens. Tumults followed ; the hiftory of which would be difagreeable to moft reeders. At length, Penfylvania pafied a law to quiet thoze who were actual fettlers before the decree at Trenton, in the pofieffion of their farms, amounting to about three hundred akers eech. The territory waz erected into a county, by the name of Luzerne, in honor of the French minifiter of that name. Colonel Pickering waz appointed Prothonotary* of the county. This gentleman haz fuffered much in reconciling parties ; but hiz integrity, zeel, prudence, and indefatigable induftry, bid fair to meet with mierited fuccefs in quieting diforders and eftablifhing guvernment.
In this controverfy, feveral queftions arize. Firf, What right had the crown of England to the lands in North America?

I anfwer, the right of difcuvery. This right, however the law of nations may hav confidered it, does not in fact entitle a prince or fate to the foil, even of

[^88]an uninhabited territory; much lefs, of lands pofieffed by any of the human race. It entitles the difcuvering nation to a preference in forming fettlements or occupying vacant lands. And this right iz derived rather from the common convenience of nations, or the neceffity of fome principle by which to prevent controverfy, than frum any connection between difurery and a title to property.

Secondly, What right could the grantees derive from a royal grant of lands in America ?

I anfwer, merely a right of pre-emption, or a preference in purchafing the lands of the proprietors, the nativ Indians.

Thirdly, The guvernor and company of Connecticut, by the prior date of their charter, having the right of pre-emption to all the lands cuvered by the charter, could Mr . Penn acquire a title to any of the fame lands by pre-emption?

On legal principles he certainly could not.
The only fubftantial ground of title which Penfylvania could hav to the controverted lands, waz, that Connecticut, by neglecting to purehafe of the Indians, might forfit their right of pre-emption, and leev the territory open to any purchafer whatever ; fo that Mr . Penn or hiz heirs might acquire a good title by firft purchafe. Whether Mr. Penn actually acquired fuch a title or not, I am not poffeffed of documents to deeide. That the firft grant of New England actually extended to the Weftern or Pacific Ocean, cannot be denied; and congrefs hav admitted the claim, by accepting from Connecticut a ceffion of lands weft of Penfylvania. Connecticut however fill holds a tract of one hundred and twenty miles, weft of that ftate, which iz now for fale. The fate of Maffachufetts haz a fimilar claim to lands weft of New York ftate ; and the line between the two ftates haz lately been fettled by commiffioners. At any rate, the controverfy between Connecticut and Penfylvania waz finally terminated by the decree of Trenton, and it iz to be wifhed no future altercation may difturb the fates or individual proprictors.

The fmall ftate of Delaware refembles Penfylvania in refpest to its hiftory and guvernment.

Maryland waz fettled by Roman Catholic emigrants, from England and Ireland, under lord Baltimore. Large grants of land were carved out to individuals, and flaves purchafed from Africa to cultivate the foil. Some of the largeft eftates in America lie in Maryland. 'Ihe guvernment waz formerly in the hands of the proprictary; but the peeple, at the revolution, affumed it. Mr. Harford, the natural fon of lord Baltimore, inherited hiz property in Maryland ; but being an abfentee during the war, hiz eftates were confifcated, and on petition, the legiflature refuzed him even the arreerages of rent, du at the commencement of hoftilities.*

The prefent conftitution iz in general excellent ; and particularly in the eftablifhment of an independent fenate. In a popular ftate, nothing contributes fo much to ftability and fafery, az an independency and firmnefs in one branch of the legiflature. This ftate however, like its nabors, iz remarkable for tumultuous elections ; a malpractice that haz exifted from its firft fettlement; a practice which wil fooner or later proov fatal to the attempts of merit in obtaining offices, and fap the foundation of a free guvernment.

The body of the peeple are ignorant. I once faw a copy of inftructions given to a reprefentativ by hiz conftituents, with more than a hundred names fubfribed; three fifths of which were marked with a crofs, becaufe the men could not write. Two or three colleges, and fome academies and private fkools, conflitute the principal meens of inftruction in this ftate, and moft of theze are of a modern eftablifhment. A few laize towns only giv good encuragement to fkools and the clergy.

Maryland continues to reccev multitudes of emigrants from Europe, and many of them are of the pooreft clafs. From feveral months rezidence in Maryland, I ain inclined to beleev, there are more vagabonds
in

[^89]in Baltimore and the visinity, than in all New England. But Maryland muft decide upon the public benefit derived from this unreftrained admiffion of foreigners.
Virginia waz fettled eight yeers before New York, and fourteen before New England. This circumfance haz given the ftate the quaint appellation of the ancient dominion. The divifions of property are large, and the lands cultivated by flaves. Entailments of land were barred before the revolution ; but real eftate iz not liable for det upon an execution. It appeers ftrange at firt view, that men fhould exempt their lands from this liability, and at the fame time, fuffer their perfons to be imprizoned for det: The fingularity however iz cezily accounted for, by their karacterific attachment to large efates, or rather to the name of poffeffing them. When a man's confequence and reputation depend principally on the quantity of land and number of negroes be iz faid to $p$ olfefs, he will not rifk both for the fake of hiz creditors. 'The paffion for the name of a planter, abforbs all other confiderations. I waz once prefent at an entertainment, given by a yung planter in Virginia, who had mucb land and many flaves. He aroze at two o'clock next morning, pawned hiz knee buckles and fome other articles, gave hiz landlord a note for about fixty dollars, and rode off without paying hiz hair-dreffer. But he waz faid to be a man of property. Many of the planters are indeed nominally rich; but their dets are not paid. I waz told by wel informed planters, that fome whole counties in Virginia would hardly fel for the valu of the dets du from the inhabitants. The Virginians, it iz tru, owe immenfe fums to Britifh merchants, and the difficulty of paying them might be a principal reezon for fufpending the collection by law, at the cloze of the war ; but that the real eftates of a whole county would not difcharge the dets of it, iz not to be beleeved.

A large part of the peeple in Virginia hav not the meens of education. The difperfed fityation of the planters in the futhern fates, renders it impolfible for
all to hav accefs to fkools. The univerfity of Williamburg, and a few academies in large towns, confisute the principal mecns of education in Virginia; and the fame remark iz applicable to all the futhern fates. But a fimall proportion of the white children can reep any advantage from theze infitutions. Since the revolution, the legitlatures of all the futhern flates hav fhown a difpozition to giv liberal encuragement to the education of every rank of citizens ; but the local circumfances or habits of the peeple throw innumerable obflacles in the way of executing their parriotic dcfigns. Gentlemen of property, reziding on their plantations at a diffance from a village, will fometimes hire a private inftructor in their families; but theze inftructors muft be vagabonds, for the moft part; az the gentlemen wil not admit that a fkoolmafier can be a gentleman; in confequence of which opinion, moff or all teechers are excluded from genteel company. While this iz the care, men of good breeding wil not be found to teech their children. An exception mutt be made of grammar mafers, az they are called; for a man who can teech Latin, they fuppoze, may be a decent man, and fit for gentlemen's company.

Religion fares worfe in Virginia than education. Before the war, the epifoopal waz the eftablifhed religion of the province, and the churches were liberally endowed by law. A parifh ufually contained four churches, in eech of which a clergyman officiated in rotation, one Sunday in a month. But this greevous burthen waz remooved by the revolution, and great numbers of parifhes hav no officiating minifter. A motion waz brot forward in 1785 , to make fome legal provizion for fupporting elergymen ; but the propofition waz fufpended til the next feffion of the legillature. In the meen time a pompous retorical menorial waz circulated and fubfrribed, in oppozition to the mezure: The arguments uzed againft any ecclefiaftical eftablifhnents were fplendid, liberal and efficacious; and at the following fefiion, the legiflature paffed a declaratory argumentatiy
argumentativ refolv againft giving religion any eftablifhment and protection.*

When men hav thrown off a reftraint that iz difagreeable and unreezonable, it iz to be expected that they wil run into the extreme of licentioufnefs. Yet it iz one of the moft difficult problems in the hiftory of theze ftates, that the liberal and eminently lerned men, who conduct the guvernment of Virginia, (and many of their leeding karacters are of this defcription) fhould not view the minifters of religion, in America, az deftitute of that odious and tremendous authority over human confiences, which waz affumed under the papal hierarky. I can hardly beleev a man of reeding and reflection to be ferious, when he affierts that legiflatures hav no right to compel the fubject to contribute to the fupport of clergymen, becaufe they hav no authority over men's confciences. Neether clergymen nor human laws hav the leeft authority over the confcience; nor iz any fuch power implied in a law compelling every citizen to contribute annuually to the fupport of a clergyman. But any fovereign authority may jufly command the citizens to eftabliih and attend religious affemblies, az wel az to meet for the choice of reprefentativs, or fend their children to a fkool ; powers which were never queftioned. A man iz not bound in confcience to beleev all the inftructions of hiz preceptor; nor are the citizens compellable to beleev the opinions and decifions of a court of juftice ; but the legillature haz a right to compel every citizen to pay hiz proportion of taxes to maintain preceptors and judges. This iz precifely the fact with refpect to a legal fupport of clergymen.

No man iz bound in law or confcience to beleev all a preecher fays; but the whole queftion iz this; are clergymen, az moral inftructors, a beneficial order of men? Haz their miniftration a good effect upon fociety? If this fhould be admitted, there iz no more dout

[^90]
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of the right of a legiflature to fupport fuch men by law, than there iz of their right of inftituting univerfities or courts of juffice. That enormous error which feems to be rivetted in popular opinion, that the functions of clergymen are of a jpiritual and divine nature, and that this order of men foould hav no concern with Jecular afairs, haz laid the foundation of a feparation of intereft and influence between the civil and ecclefiaftical orders; haz produced a rivalhip az fatal to the pecce of fociety az war and peftilence, and a prejudice againft all orders of preechers, which bids fair to banifh the "gofpel of peece" from fome parts of our empire. The Kriftian religion, in its purity, iz the beft inftitution on erth for foftening the ferocious tempers, and awakening the benevolent affections of men. To this religion, Europe and America are indetted for half their civilization. There hav been periods, when mankind lhav fuffered from ecclefiaftical tyranny; but information iz demolifhing all fyttems of defpotifm, civil and ecclefiaftical. And when the clergy themfelves leev all rangling about fpeculativ points, which neether they nor philofophers underftand, and confine themfelves to publifhing and enforcing the benevolent precepts of a gofpel which breethes nothing but univerfal luv and peece to all mankind, they wil remoov the prejudices againft their order, they wil be really the meffengers of peece, they wil conciliate affection, and thus open the harts of men to receev impreflions of virtue, they wil make men good citizens here, without which they are never prepared to be members of a heavenly fociety; and finally they wil eftablifh a rational moral infuence over an enlightened peeple, equally fatal to the declamation of ranting fanatics, and the pernicious amure.. ment of gambling at inns and horfe-races.

In the Carolinas and Georgia, we find the ftate of property, literature and religion, refembling that in Virginia and Maryland. Charlefton iz remarkable for its hofpitality and good order. But in the fates fouth of Penfylvania and Delaware, the divifions of property, the habits of the peeple, and the difperfed
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local fituation of the planters, are all unfavorable to improovments of any kind. Men who liv remote from fociety, furrounded only by flaves, acquire manners fingular and often difagreeably imperious, ruf and clownifh. Urbanity iz acquired only in focieties of wel bred peeple. They cannot hav the benefit of fkools and churches, without which the body of a peeple cannot be wel informed, and wil not acquire focial and virtuous habits. The manners of fettlement therefore, tho it may be neceffary and beneficial to individuals, may be confidered az highly inaufpicious in a yung country, whoze conftitutions of guverninent are founded on the principle of equality, and cannot flurifh without mildnefs of manners and a general diffufion of knowlege.

In the agricultural improovments of the united ftates, there iz a remarkable difference, which muft hav proceeded principally from the Glavery of the futhern. In Virginia and Maryland, I fhould queftion whether a tenth of the land iz yet cultivated. In New England, more than half the whole iz cultivated, and in Connefticut, fcarcely a tenth remains in a wild fate. Yet Virginia haz been fettled longer than New England.

I once heerd the Prezident remark; " that from the northern to the futhern ftates, the agricultural improovments are in an inverfe proportion to the number of flaves." This remark, like the actions of that illuftrious karacter, dezerves to be engraven on monuments of marble. Slaves hav no motiv to labor ; at leeft, none but what iz common to horfes and cattle. They want the only ftimulus that unites induftry with economy, viz. the profpect of a permanent advantage from their labor.

It haz been obzerved in Europe, that land rented on long leefes, iz better cultivated, than that which iz farmed on fhort leefes. A man who holds lands in fee, will uze them to the beft advantage, for he expects hiz children wil enjoy the benefit. A man who chaz lands on very long leefes, haz neerly the farme motivs
to improov then. Tenants for life wil make the moft of lands for themfelves; but wil probably leev them in the moft impoverifhed condition. Leffees for a yeer hav few motivs to keep a farm in good repair ; and llaves are the worft cultivaters on erth, az they hav the leeft intereft in the fruits of their labor. One yeman, who iz mafter of himfelf and hiz labors, and eets fubflantial food, wil perform the work of four flaves.

This iz not the whole evil. Slaves not only produce le/s than freemen, but they wafte more; every flave, az Dr. Franklin haz remarked in hiz Mifcellaneous wurks, being, from the nature of fituation, a theef. In addition to this, wherever flavery exifts, a great proportion of inlabitants are rendercd indolent, and indolence iz followed by vices and diffipation.

Suppoze twenty thoufand men to do no productiv bufinet's; what an immenfe difference wil this make in the cultivation of a ftate and in the annual income. In New Engiand every man does fome kind of bufinefs: In the futhern ftates, the proprietors of Jarge plantations do little or no bufinefs. 'The reezon why the planters make fuch a profit on the labor of their flaves iz, that the fubfiftence of negroes iz not very expenfiv. The northern yemanry not only require more elothing than the futhern, but they liv on expenfiv food and drinks. Every mian, even the pooreft, makes ufe of tee, fugar, fpirits, and a multitude of articles, which are not confumed by the laboiers of any other country.*

## But

[^91]But however cheep may be the fubfiftence of flaves, while every thing iz left to a mercenary unprincipled overfeer and to lazy negroes, a ftate wil never be wel cultivated. In autumn, 1785 , a gentleman in Richmond informed me he had juft carried fome manure upon a fieid to make an cuperiment for the firy time. This faet wil hardly be beleeved in the northern flates. In travelling thro Virginia, from Alexandria to Wiilliamburg, and alfo to Peterburg, I faw not one milldam, except what confifted of mere fand, thrown acrofs a ftreem. The idea of confructing dams of timber and planks, laid fo az to make an angle of forty five or fifty degrees with the horizon, that it might gain ftrength and flability in proportion to the preffure of the incumbent water, feemed not, at that time, to hav prevailed in Virginia. In a variety of particulars, the flow progrefs of invention in the futhern ftatcs, wat equally remarkable.

Slavery iz an evil of the worlt kind; this iz generally acknowledged. But what remedy can be ayplied? To liberate the flaves at once would be madne's; it would ruin both mafters and flaves. To liberate them gradually, and fuffer the freed men to liv with the whites, might giv rife to difcord and tumults. Colonization, by a gradual exportation, iz an expedient that would be fafe and effectual, but camot be put in execution. The probability iz, that, in the lapie of time, the blacks wil all be blended with the whites; the mixed race wil acquire freedom, and be the predomin-

But fuppoze they confume a tentb; let the New England peeple reduce the confumption of meet in the fame proportion, and they would fave nincty millions for exportation. This at two pence a pound makes the fum of two million five bundred thoufand dollars, which iz a very handfome commercial income. Let the reduction proceed to all kinds of food and clothing; let our common peeple liv like the poor of Ireland in all rePrects, and they would fave twice the fum. I would not recommend this to my countrymen; I wifh them to enjoy good eeting and drinking. Eut I make theze eftimates to fhow them that they never wil hav much money; for they eet and drink all they ern.
ant part of the inhabitants. This event haz takern place in Spanifh America, between the nativs and Spaniards ; and, to a great degree, in fome of the Weft India illands. The fame event iz rapidly taking place in the futhern ftates. A propozition waz once made in the houfe of delegates, in Virginia, for granting the rights of freemen to the free blacks; it waz not carried; but I do not fee how any fate can deny theze rights to blacks that hav the legal qualifications of property and refidence. This privilege once granted, would facilitate the intercourfe between the whites and blacks, and haften the abolition of flavery.

In the climate of the united ftates, there are feveral particulars that dezerv notice. In the firft place, every circumftance in the local pofition of Atlantic America, concurs to render the wether variable. Theze fates extend thro fifteen degrees of latitude, in the temperate zone ; confequently muft always experience the extremes of winter and fummer. Every part of this territory experiences fudden changes of wether; but the moft numerous and violent changes, are between the 36 th and 43 d degrees of latitude, on the Atlantic coaft. Within this diftrict, the moft frequent variations feem to be in Penfylvania and Maryland. Four months in the winter feafon, the wether in Penfylvania, Maryland and Virginia, refembles the March wether in New England; almoft every week exhibiting the varieties of cold, heet, froft, fnow and rain. For two months in the fpring, and one in autumn, New England iz expozed to eefterly winds and rain ; except in theze months, the changes of wether, tho fometimes fudden and violent, are not very frequent. The eeferly winds, which uzually bring rain, ceefe about the 2oth of May.

The variations of wether in the united ftates, arizing from the latitude of their fituation, are multiplied by their pofition on the ocean. Water in an ocean iz of a very uniform temperature; whereas land iz eezily heeted and cooled. This circumftance creates an incefliant contelt betweca heet and cold, on an extenfiv
ree coaft ; and of courfe an everlafting variablenefs of winds. This iz true in all countries. According to rhis theory, Atlantic America müft always hav a variable climate.
The fouth eeft winds from the ocean, falling upon the continent at right angles with the thore, invariably produce rain ; the oppofit, or north weft winds, proceeding from the high lands in the back country, invariably produce cold cleer wether. North eeft winds, running parallel with the fhore, produce forms of fnow in winter, and long cold ftorms of rain in fpring and autum. Our moft violent gales blow from the north ceft. A fouth wefterly wind fometimes brings rain, and when it firft blows in winter, iz chilly ; but it foon moderates cold wether, and in fummer it iz the genitle zepher of the poets.
In fpeeking of winds, it iz neceffary to correct a valgar error. It iz commonly faid, that north weft winds contract their coldnefs from the vaft lakes in the north weft regions of the united ftates. This iz an unphilofophical opinion, for water always moderates the temperature of the air ; and it iz a wel known fact that the large lakes do not freeze at all ; fo that if we were to feel the wind immediately after paffing over them, we fhould find it always temperate. The truth iz, our wefterly winds come from high mountains and high regions of the at mofphere, which are always cool. The top of the blu ridge, or firft range of mountains in Virginia, iz about four thoufand feet abuv its bafe. The top of the Allegany or middle ridge, which iz the height of land between the Atiantic and the Miffifippi, tho not fo far from its bafe, mult be much higher in the atmofphere. How far the bafe of the blu ridge iz abuv the furface of the ocean, haz not been afcertained; buit fuppoze it five thoufand feet, and the top of the Allegany, two thoufand feet abuv the blu ridge, and the greateft elevation of land iz eleven thjufand feet abuv the waters of the Atlantic.

The air on the tops of theze mountains iz never heeted to the degree it iz in the low countries. The cold regions of the atinofphere are much neerer to fuch
hights, than to a vaft extended plain. Thus the topio of mountains arc often cuvered with fnow, when the land at the feet of them, iz fit for plowing. From the regions of air abuv theze mountains, proceed the ferene cold winds which fweep the Atlantic ftates, purifying the atmofphere and bracing the bodies of animals.

I would juif remark here, that the climate of the tranf-alleganean country, wil never be expozed to the frequent changes of air and violent tempefts which hartafs the inhabitants of the Atlantic fhore. The force and difagreeable effects of eefterly winds from the ocean, are broken by the mountains ; and the northerly winds wil be tempered by paffing over the lakes; while the futherly winds wil be az refrefhing in fummer az on this eeftern coaft. Theze remarks are now verified by facts; altho by being cleered from forefts; the country wil become more expozed to variations of wind.

In the fecornd place, it iz obzervable that the climate of America grows more variable, in proportion to the cultivation of the land. Every perfon obzerves this effect of clecring the lands in the ceftern and middle flates. The hect in fummer, and the cold in winter, are not fo fteddy az formerly, being interrupted by cool rains in fummer, and moderate wcther in winter. Our fprings and autums are longer, the former extending into fummer, and the latter into winter. The caufe of this change iz obvious: By levelling the forefts, we lay open the erth to the fun, and it becumes more impreffible with heet and cold. This circumftance muft multiply changes of wether. The cultivation neceffary to produce this effect, haz proseeded about one hundred miles from the Atlantic, or perhaps a little farther. But in Vermont and other back fettlements, the wether iz yet feeddy ; there being few violent forms, efpecially in winter. The fnow falls gently, and lies til fpring; whereas neer the Atlantic, moderate wether for three or four days, or a warm rain, often fweeps away the fnow in January or February.

But altho the wether iz growing more variable from the cleering of lands, yet the falutary effects of cultivation are vizible in the increefing falubrity of the climate. The agu and fever iz a diforder that infefts moft new fettlements. Cultivation wil totally remoor the caufes of this diforder, from every tract of country, which iz capable of being drained. Forty yeers ago, this difeefe prevailed in the frate of Connealicut; in the fame manner it now does in Maryland. But for twenty or thirty yeers paft, it haz hardly been heerd of in the flate. There are a few places expozed to the effluvia of marthy grounds, where the diforder ftil infefts the inhabitants.

Some parts of the futhern ftates can never be drained ; the land iz fo low that the frethes in the rivers, or the tides, are almoft conftantly cuvering it with water. Vegetable putrefaction may be confidered az furnifhing the miafmata in any country; and the greateft quantities of putrid effluvia are exhaled from lands conitantly expozed to' a flux and reflux of water.

But all countries, except the very mountanous, when firt cleered, are infefted with intermittants. Peeple on the fronteers of New York and Vermont, are trubbled with it, efpecially in low flat tracts of land. The furface of a wildernefs iz cuvered with leevs and rotten wood; at the fame time, it iz moift, the rays of the fun being excluded by the trees. Therefore when peeple firt fettle in a wildernefs, they are nor immediately attacked with intermittents. They mult lay open the furface of the erth to the action of heet and wind; the noxious effluvia then begin to rize, and wil infect the air, til the whole furface of the erth iz dry and fweetened by the heet of the fun. The amazing difference in the ftate of a cultivated and uncultivated furface of erth, iz demonftrated by the number of fmall ftreems of water, which are dried up by cleering away forefts. The quantity of water, falling upon the furface, may be the fame; but when land iz cuvered with trees and leevs, it retains the water ; when it iz cleered, the water runs off fuddenly into the large
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ftreems. It iz for this reezon that frefhes in rivers hav becume larger, more frequent, fudden and deftructiv, than they were formerly. This fact fhould be attended to by the fettlers in a new country, that they may gard againft fudden and extraordinary frefhes in the ereation of mills and bridges.

It iz vullgarly fuppozed that the wether in fummer iz hotter in the futhern fates than in the northern. This opinion iz not accurate. The truth iz, at particular times, the northern flates experience a greater degree of heet than iz ever known in the futhern. In the fummer muntlis, the mercury in Farenheit often rizes, in the middle of the day, much higher at Bofton, than at Charlefton, in South Caröina. Thus in July, 1789 , the mercury roze to $90^{\circ}$ or upwards no lefs than fix days, and once to $93^{\circ}$, in the vicinity of Bofton; whereas at Charlfton, it roze but once to $88^{\circ}$ during the fame munth, and but four days to $87^{\circ}$. Befides the meteorological obzervations I hav, were made at Bofton, at one o'clock, P. M. and in Charlefton, at two o'clock, when the heet iz ufually the greateft. In Auguft, the fame yeer, the mercury roze at Bofton* four' days to 90 , and once to $95^{\circ}$; but in Charlefton, it roze but once to $89^{\circ}$. The remark then ought not to be, that the hieet at the futhward iz greater; but that it continus longer ; that iz , thie aggregate quantity of heet in the futhern latitudes, exceeds that in the northern. I hav taken fome pains to afcertain the difference, and omitting decimals, here giv the refult of my enquiries.

The meen degree of heet for the whole munth of July, 1789 , in Charlefton, South Carolina, by Farenheit's thermometer, waz az follows :
> $\left.\begin{array}{lll}\text { At } 60^{\prime} \text { 'lock; } A . M . & 74^{\circ} \\ \text { At } 20^{\circ} \text { oclock, P. M. } & 83\end{array}\right\}$ Total meen of the $\left.\begin{array}{lll}\text { At } 2 & 0 \text { oclock, P. M. } & 83 \\ \text { At } 10 & \text { o'clock, P. M. } & 77\end{array}\right\}$ month 78.

> For

[^92]
## For $A U G U S T$, ı 789.



The meen degree of heet, at Spring-Mill, a few miles from Philadelphia, for ${ }^{\prime}$ uly, waz 74 .

The meen degree of heet, at Bofton, for July, waz $\left.\begin{array}{llll}\text { At } 7 \text { o'clock, A. M. } & 67 \\ \text { At I o'clock, P. M. } & 80 \\ \text { At } 90^{\prime} \text { clock, P. M. } & 67\end{array}\right\}$ Ty. For $A \cup G U S T$.

Theze facts, tho they cannot be the foundation of exact calculations, becaufe the obfervations were not made at the fame hour of the day, and perhaps the thermometers were not exactly alike or in the fame fituation az to heet, the facts I fay may ftil eftabliifh the following conclufion:

That tho the middle of the days in fummer may be az warm and even warmer in New England, than in Carolina, yet the nights are much cooler.

In July, the meen temperature at Bofton, at feven o'clock in the morning, waz feven degrees lefs than at Charlefton at fix o'clock. At one o'clock, P. M. the meen heet at Bofton waz within three degrees of the heet in Charlefton at two o'clock. At ten o'clock at night, the meen heet at Charlefton, waz ten degrees abuv that at Bofton at nine o'clock. The meen temperature for the whole month in Chatlefton, exceeded that in Bofton, feven degrees. Similar remagrks may be made of the munth of Auguft.

Meen heet at Charlefton, for January, 1789 . .

$$
\left.\begin{array}{lll}
\text { At } 7 \text { o'clock, } & \text { A.M. } . & 50 \\
\text { At } 2 & \text { P.M. } & 55 \\
\text { At } 10 & \text { P.M. } & 52
\end{array}\right\} \text { Total meen } 52 \frac{5}{\frac{5}{3}} .
$$

## At Bofton, for the fame munth.

$\left.\begin{array}{lll}\text { At } 7 \text { o'clock, } & \text { A. M. } & 21 \\ \text { At } 1 & \text { P. M. } & 29 \\ \text { At } 9 & \text { P. M. } & 24\end{array}\right\}$ Total meen 25 neerly. Meen heet at Philadelphia, for January, $1789,30^{\circ}$. Here we may remark, that altho the meen heet of New England, in the fummer munths, approaches within feven, eight, or nine degrees of that in Charlefton, yet in winter, it iz lefs than half the heet at Charlefton ; the meen degree in Bofton being twenty five, and in Charlefton, fifty two.

The meen temperature in Charlefton, for March, 1789, waz about fixty one; and in Bofton, for the fame munth, a little lefs than thirty five, which iz more than half. In Penfylvania, the fame munth, the meen waz forty.

So far az I am able to calculate on obzervations in my pofferfion, I find the aggregate quantity of heet in South Carolina, for a whole yeer, iz to that in New England, az twenty to eleven; yet there are feveral days almoft every yeer, when the mercury in New England rizes higher at noon than it ever does in Carolina at any time, This may be afcribed to the fuperior length of the days in the northern latitudes.

The heet of the futhern latitude iz fuppozed to produce fevers and other fatal diforders which prevail in the Carolinas and Georgia. But heet iz not very often pernicious, unlefs when operating upon a low, wet, marthy furface of earth. All hilly countries are helthy ; and the air of the mountanous parts of Carolina, two or three hundred miles from the fee, iz in general falubrious. But the marh-effluvia iz not the only caufe of difeefe ; bad water iz a caufe that fhould be men. tioned, and this abounds in a flat country; whereas the water on hills and mountains iz generally pure. In a great number of towns to the futhward of the Delaware, and in fome to the northward, the want of good water iz a capital inconvenience.

On the whole, the climate of America iz az falubrious, as that of any country in the fame flate of cultiyation.
yation. The European naturalifts, with more fpleen than knowlege, hav condemned the climate of America, az unfavorable to animal growth and perfection; but if their ideas are founded on facts, the facts muft be taken from the naborhood of an Indigo plantation. America, like all new countries, haz been expozed to certain annual epidemic diforders; but wherever the furface of the erth haz been, for a few yeers, cultivated, theze diforders hav ceefed to rage. I am confident that Connecticut, the moft cultivated ftate in the union, iz now az helthy az the fouth of France. I am confident that the inhabitants enjoy az general helth, and liv az long. Az to fize, no part of the woild can boaft of larger and more robutt men than the northern ftates. If I miftake not, the Englifh effimate the meen hight of their men to be five feet, feven inches; but I am confident the average hight of the men in New England, iz not lefs than five feet nine, or ten inches.

I could wifh to afcertain the difference in the weight of the atmofphere at Bofton and Chariefton; but hav no obzervations on the barometer from the latter place. 'The difference between the weight at Bofton and Philadelphia, upon an average of thirty days, appeers to be very trifling, altho at any given day or hour, it may be confiderable.

There are Iome curious factş refpecting the coaft of North America, which dezerve notis.

The Miffifippi iz a river of great length, running from the high northern latitudes, in neerly a fouth direction. It iz deep and rapid. It refembles the Nile in Africa, particularly in making land where it iz difcharged into the ocean. By the moft accurate obzervations of Mr. Huchins and others, the diftance from the Balize to New Orleans, iz fomething more than two hundred miles, the whole of which iz land formed by the difcharge of the river. The Nile, in the time of Herodotus, had formed confiderable ilans, which were then inhabited. Theze ilans fill exift, between the feveral channels by which that river iz difcharged. It iz probable, that by an accurate calculation of the defent

## 376 MISCELLANEOUS REMARKS.

defent of the waters of the Miffifippi, in certain places, taking into account the moft rapid and molt moderate flow, and afcertaining the diftance of the mouth from the moft northerly foures, we might find, to a tolerable degree of accuracy, the elevation of the land at the fources of that river, abuv the level of the ocean.

Perhaps it wil be found that the mountains and lands at the north weft, are much higher in America than in the north of Europe. Iz not this probable from the hight of the Allegany, and the rapidity of the river Mififilippi ? And would not the fact, if prooved, in conjunition with other caufes, which are wel known, fully account for the fuperior degree of cold in America under the fame parallels? It iz wel known that there are no confiderable mountains to the north eeft of Great Brirain, thro Denmark, Sweden and Ruffia.

On'the Atlantic fhore of Ainerica, the Gulf Streem iz a curious phenomenon. It iz however wel accounted for, on the fuppozition that the trade winds drive the waters of the ocean weftward into the fpacious gulf of Mexico, where meeting the continent, they are forced between the Bahama ilans and the coait of Floridd, and take their direction along the fhore of the united ftates. . Such an immenfe body of waters, flowing at the rate of three miles an hoir, muft produce innumerable currents neer the fhore ; for every point of land wil occafion an eddy, which wil be in proportion to the extent of the point or cape from main coaft. Hence the variety of currents, in all directions, between the ftreem and the American coaft, which are obzerved by our feemen.

Theze currents and eddies, at the fame time produce and ald to, the points of land fhooting into the ocean. The cape of Florida iz probably produced between a vaft eddy of waters in the Mexican gulf, and the freem which flows between the flore and Eahamas. For theory indicates that the principal body of water, carried along the Spanifh main, or between that and the Weft India ilans, muift be forced to bend its courfe on the Mexican fhore, and by the soaft of Weft Floridd, be thrown into a circular motion, fo az to form a vaft
eddy to the futhward and weftward of Eeeft Florida. Where this iz met by the ftreem, a point of land muit neceffarily be formed.

It iz not improbable that Cape Koman, Cape Fear, Cape Hatteras, and Cape Cod, may be formed by fimilar currents, within the main Gulf, Streem. A confiderable extent of land on the coaft of Carolina and Georgia, appeers to be made by the walhing down of fand from the high country, and the wafhing up of fand by the Atlantic, whoze furges almoft inceffantly beet the fhore. But this alone wil not account for the extenfion of points of fand, ten, fifteen or twenty leegs into the ocean.

It iz a fact that capes and promontorics are more frequently harraffed with tempefts, lightning and thunder, than other parts of the fhore or continent. This haz been remarked of New York and Cape Hatteras. Can a philofophical reezon be affigned for this phenomenon? Perhaps there may be fome attractiv power in land thus fituated; and perhaps tempefts are generated by the agitation of the air, produced by a flux and reflux of water, or a variety of oppofit currents. A flom hangs over Cape Hatteras, every day, for a confiderable part of the yeer. I hav been witnefs to the fact, for a number of days in fucceffion. This circumftance increefes the terror of navigating that coaft; otherwize fo formidable to feemen for moals and breakers.*

In examining the harbors of North America, we find moft of them prezent a channel or entrance neerly at right angles with the fhore. The entrance into moft of them iz between the points of weft and north. The entrance into Newport, iz the fafeft in America, and this iz almoft the only harbor in the united flates which can be made with a northwefterly wind. 'This circumftance iz highly favorable to thips coming upon the coaft in winter. This harbor iz capacious enough to admit all the navees in Europe, and, if defenfible, may be the proper Portfmouth of America.

[^93]No.

## No. XXVIII.

The following iz part of an "Effay on the Dets of the United States," written in 1787 , but never before publifled. The queftion haz been ably difcufied in Congrefs, and the propogition for a difcrimination between original and purchafing bolders of certificates, which I had flarted, without the propect of fupport, baz been maintained by very powerful arguments in our federal legiflature. Az the quefion now appeers to my mind, I hould vote againgt the propofition, yet merely on the ground that from the inanner in which the certificates were ifued, it iz impoffible to difcriminate, without multiplying the inflances of bardfhip and injufice. But I bav no more dout, that legiflatures bav a right to interfere, in certain extreme cafes, and fufpend or counteract the operation of legal principles, than I bav of any reveeled truth or intuitiv. propofition; and were it pofible to afcertain the original bolders of certificates, I conceev our legiflators could not hav neglected a provifion for their loffes, without violating their oaths, the confitution and public faith. The following extract iz publifhed, becaufe I am defirous my opinion on this fubject fhould be known and recorded.
hartaord, march, 1790.
On a DISCRIMINATION between the ORIGINAL HOLDERS and the PURCHASERS of the CERTIFICATES of the UNITED STATES.

Objection 1. Ti iz faid that public faith requires cording to contract ; that iz, to the bearers. Let me afk the men who contend for promife, what they meen by public faith? Did the public ever promife to do rong? The money waz du to men who erned it ; the money waz not paid. The full valu expreffed on the certificates
certificates waz du, and the certificates were worth but a fourth, or perhaps an eighth part of that valu. The public promifed the creditors their full demands; but theze promifes, at the time of iffuing the certificates, were actually worth but a fmall part of that demand. Ought the creditors to be difmiffed with this part of their money, and then compelled to pay the full valu of the certificates to their nabors, who purchafed them at their current price? If this iz right, my ideas of juftice are rong. Public faith iz fuppozed to be founded on juftice. The public engaged to do juftice to its creditors ; but this juftice baz not been done; and it appeers to me az plain az the fhining of the fun, that if the certificates fhould be paid to the bearers, juftice wil not be done. The creditors at the time of contract, expected to receev gold and filver, or fomething equivalent; they hav recceved neether the one nor the other. They receeved articles which were worth but a fourth part of their demands; for the remainder of their money, the public iz fill their detor. Public faith therefore requires, that the full vaiu of the alienated certificates fhould not be paid to the bearer. It appeers to me that the principles of equity, rather than of law, fhould decide this impoitant queftion. It iz the defign of the contract, not the words, which fhould be purfued ; for it muft be remembered, that the defign of the public haz been counteracted. The intention of the public, expreffed on the certificates, haz been defeated by the public exigences; and to purfue the words of the engagement, wil now produce an effeet which waz not defigned, viz. extenfiv injuffice.

In this fituation the public haz an undouted right to call in the evidences of the det, and form a fyftem that Mall be effectual in the diftribution of juftice. If the public fuppoze that any arrangement for this purpoze can be made, they certainly hav a right to attempt it ; for the object of the attempt would be public juftice. The fticklers for paying the det to the prefent holders, hay the fame object in view, national faith; but their ideas of this faith, feem to be derived from the practice
of other nations, the fituation of whoze dets bears very little analogy to that of ours. They therefore advance an argument againft their own cauze ; for the faith of the public iz prezerved by fulfilling the intention, rather than the words, of the contract.

Every dollar of old continental currency, promifes a Spanifh milled dollar. This promife waz founded on the fuppozition that the valu would be neerly the fame, or waz defigned to prezerve the valu. But the depreciation of that currency, by the enormous fums in circulation, rendered the fulfilment of the promife impracticable; and had it been attempted, it would hav thrown the united fates into confufion. The redemption of the bills, at their nominal valu, would hay done juftice to a few, whoze money had depreciated in their hands, but would hav ruined fifty times the number. Thoze who loft their property by continental bills, ought to be indemnified, if the perfons and fums loft could be afcertained; but this iz impoffible. The care of the certificates iz different. Theze are promifiory notes, expreffing the fums du, and the perfons names to whom they were given. If in fome inflances the purchafers haw returned alienated certificates to the office, and taken out new ones in their own names, fill the public books may remedy this inconyenience.
2. But it $i z$ faid the creditors of the public parted with their certificates voluntarily. It waz at their own option, whether to keep them or not; and if they choze to alienate them at a difcount, the public iz not refponfible for the lofs. $A$ owes $B$ rool. he cannot make immediate payment, but haz property to fecure $E_{s}$ who takes a promiffory note. $B$ wants the money, and rather than wait for $A$ 's ability to pay it, he affigns the note to $G$ for 501 . In this cafe, $A$ cannot refufe to pay the full fum of rool. becaufe $C$ gave but fifty for the note. This reezoning iz applied to the cafe of the public det; and yet a fkool boy ought to be afhamed of the application. The cafe iz not parrallel, and the reezoning iz defectiv and inapplicable in every particuJar.

In the firft cafe, it iz not tru that the alienation of the certificates waz a voluntary act; but in moft cafes; waz an af of neceffity. Moft of the original creditors were ether rich men who loaned money, or poor meri who did perfonal fervice. In many inftances, thoze who loaned money, loaned all their efates; and when they found no provizion made for paying the intereft, of when the intereft waz paid in paper of lefs valu than fpecie, they were left deftitute of the meens of fubiiftence. Some of theze hav been obliged to part with their certificates at a great lofs. But a large number of creditors were poor peeple, who had little or no property, but their certificates, who liad performed fervice, and were under a neceflity of negociating thein on az good terms az they could. Moft of the alienations hav therefore been a necefliary confequence of public delinquency. Many of the creditors hav experienced a degree of diftrefs, which, in a court of chancery, would entitle them to a confideration and redrels. When a number of loffes iz fo great az to effect the public, the legiflature then becumes a court of equity, where the fufferers muft feek reparation. The legal principle muft be fufpended, and fpecial provifion made for this particular cafe. Thoze creditors who were able to keep their certificates, hav generally done it, and on every principle are entitled to the full nominal valu.

In the fecond place, the cafc of an individual affignee of a bond wil not apply; for $B$, in the fuppozition, takes the bond voluntarily. $A$, the dettor, haz property, and it iz optional with $B$, whether to bring a fuit for the money, recover a judgement, and take $A$ 's property, or take a bond on intereft. This iz generaily the cafe with individuals, but not with the publit creditors. Theze hav no alternativ ; they muft take promifes, which the fubject cannot compel the public to fulfil, when the money iz wanted. In another particular, the two cafes are widely different. $A, B$, and $C$, are three diffinct perfons. $A$ iz the dettor, and it iz, indifferent whether he pays the det to $B$ or $C$. But when $B$ haz fold the note for half the valu, he cannot
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 On a DISCRIMINATION, E*\%be calied upon for the money, nor for any part of it. In the other cafe, the creditors and the public are, in fome mezure, the fame perfon. The fame perfons who looze their property by public delinquency, are afterwards taxed to pay their proportion to the purchafers. But I wil for a moment fuppoze the two cafes exaftly fimilar; for I am willing to giv my antagonifts the faireft field of argument ; and what conclufion can be drawn in favor of paying the certificates to the bearcrs ? Can that reezoning be juft which draws general confequences from particular propozitions? Such bad logic ought not to impeech a man's heart ; but it can do very little honor to hiz head.

Do men, who reezon in this manner, confider that a principle with refpect to individuals, may be perfectly juf, and yet purfued to a certain degree, it may beco:ne entirely falfe ? That the fame principle which may be good in a certain degree, may, in the extreme becume criminal, iz tru not only in politics, but in the natural and moral fyttems. Heet and water, prouduce vegetables; but too large portions of either, deftroy plants. Every paffion, natural to man, iz good in itfeif, and the wurk of a perfectly wife being ; but any paffion indulged to a certain degree, becumes criminal and deftructiv to focial happinefs. Self-love, the fpring of all action, and in the tru fenfe of the word, the moft neceffary principle in creation, when it becumes exceffiv, iz az criminal and pernicious, az the moft malignant paffion. Eeting and fleeping are effential to helth ; but beyond a certain degree, they are hurtful, and may be fatal to the human body.

In politices, the greateft poffible good iz the end of guvernment. Any principle, which may be tru, in particular inftances, but which, when extended to the public, does not produce the greateft good to fociety, iz certainly falfe in legillation. A law which may be good and neceffarily in a community, may ftil bear hard upon individuals. This iz generally tru of all laws. If a man takes a note of anothér, and fells it for half its valu, he haz no remedy in law, nor ought the law
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Law to make provifion for hiz cafe; for laws are, in their nature and ufe, general ; they do not defend to particular cafes. The reezon iz obvious. Were laws to notice every inconvenience, which may flow from their operation, they would produce confufion rather than order, and occafion greater injuries to the public, than would refult from the loffes of individuals. But when fuch particular loffes becume general, the principle loozes its force. Sufferings, multiplied to a certain number, becume public, and then require the interference of the legiflature. If a man iz in det, and cannot pay, he iz at the difpofal of the law ; the law cannot be fufpended nor relaxed for hiz particular benefit. But when the body of a peeple becume involved, the public fafety requires a fufpenfion or relaxation of law. If an individual fettles upon land of another man, he iz confidered az a trefpafier, and izz liable to an ejectment. But let thirty thoufand men fettle thus upon land that iz not their own, and a wize legiflature wil confirm them in their poffeffions. Necelfity or general good, in fuch cafes, fufpends the operation of legal right, or rather changes private rongs into public right. Or to exprefs the idea differently; when evils are increefed and extended to a certain degree, it iz better to let them remain, than to rikk the application of a violent remedy. Inflances of this kind occur fo frequently, that it iz needlefs to multiply examples. Nothing betrays greater weeknefs, than the reezoning of peeple, who fay, if a principle iz juft, it extends to all cafes. I fhould however be very unhappy to hav fuch men for my legillators. It may be afked, where iz the line of diftinction? I anfwer, it may be impoffible to determin. Where the right ends, and the rong begins; where the legal principle fhould ceefe to operate, and fpecial legilativ interference becumes neceflary, it may be difficult to difcuver ; but the extreme iz always obvious. Whenever the operation of a recceved maxim or principle givs general uneafinefs, it iz a demonftrativ proof that it iz rong : that it produces public evil; and a wize legiflator wil
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reftrain the operation, or eftablifh a different principles. On the fuppozition therefore that the prefent holders of the public det, are precifely in the fituation of the affignees of bonds, ftil the principle wil not apply nor warrant the fame conclufion in both cafes; becauze we cannot reezon from particulars to generals, efpecially on political fubjects.

Suppoze the original creditors to be five, and the prefent holders two ; more than half the number of creditors hav loft the money which waz due to them ; the lofs affects them in the firft inftance, and the hevy taxes which are neceffary to appreciate the certificates in other hands, double their injuries and complaints. Theze loozing creditors hav an idea that they are really cheeted, and their murmurs foment that popular jealoufy which iz ever bizzy to chack large and fudden revolutions of property. The certificates fall into the hands of rich men; at a great difcount, and the body of the peeple fay, "we wil not fuffer our own loffes to enrich our welthy nabors""

This outcry, it iz faid, proceeds from a levelling principle, which aims to deftroy all diftinction of rank and property. But in the prefent cafe, the popular complaints proceed from equitable principles ; nor do I know of any inftance of public jeloufy, excitec by an acquizition of property in the courfe of honeft induftry. Fortunes may be fuddenly raized in private bufinefs' by commercial fpeculations, and no notice taken of the event; but when public delinquency haz thrown numberlefs advantages into the hands of a particular clafs of men, which the peeple know are made at their own expenfe, it iz impoffible that they fhould behold fuch a change of property, without queftioning the propriety of it, and the juftnefs of the principle by which it iz defended. When the common fenfe of mankind iz oppozed to fuch a change, it ought to be confidered az a good proof that it iz not juft.

Whatever conclufions therefore may be drawn from a principle, eftablifhed in courts of law, or a!nong nations in different circumfances, the public fenfe of juftice
juftice muft, after all, decide the queftion. A lawser may wurk himfelf up to convictions, in wire-drawing principles; but hiz reezoning iz oppozed to the fenle of mankind. Peeple may not be able to difcurecr the fallacy of the reezoning, but they can feel it. They may be filenced, but cannot be convinced.

One grain of common fenfe iz worth a thoufand cobweb theories; and however peeple may be abuzed for refining upon juftice, we rarely find them generally difpozed to do rong.

The domeftic det of America furnifhes a new era in the hiftory of finance. We hav no examples to follow; we muft purfu fome practicable fyfter, with our eyes invariably fixed on public juftice. I know it izt faid that the original creditors can purchafe certificates now, at the fame or a lefs price than they took for them. But this iz not frictly tru. Individuals might purchafe at a low rate; but a general demand for them would raize their valu much abuv their current valu at any paft period: For it fhould be confidered that hitherto the fellers hav been numerous, and the purchafers, few ; that iz, a full market, with little demand for the articles. Reverfe the cafe, Let the fellers becume the purchafers; the demand would at once raize the valu of the certificates neerly to the face of them.

But if the certificates were to pafs at their prefent low valu, few of thoze who hav alienated them, could re-purchafe; for the fame neceffity which obliged them to fell at a lofs, now prevents their repurchafing. Peeple hav not grown rich fince the revolution ; efpecially thoze who were faithful in the fervice of their country. At any rate it iz to be withed that the certificates might ceefe to circulate az objects of speculation. They are a Pandora's box to this country.

Almoft the whole activ fpecie of the country iz employed in fpeculation. Laws prohibiting ufury, reAtrain the loan of money, while the certain profits of fpeculation amount to five or ten times the legal interef. No moncy can be borrowed ; no capitals car.

386 On a DISCRIMINATION, ऊ̌\% be raized to encurage agriculture and manufactures : Lucrativ induftry iz checked; land iz funk to two thirds of its real valu, and multitudes of induftrious peeple are embarraffed. From fuch evils, good Lord deliver us.

## No. XXIX.

## HARTFORD, JANUARY, I790.

## An ADDRESS to YUNG GENTLEMEN.

AT a time of life when the paffions are lively and ftrong, when the reezoning powers fcarcely begin to be exerciled, and the judgement iz not yet ripened by experience and obzervation, it iz of infinit confequence that yung perfons fhould avail themielves of the advice of their frends. It iz tru that the maxims of old age are fometimes too rigorous to be relifhed by the yung; but in general they are to be valued az the leffons of infallible experience, and ought to be the guides of youth. The opinions here offered to your confideration hav not the advantage of great age to giv them weight, nor do they claim the authority of long experience: But they are formed from fome experience, with much reeding and reflection; and fo far az a zeel for your welfare and refpectability in future life merits your regard, fo far this addrefs haz a claim to your notis.

The firf thing recommended to your attention iz, the care of your helth and the prezervation of your bodily conftitution. In no particular iz the neglect of parents and guardians more obvious and fatal, than in fuffering the bodies of their children to grow without care. My remark applies in particular to thoze who defign their children to get a living without manual labor. Let yung perfons then attend to facts, which are always before their eyes.

Nature feldom fails to giv both fexes the materials of a good conftitution ; that iz, a body complete in all its parts. But it depends moftly on perfons themfelves to manage theze materials, to az to giv them ffrength and folidity.

The moft criticcal period of life, in this refpect, is the age of puberty, which iz ufually between thirteen and leventeen, or eighteen. Before this period, you are very much in the power of parents or mafters, and if they wifh to fee you ftrong and robuft, they wil feed you with coarfe fubftantial food of eezy digeftion. But at fourteenl yeers old, yung perfons are capable of exercifing their reezon, in fome degree, and ought to be infructed in the mode of living, beft calculated to fecure helth and long life. It iz obzervable that yung perfons of both fexes grow tall very rapidly about the age of thirteen, fourteen, fifteen or fixteen; but they do not acquire mufcular ftrength in du proportion. It thould then be the bizzinels of yung perfons to affift nature, and ftrengthen the growing frame by athletic exercifes.

Thoze perfons who leed a fedentary life, fhould practis fome amufement which requires confiderable exertion of the lims ; az running, foot ball, quoit ; taking care not to injure themfelves by too violent exertion; for this would defeet the falutary purpofe of fuch exercizes. But the exercize I would moft recommend, iz fencing; for the art itfelf iz highly ufeful at times, and the practice tends more to render the body firm and vigorous than almof any exercize whatever. It braces the mufcles of the arm, fpreds the breft, opens the cheft to giv the lungs play; an effect of great confequence to perfons about the age of puberty. For, az waz before obzerved, perfons of this age, fhoot up very faft ; the body grows tall, but narrow; the mals of fleth and blood iz increefed much fafter than the tone of the veffeis and mufcular flrength; the cheft iz two narrow for the lungs to perform their office, and the blood veffels liav not fufficient elafticity to produce a brifk free circulation; the fyftem iz often too week to carry on the neceffary fecretions of the juices; and the confequence of the whole iz , an obftructed circulation produces ulcers upon the lungs, which bring on a decay, or fome infirmities of body, which laft for many yeers, and not unfrequently for life.

To avoid theze ills, much exercife of the arms and body iz not only ufeful, but neceffary ; and when it iz not the lot of yung perfons to labor, in agriculture or mekanic arts, fome laborious amufement fhould be conflantly and daily purfued az a fubftitute, and none iz preferable to fencing. A fencing fkool iz perhaps az necellary an inftitution in a college, az a profefforflip of inathematics; for yung men ufually enter college about the age of puberty; and often leev a laborious occupation, to commence a fedentary life, at the very time when labor or other exercize iz the moft neceffary to giv firmnefs and vigor to their conftitutions. In confequence of this change and an academic life, they often run up into long, flender, effeminate bodies, which a flight cold may throw into a confumption ; or by intenle application to books, add, to a debilitated frame of body, a week nervous fyftem, which keeps them always dying, tho it may not cnd life til old age.

Dancing iz an excellent amufement for yung peeple, efpeciaily for thoze of fedentary occupations. Its excellence confifts in exciting a cheerfulnefs of the mind, highly effential to helth ; in bracing the mufcles of the body, and in producing copious perfpiration. Az the two firft effets are very vifibly beneficial, they are the fubject of common obzervation; but the laft, which iz perhaps the moft generally beneficial, iz rarely mentioned.

Experience haz led me to the following ideas on this fubject. Our bodies are fo conftituted that a large portion of the juices flould be thrown off by infenfible perfiriation ; nor can the procefs be abated without danger, nor wholly obitructed without occafioning dif cefe. The body muft perpire, or muft be out of order. A violent cold iz a fudden obftruction of the procefs, which throws the matter, intended for evacuation thro the pores of the fkin, back upon the inteftines, taking the word, not in a tecknical, but in its original extended fenfe. All that iz neceffary to cure a cold, which iz not attended with fymptoms of inflamation, iz
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 An ADRESS toto open the pores of the body; which may be done by bracing, az by drinking cold water, which excites circulation by its tonic power; or by relaxing the fyftem, az by the warm bath and warm teas. The firt wil anfwer, where the body haz vigor enough to giv the tonic its full effect; but iz not fo efficacious, nor fo generally prafticable az the laft. It iz not fo eezy to force thro a wall, az to open a gate.

The common houfe-wifely remedies, confifting of butter or other oily fubftances, mixed with fpirits, ufually hav no effect upon a cold, or a bad one. Flannel, warm teas, or fimple warm water, hav the beft effect in relaxing ; but if they fail of producing a perfpiration, the patient fhould hav recourfe to exercife. Dancing in a warm room, or other violent exercife; wil generally throw a perfon into a copious fwet in a few minutes ; and this, two or three times repeeted, wil ufually releev the perfon, however obftinate the cold. If every thing elfe fails, the warm bath thould be reforted to az an almoft infallible remedy.

But there iz another fpecies of obitructed perfiration more dangerous perhaps than fudden colds, becaule lefs perceptible"; I meen, that which proceeds from a week habit of body. Whenever the tone of the veffels iz loft, the circulation of the blood becumes languid, the animal heet iz diminifhed, and the fyftem haz not frength fufficient to throw off the perfpirable matter. The confequence iz, the fkin becumes dry and rigid, and the perfon ufually feels a dull pain in hiz hed and the back part of hiz neck. Wimen, literary men, clerks, \&c. are moft expozed to theze fymptoms. The remedy for them iz, free perfpiration; but the moft effectual remedy iz dancing, or other vigorous exercife, which increefes; at the fame time, animal heet and the tone of the veffels. Dancing indeed unites to theze, the other advantage of cheerfulnefs and good fpirits, which iz of fingular ufe to perfons accuftomed to clofe application to bizzinefs or contemplation: The only caution to be obzerved iz, not to go into the cold air, without confiderable additional clothing.

In cafes where perfons cannot hav recourfe to dancing or other exercize in a warm room, the warm bath may be uzed to great advantage. At firft thought, one would imagin, that the cold bath fhould be preferibed for giving tone to a week fyftem; but on reflection, this would appeer to be generally, tho not always hazardous. The truth iz, a general relaxation of the body checks perfpiration ; and the firft effect of cold, in fuch cafes, iz to brace the exterior parts of the body, and throw the offending matter, lodged in the fkin by the debility of the fyliem, back upon the lungs, or other interior parts. If the fyftem haz ftrength enough, or can receev enough by the operation of cold, to force open the pores and produce a copious perfpiration, the cold bath wil hav an excellent effect. But when the perfon iz of a week frame, the experiment iz extremely dangerous. The fafeft remedy iz the warm bath, which remooves the obftructing matter by a gentle relaxation of the furface; thus enabling the vefiels to recuver their tone, in a degree, and keep up a brifk circulation. The warm bath then iz the mof fafe and efficacious remedy for obfructed perfipiration, occafioned by debility ; and this iz an evil to which all fedentary peeple are expozed, and by which moft of them fuffer.
I hav been often fuprized that the moderns hav fo generally neglected the meens of prezerving helth, which were uzed by the ancients. A little attentions to the fructure of the human body, and the effect of heet and cold upon it, led the ancients to the obvious and almoft infallable meens of garding themfelves from difeeze. Their method waz to bathe almoft daily; and then oil their bodies, By bathing, they kept their perfpiration free, and their bodies of courfe, in vigor and clenly; and by the ufe of oil, they fecured the body from the fatal effects of fudden cold. In the later ages of Rome, warm baths indeed became a luxury, and were uzed to excels; but this waz only' an abufe of a good thing, the excellent effects of which had been experienced for ages. The neglect of the fame meens, of prexenting difeef, haz obliged the moderns
to hav recourfe to phyfic, a fubftitute, more expenfiv and trublefome, and not always effectual.*

Whether in bizzinefs or amufement, let your whole conduct be guided by temperance. Are you ftudents? Eet moderately, and let your food be of the nutritiv kind, but not oily, high feefoned and indigeftible. Drink but little, or rather no diffilled liquors; wine and fermented liquors are much to be preferred. A good cup of tee, iz fometimes a cordial ; coffee may be uzed freely; but the conftant ufe of hot liquors feldom fails to debilitate the fytem and impair the digeftiv powers.

Whether you reed or rite, accufom yourfelves to fland at a high defk, rather than indulge an indolent habit of fitting, which always weekens, and fometimes disfigures the body. The neerer you can keep every part of the body to an eezy ftrait pofture, the more equable wil' be the circulation of the fluids; and in order to giv them the moft unconftrained flow to the extremities of the lims, it iz very ufeful to loofen thoze parts of drefs that bind the lims clofely.

There iz another kind of temperance which I would warmly recommend ; that iz, temperance in fudy. Little does a helthy robuft yuth reflect upon the delicate texture of the nervous fyftem, which iz immediately affected by clofe mental application. The full fcd mufcular man may fpurn the caution, that warns

[^94]him againft the danger of hypocondriacs; but it iz next to impoffible that the hard ftudent, who cloffets himfelf feven or eight hours a day, in deep meditation, hould efcape the deplorable evil, which makes men valetudinarians for life, without hope of a radical cure, and with the wretched confolation of being perpetually laughed at.

Four hours of uninterrupted ftudy in a day iz generally fufficient to furnifh the mind with az many ideas az can be retained, methodized and applied to practice; and it iz wel if one half of what are run over in this time are not loft. It may fometimes be neceffary to ftudy or reed more hours in a day; but it wil az often be found ufeful to reed le/s.

When you exercize at any diverfion, or go into company, forget your ftudies, and giv up yourfelves entirely to the amufement. It wil do you no good to leev your books behind, unlefs you difmifs your attention or train of thinking. Attend to experience. You find it very fateeging to ftand, fit or even to lie in one fixed pofture, for any length of time, and change affords releef. The fame iz tru of the mind. It iz neceffary, if I may indulge the expreffion, to change the pozition of the mind; that iz, vary the train of thought ; for by a variety of ideas, the mind iz releeved, in the fame degree az the body by a change of pofture.

When you reed, always endevor to reed with fome particular objeet. You wil find many books that ought to be red in courfe; but in general when you take up a treetis upon any fcience, or a volum of hiftory, without a view to inform yourfelf of fome particular in that wurk, you are not likely to retain what you reed. The object iz too general ; the mind iz not capable of embracing the whole. For inftance, if you reed Hume's England in courfe, with defign to acquaint yourfelf with the whole ftory, you wil find, at the end of your labor, that you are able to recollect only a few of the mott remarkable occurrences; the greateft part of the hiftory haz efcaped you. But if
you confine yourfelf to one point of biftory at a time, for example, the life and policy of Alfred, or the account of Mary, queen of Scots, and reed what every author you can lay your hand on, haz faid upon that fubject, comparing their different accounts of it, you wil im. prefs the hiftory upon the mind, fo az not to be eezily effaced. Law fudents fhould attend to theze remarks.

There iz another kind of temperance of more confequence than thoze mentioned, viz. temperance in plezure : For to all the perfonal evils of an exceffiv indulgence of the animal appetite, we may add innumerable evils of a moral and focial nature. No intercourfe Mould take place between the fexes, til the body haz attained to full ftrength and maturity. In this refpect, ancient barbarous nations hav fet an example, that ought to make moderns blufh for their effeminacy of manners, and their juvenile indulgences. The old Germans accounted it fhameful and difreputable for yung men to hav any intercourfe with the other fex, before the age of twenty.* To this continence were they much indetted for their mulcular bodies, their helth and longevity. But fuch an abftinence from plezure waz not maintained by law ; the Germans knew that pofitiv prohibitions would be ineffectual to reftrain this indulgence; they had recourfe to the only certain method; they made it dijhonorable. How different iz the cafe in modern times! So far iz debauchery from being fcandalous, that it iz frequently the boaft of men in the firft offices of ftate; and a karacter of licentioufnefs iz little or no objection in a candidate for preferment. $\dagger$

## Oppozed

* Qui diutifimeè impuberes permanferunt, maximam inter fuos ferunt landem : Hôc ali flaturam, ali vires, nervofque confirmari putant. Intra aumum vero $x x$ feminæ notitiam habuiffe, in turpifimis habent rebus.-CeGar De Bel. Gal. lib. 6. 19.
+ The ancients were wifer than the moderns in many refpeEts ; and particularly in reftraining certain vices by ofinion, zather than by pofitiv injundions. Duclling and profane fwear:-

Oppozed to paffion and to falfe pride, caution wil perhaps be unavailing. But men who wifl for permanent happinefs, fhould be perfuaded to take the meens for fecuring it. Wil you then run the rifk of erly indulgence in illicit plezure? Some of you may efcape the evils which generally follow; but the chances are againft you. In nine cafes of ten, you wil defroy the vigor of your bodies, and thus impair the ability of enjoyment by excefs; or what iz an additional evil, you wil contract difeefe. What iz the confequence? Eether your tafte for the vileft plezures wil grow into habit and make abandoned rakes of your, averfe to the innocent enjoyments of the married life, and of courfe bad members of fociety; or you wil perhaps marry amiable wimen, with your ftrength and helth impaired, and your minds debauched, fickle, prone to jeloufy. - In this cafe, you are neether fecure
ing are prohibited by the laws of moft countries ; yet penalties hav no effect in preventing the crimes, whild they are not followed by lofs of reputation. Vices which do not immediately affect the lives, honor or property of men, which are not ma la in fe, which are eezily conceeled, or which are fupported by a principle of honor or reputation, are not reftrainable by law. Under fome of theze defcription fall, duelling, profane, fwearing, gambling, \&c. To check fuch vices, public opinion muft render them infamous.* Thoze who hav the diftribution of honors and offices, may reftrain theze vices by making the commifion of them an infuperable bar to preferment. Were the Prezident and the executivs of, the feveral fates, to be az particular in enquiring whether candidates for offices, are given to gambling, fwearing or debaucheries; whether they hav ever given or receeved a challenge, or betrayed an innocent female; az they are in enquiring whether they are men of abilities and integrity; and would they, with undeviating. refolution, profcribe from their favor and their company, every man whoze karacter, in theze particulars, iz not unimpeechable, they would diminifl the number of vices, exclude tome wholly from fociety, banifh others from genteel company, and confine their contagion to the herd of mankind. But where iz the man of elevated rank, of great talents, of unThaken firmnefs, of heroic virtue, to begin the glorious reformation ? America may now furnifh the man, but where fhall biz fucceffor be found ?

[^95]of your partners affcctions, nor wil you be likely to know the valu of their virtues. Having broken over the barriers of virtue, you are forever liable to ftray ; and the probability iz, you deftroy the happinefs of your wives, and the peece of your families. Perhaps
with fome art, and the forgiving temper of your wives, you may conceel the family difcord, and the wretched fitate of your minds, from a cenforious world; pride, reputation, every motiv would urge you to this precaution ; but iz not this a poor fubftitute for happinefs? A poor confolation for the multiplied evils that follow, in an endlefs train, from the unreezonable and criminal indulgences of a few yeers? You may be affured alfo that a woman of good principles cannot feel a pure fatisfaction, in the company even of a reformed hufband, when fhe reflets, az fhe frequently wil, that he haz wafted hiz helth and fubftance upon the vileft of her fex. My yung frends, it iz idle, it iz weeknefs and folly to expect any kind of happinefs or plezure, which fhal indemnify you for the trubble of feeking it, except in the purfuance of the principles which morality prefcribes. Whenever you purfu an object, at the expenfe of any moral principle; when the attainment of your end mult injure the perfon, the property, the reputation or the feelings of one child of Adam, the acquifition of that object wil not giv you liappinefs; you are purfuing a fantom. This leeds me to fay fomething on one of the moft hanous crimes a man can commit, and which the laws of fociety cannot or at leeft do not punifh ; that iz, feduction.

Fafhion, which iz often founded on moral propriety, and oftener on political convenience, iz fometimes an enemy to both; and public opinion, enlifted in the cauze of vice, iz a greater fcurge to fociety than war or peftilence. It iz one of the evils, or rather of the curfes of civilization, that certain crimes, az malignant in their nature, and az fatal in their confequenees, az murder and robbery, becume fathionable, and to a certain degree, reputable. Of this kind, iz deliberate feduction. It iz az malignant in its nature az murder?
for it iz accompanied with the fame aggravation, malice prepenfe, or a premeditated defign: It iz az fatal to fociety; for reputation iz az deer az life; and the wretched vittims of deception, if they lay violent hands on themfelves, or linger out a life of difgrace, are equally murdered, equally loft to fociety. And the only reezon why the feducer and the murderer hav not been placed on a footing by the laws of fociety, mult be, the difficulty of proof, or of afcertaining the degrees of gilt, where there iz a poffibility or a prefumtion of affent on the part of the woman.

There are however certain inflances of this crime which are az capable of proof az, arfon, burglary or murder; and why the laws of a ftate, which prohibit under fevere penalties, the taking or giving more than fix per cent. intereft on the loan of money, even on the faireft contract, fhould yet permit the feducer to take another's reputation, to doom to indelible infamy the helplefs female, whoze reputation iz all her portion, iz one of thoze problems in fociety, which the philofopher wil impute to human imperfection, and the Kriftian number among the infcrutable myteries of providence.

But I am not addreffing leginators; I am reezoning with individuals. Waving the bafenefs of the crime, let us attend to its confequences in families and focicty. You wil doutlefs acknowlege, for I do not fee how you can deny, that when you deliberately commit a crime that affects your nabor, you explicitly admit that your nabor haz an equal right to commit the fame crime againft yourfelves; for 1 prefume no man wil arrogate to, himfelf an exclufiv privilege of being a villan.

You attempt then to feduce the wife, the fifter, or the dawter of your frend; but hav you none of theze relations? Hav you not a wife, a fifter, a dawter, whoze reputation iz deer to you; whoze honor you would die to defend? You hav attacked the honor of your nabor ; haz he not the fame right to affail your family, in the fame delicate point? But if you hav none of
theze neer connections, hav you no female frend whoze reputatation iz decr to you? Now by attempting the honor of any woman, you wage war with the whole human race; you break down the barriers which nature aad focicty hav eftablifhed to gard your own family and frends, and leev their honor and happinefs, and confequently your own, expozed to the intreegs of every unprincipled retch: You even invite an attempt upon your family and frends; you beet a challenge, and bid defiance to any man who haz the fpirit to revenge the rongs of the helplefs. Theze are ferious confiderations, in which men of principle and of no principle are equally interefted; for an abandoned rake iz ufually az fond of hiz own and hiz family's honor, az the man of the chaftef life.

Mingle with your fuperiors in age and wizdom; whenever you can do it with propriety. If your parents are wize, they wil affociate with you az much az pofible in your amufements; they wil be cheerful and facetious, and thus make you az happy az you wifh to be at home. A morofe crabbed old man iz not inviting company for the yung and fprightly ; and you ought rather to Chun the illinatured, if poifible. But whenever your parents are of a cheerful difpozition, and luv their children, they make the moft agreeable and moft ufeful companions. They wil find amufements for you at home, and you wil be happier there than any where elfe. If your parents are thus difpozed to make themfelves your principal companions, always indulge their inclination. You wil thus avoid the contagion of vicious company, you wil form a habit of contentment and fatisfaction at home; and remember, if you do not find happinefs there, you wil never find much fatisfaction abroad.

In choofing fociety however, be careful not to pufh yourfelf into company. Yung men are often impatient of the reftraints which modefty and decorum impoze upon them. They are anxious to affociate with thoze of greater age and rank than themfelves; and expef more notis than mankind in general fuppoze they
they dezerv. This proceeds from the ambition and fire of youth ; the motivs I beleev to be often innocent and laudable; the ambition therefore fhould be guverned, rather than repreffed. A little experience wil diftate patience and a modeft deportment, which, with yecrs and information, wil always enfure refpectability. I once knew a man of twenty two chagrined even to petulence, becauze he could not be admitted a truftee of a college. I waz furprized at hiz fevere remarks on the venerable body of gentlemen who rejected him. He thought himfelf a man of more fcience than fome of the corporation ; and therefore better qualified to direet a literary inflitution. Admit the fact, that he excelled in fcientific attainments, yet the vexation he felt at hiz difappointment waz proof enough that he waz deflitute of the firft requifits in the overfeers of yuth, coolnefs and julgement.
In the world, avoid every fpecies of affectation, and be az fafhionable az convenience wil warrant. Yet never be the firft to invent novelty, nor run to excefs in imitation. This advice, to be fafbionable, fhould however be qualified, and reftrained to things indifferent, in point of morality. Az the moral karacter of men does not depend on the fhape of their garments, it iz generally beft to wear our clothes in the model that fafhion prercribes; unlefs your circumftances forbid, or the fafhion itfelf iz inconvenient: For if you are not able to afford the expenfe, it iz criminal in you to follow the cuftoms of the welthy; and if the fhape of a garment makes it uneezy upon you or cumberfome, the fafhion iz ridiculous, and none but week peeple, the common coxcombs and butterfies of the world, wil adopt it. For this reezon follow lord Chefterfield's maxims with great caution. His letters contain a ftrange compound of the bef and zorft inftructions ever given to a yung man ; indeed it would be expected of a man, whoze object waz not to make hiz fon good, but to make him fhowy.

Hiz lordhip, I think, recommends to hiz fon to wear long nails; in confequence of which advice, long nails
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 An A D D RESS tsare very fafhionable wherever hiz letters are red. But a man ought to be confiftent. Why did he not at the fame time recommend long beards? Both are very proper among favages, who hav no ideas of neetnefs; and one would think they fhould always go together ; but among civilized peeple, both are equally flovenly. Hiz lordfhip givs an excellent reezon for hiz advice; that mekanics pare their nails, and gentlemen ought to be diftinguifhed from laborers. Why did not he add, that az mekanics walk on two feet, gentlemen, for fake of diftinction, ought to walk on all fours? But hiz lordfhip had better reezons for hiz advice. Long nails are a moft commodious fubftitute, or at leeft furnifh a reddy alleviation of the evils arizing from a fparing ufe, of ivory. Befides, hiz lordfhip waz a courtier, fond of royal examples, \&xc. He found a princely one in the Affyrian monark, who, when he waz a beeft, wore hiz nails in the fame manner. Nebuchadnezzer however waz under the direction of a divine impulfe; an authority that hiz lordfip could not claim for all hiz in: junctions and maxims.

Never let fafhion blind you to convenience and congruity. Do not introduce foreign cuftoms, without reezon, or by the halves. The French feed themfelves with forks, uzing knives merely to cut their meet ; thereforeknives with marp points, are for them the moft convenient. But it iz really laughable to fee the Americans adopting the ufe of tharp puinted knives, without the practice of feeding themfelves with forks. 'They do not fee the particular convenience of the cuftom in France, where it originated; but it iz the fafbion to uze them, and this iz all they think of. They are however well punifhed for their fervile apifhnefs, efpecially when they are hungry; for a man may az wel feed himfelf with a bodkin, az with a knife of the prefent fafhion.

Be equally careful of affectation in the ufe of language. Uzc words that are moft common and generally underfood. Remember that fublimity and elegance do not confift principally in words; az the mod-
ern ftile of writing would make us beleev. Sublimity confifts in grand and elevated ideas; and elegance iz moft generally found in a plain'; neet, chafte phrafeology. In pronunciation be very cautious of imitating the ftage, where indeed nature hould be reprefented, but where in fact we find too much frutting, mouthing, rant, and every kind of affectation. The modern pronunciation of our language on the Englifh ftage iz, beyond mezure, affected and ridiculous. The change of $t, d$ arid $f$ into $c h, j$ and $/ \beta$, in fuch words az anture, education, Juperfition, originated in the theatrical mouthing of words; and iz , in language, what the flage-ftrut iz in walking. The practice haz indeed fred from the ftage among our polite fpeekers, who hav adopted it, az peeple do other fâhions, without knowing why. Were it a matter of indifference, like the Chape of a hat, I would recommend it to your imitation; but I hav cleerly prooved in another place,* that the practice iz not vindicable on any good principles; that on the contrary, it materialiy injures the language, both in orthography and the melody of fpeeking. There iz fuch a thing az tru and falfe tafte, and the latter az often directs fathion, az the former. The nacbure and edjucation of modern times are to putity of language, what red fethers and yellow ribbons are to elegance in drefs; and could the practice be reprefented with a pencil, it would be az boldly caricatured, az the normous hed-drefles of 1774.

Do not adopt fuch phrafes az averfe from, agreeably to, going paft, and other modern alterations of the ufual idiom; for they are grofs violations of the principles of the language, az might be eezily prooved, were this the place. If you are a lawyer, do not confound fuch terms, az, witnefs, tef fimony and evidence, calling a wit. nefs, an ervidence. Witnefs iz the perfon teftifying; tef. timony iz what he declares in court; and evidence iz the effect of that teftimony in producing conviction. Do not confound fuch words az, genius and capacity, or fenfe, lerning and knowlege. Gonius iz the power of in-

[^96]C $c$
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 An A D DRESS tovention; capacity, the power of receeving ideas. Senfe it the faculty of perception; lerning iz what iz obtained in books; kno:elege iz what iz acquired by obfervation.

Attach yourfelves to bizzinefs in the erly part of life: Shun idle diffipated karacters az you would the plague. Liften to nature and reezon, and draw juft ideas of things from theze pure fources; otherwize you wil imbibe fainionable fentinuents, than which a nore fatal evil cannot happen to youl. Yoú wil often heer bizzinefs condemned az drudgery and difgrace. Defpize the fentiment. Nature fpeeks a different language. Nature te!ls you, "that the haz given you bodies; which require conftant exercize; that labor or fome other exercize iz effential to helth; that employment iz neceffary to peece of mind ; and induftry iz the meens of acquiring property." Nature then haz rendered bizzinefs neceffary to helth, and bappinefs, az wel az to interef?; and when men neglect lier distates, they are ufually punimed with poverty, difeeze and retchednefs. ${ }^{6}$ It fometimes happens that a'mian's anceftors hav accumulated fuch an eftate, that he iz wel fecured from povirty; but the very eftate he pofleffes, iz the meens of entailing upon him difeeze and all its confequential eviis: For a rich man iz ftrongly tempted to be lazy; and indolence, by debilitating the animal fyltem, deflroys the power of enjoyment. Befides, a man of eezy. circumftances iz very apt to looze, the virtu of felf denial ; he indulges hiz appetite too freely; be becumes an epicure in eeting, and perliaps a bakkamalian, he iz then a flave of the worlt kind, a flave to hiz own defires, and hiz'fathful fervices to himfelf are rewarded with the gout:

In addition to this, he may quander away hiz eftate : and then he iz poor indeed! For a man who iz bred in affluence, feldom haz the refolution or the knowlege requifit to repair a broken fortune. The way to keep an-eflate, iz to lern in youth how to acquire ohe ; ahid the way to enjoy an eftate, iz to be contantly in fome bizzinefs which thal find employment for the faculties of the mind. Idlenefs and plezure fateeg az foon az
bizzinefs; and indeed when bizzinefs haz becume habitual, it iz the firft of plezures.

In forming a matrimionial connection, bridle fancy, and reduce it to the control of reezon. You wil perhaps be in luv at fixteen ; but remember, you cannot rely on the continuance of the paffion. At this erly period of life, a man's paffions are too violent to laft; he iz in raptures and ecflacy; but raptures and ecfacy never continu thro life. While a man talks of raptures and paradife on erth, he iz not fit to be married; for hiz paflion, or rather hiz frenzy, warps hiz judgement ; he iz az unqualified to form a juft eftimate of a woman's karacter, az a blind man to judge of colors. The probability iz, in all fuch cafes, that a man wil make a bad choice ; at leeft the chances are ten to one againft, him. Before a man marries, he fhould liv long enuf to experience the fallacy of hope, and to moderate hiz expectations down to real life. He wil then meet with fewer difappointments, and be better prepared to realize the happinefs that iz within hiz power.

If you feel a violent paffion for a young lady, the chance iz that the firft opportunity yout hav, you, wii difcloze it, and affure her you are dying for her. Should paffion hurry you to fuch a declaration, before you hav much acquaintance with her, and before you hav, by your'attentions, made fome favorable imprefions o:2 her hart, you may be fure of a repulfe; for your fudden profeffions frighten the lady, and ladies are never frightened into luv. A widow wil fometimes furrender to, the moft unexpected attack; but yung coy: maidens are to be taken only by gradual approaches: To enfure fuccefs, take the advice of a very fenfible woman ; ": firlt be the frend, and then the luver." Be polite and attentiv ; thow yourfelf a particular frend, for ladies are not alarmed'at profeffions of efteem ; be neether bafhful, nor difcuver uncommon folicitude; and the lady's hart wil probably be yours before me knows it. :

Do you alk, how you fhal difeuver the tru - karacter of a woman, fo az not to be deceeved? I anfwer, this
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 Ar. A D DRESS. tomunt depend moftly on obzervations of your own, of of thoze that are more acquainted with the fex than yourfelf. The virtues of good nature, delicacy, modeft rezervednefs, prudence, \&cc. are difcuverable' only by confiderable acquaintance. I would however -advize you to be cautious of connecting yourfelves with the following karacters: Firft, wimen who hav been accuftomed to indulge familiarities, even in company, fuch az kifling, playing with their hands, and the like. Secondly, thise who will never be feen in the morning; for if a lady runs out of a room, and avoids you in' a morning drefs, the fufpicion iz that fhe iz.a- flut, and that fhe iz confcious of her unfitnefs to be feen. A neet worman wil - never be afhamed of her difhabille, for in this the wil fhow her neetnefs to the beft advantage. A flut may look tolerably wel in tilks ; but a neet woman only wil appeer wel in a kitchen or at a brekfaft table in her own family.

- Thirdly, never conneat yourfelves with a very loquacious or frefful woman; fuch a partner will teeze you thro life. Fourthly, avoid one who haz 'a flanderous tung ; the wil keep your family and the naborhood in perpetual difoord. Fifthly, form no connecd tion with a woman, who haz no acquaintance with a kitchen. ' She wil truft ewery thing to fervants, who wil waft more than you confume; fhe wil not-know how to reform abufes or gavern domeflics; the clothes wil be ill wafhed, the food wil be badly cooked; you wil be harrafled with diforders and irregularity in the family; and you wil be athamed of your wife, if the iz not afthamed of herfelf. A mafter of a vefiel fhould not come in at the cabis ivindows'; nor mould a man be placed at the hed of an :army, without an intimate knotvlege of the daty of a private foldier. How then can a lady be qualified for the cate of a family; without being acquainted with every part of domeftic bizzinefs? Sixthly, marry, if poffible, a lady of virtu and religion, for religion iz her beft gard from temptation and the allurements of vice.. At any rate, mairy... A
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marvied man, efpecially a father, iz a better citizen than a bachelor. Hiz benevolent affections are called in to exercize in hiz family; and he iz thus prepared to luv and to blefs fociety in general.

NEW YORK, 1788.

## An ADDRESS to YUNG LADIES.

MY AMIABLE FRENDS,

ALTHO men in general are expozed to the fufpicion of your fex, and their opinions are often conItrued into flattery or firatagem, yet the tenor of the following remarks wil, it iz prefumed, bear fuch marks of fincerity az to giv them a place in your confidence. They are not the precepts of a morofe infructor, nor the opiuions of a hoary fage who haz loft all relith for the joys of life, and wifhes to reftrain the innocent plezures of fenfe. They do not proceed from a peevifh old bachelor, whom a phlegmatic conftitution, or repected difappointments, hav changed into a hater of your fex ; but they come from a heart capable of being foftened by your charms or your misfortunes ; a heart that never harbored a wifh but to fee and make you happy. They are the fentiments of a yung frend; one who haz lived long enuf, if not to feel his own faults, at leeft to difcuver thoze of others; and to form a tolerable eftimate of your worth in focial life.

Our Saviour, when on erth, took a child in hiz arms and faid, " of fuch iz the kingdom of heaven." I never view a circle of little mifles without recollecting the divine comparifon. A collection of fweet little beings, with voices az melodious az the nctes of the nightingale, whoze cheeks even a whifper wil cuver with bluthes, and whoze hearts are az pure az the falling fnow drop; iz heaven in miniature. Such iz the defription of my little female frends in the bloom of childhood. To prezerve that delicacy of mind, which nature furnifhes ; which conflitutes the glory of your fex, and forms the principal gard of your own virtuc, iz the bizzinefs of education. In this article, you hav.
a:l opportunity to difplay the excellence of your character, and to exert your talents moft fuccerffully in bencfitting fociety.
A woman without delicacy, iz a woman without reputation; for chaftity really exifts in the mind ; and when this fountain iz pure, the words and actions that flow from it, wil be chafte and delicate. Yung miffes therefore thould be remooved az far az poffible from all company that can taint their minds, or accuftom them to indecency of any kind. Their nurfes, their companions, their teechers, fhould be felected from peeple of at leẹt uncorrupted morals and amiable manners.

But a more advanced flage of life, the time when yung ladies enter into fociety, iz , with refpect to their future reputation, a period extremely critical. Little, my deer friends, do you reflect, how important iz the manner in which you enter into life. Prudery and coquetry are extre:nes cqually to be fhunned, becauze both are equally diáagreeable to our fex, and fatal to your reputations. It haz been faid that coquetts often looze their reputation, while they retain their virtu; and that prudes often prezerve their reputation, after they hav loit their virtu. I would only add this remark, that coquetts are generall;, but prudes alimof always furpected ; and furpicion iz az fatal to a female karafter, az a crime. Iz this unjut ? Coquetry and prudery are both àfectation ; every lpecies of affectation dezerves punifhment ; and when perfons relinquifh their own natural karacters for thoze which are borrowed, iz it unjuit to furpect their motivs, az a punifhment for the offence?

You are taught to fufpeet the man who flatters you. But your good fenfe wil yery eezily diftinguith between expreffions of mere civility and declarations of real efteem. In general one rule holds, that the man who is mof layih in declarations of efteem and admiration, luvs and admires you the leeft. A profufion of fattery iz real ground for fufpicion. Real efteem iz evinced
by a uniform courfe of polite refpectful behaviour. This iz a proof on which you may depend; it iz a flattery the mof grateful to a lady of underfanding, becaufe it muft proceed from a real refpect for her karacter and virtues.

Permit me here to fuggeft one caution. You are told that unmeening flattery iz an infult to your underflandings, and fometimes you are apt to refent it. This Thould be done with great prudence. Precipitate refentment iz dangerous; it may not be dezerved at the time; it may make you an enemy; it may giv uneezinefs to a frend; it may giv your own harts pain ; it may injure you by creating a fufpicion that it iz all affeetation. The common place civilities of dangling beaux may be very trifling and difagreeable, but can sarely amount to an infult, or dezerve more than indifference and neglect. Refentment of fuch trifles can hardly be a marto of tru dignity of foul.

At this period of life, let the prime eweellence of your karacters, delicacy, he difcuvered in all your words and actions." Permit me, az one acquainted at leeft with the fentiments of my own fex, to affure 'you, that a man never refpeets a woman, who does not refpect herfelf. 'The moment a woman fuffers to fall from her tung, any exprefions that indicate the leeft indelicacy of mind ; the moment the ceefes to blufh at fuch exprefions from our fex, the ceefes to be refpected; becauze az a lady, fhe iz no longer refpectable. Whatever familiarity of converfation may be vindicable or pardonable in ether fex alone, there iz, in mixed companies, a facred decorum that fhould not be violated by one rude idea. And however difpozed the ladies may be to overlook friall tranfgreffions in our fex, yet unforgiving man cannot eezily forget the offences of yours, efpecially when thoze offences difcuver a wa;t of all that renders you lovely.

If your zoords are to be fo frictly watched, how much more attention iz necefliary to render your conduct unexceptionable. You charge our fex, with being the feducers, the betrayers of yours. Admit the charge
to be partially tru, yet let us be candid. Az profigate az many of our fex are acknowleged to be, it iz but juftice to fay, that very few are fo abandoned az to attempt deliberately the feduation of an artlefs and innocent lady, who thews, by her conduct, that the iz confcious of the worth of her reputation, and that fhe refpects her own karacter. I hav rarely found a libertine who had impudence enuf to affail virtue, that had not been expozed by fome improprieties of conduct. There iz fomething fo commanding in virtu, that even villans refpeit her, and dare not approach her temples but in the karacter of her votaries.

But when a woman iz incautious, when fhe iz reddy to fall into the armis of any man that approaches her, when the fuffers double entendres, indecent hints and converfation to flow from her lips in mixed companies, fhe remooves the barriers of her reputation, he difarms herfelf, and thoufands confider themfelves at liberty to commence an attack.

When fo much depends on your principles and reputation; when we expect to derive all the happinefs of the married life.from that fource, can it be a crime to wifh for fome proof of your virtu before the inidiffoluble connection iz formed? Iz that virtu to be trufted which haz never been tempted? Iz it abfurd to fay that an attack may be made even with honorable intentions? Admit the abfurdity; but fuch attempts are ofter made, and may end in your ruin. The man may then be retched in hiz miftake becauze he iz difappointed in hiz opinion and expectations. Be affured, my frends, that even vile man cannot but efteem the woman who refpects herfelf. We look to you, in a world of vice, for that delicacy of mind, that innocence of life, which render you lovely and ourfelves happy.
Do you wifh for admiration? But admiration iz az tranfient az the blaze of a meteor. Ladies who hav. the moft admirers, are often the laft to find valuable partners;
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 An ADDRESS toDo you wifh to be efteemed and luved? It iz eezy to render yourfelves efteemable and lovely. It iz only by retaining that foftnefs of manners, that obliging and delicate attention to every karater, which, whether natural or acquired, are at fome period of life, the property of almoft every female. Beauty and money, without merit, will fometimes command eligible connections; but fuch connections do not anfwer the wifhes of our hearts; they do not render us happy. Lerning, or an acquaintançe with books, may be a very agreeable or a very difagreeable accomplifhment, in proportion to the difcretion of the lady who poifefies it. Properly employed, it iz highly fatisfactory to the lady and her connections ; but I belcey obzervation wil confirm my conjecture, that a ftrong attachment to books in a lady, often deters a man from approaching her with the offer of hiz heart. This iz afcribed to the pride of our fex. That the imputation iz always falfe, I wil not aver ; but I undertake to fay, that if pride iz the cauze, it iz fupported by the order, of nature.

One fex iz formed for the more hardy excrizes of the council, the field and the laborioŭs employ ments of procuring fubfiftence. The other, for the fuperintendance of domeftic concerns, and for diffufing blifs thro focial life. When a woman quits her own department, the offends her hufband, not merely becauze fhe obtrudes herfelf upon, hiz bizzinefs, but becauze fhe departs from that fphere which iz affigned ber in the order of fociety; becauze the negleats her duty, and leeves her oun department vacant. The fame remark wil apply to the man who vifits the kitchen and gets the name of a betty. Thie fame principle which excludes a man from an attention to domellic bizzinefs, excludes a woman from law, matheinatics and aftronony. Eech fex feels a degree of pride in being beft qualified for a particular ftation, and a degree of refentment when the other encroaches upon their privilege. This iz acting conformably to the conftitution of fociety. A woman would not willingly marry a man who iz ftrongly inclined to pafs hiz time in feeing the
houle and furniture in order, in fuperintending the cooks, or in working gauze and tiffany; for fhe would predict, with fome certainty, that he would neglect hiz proper bizzinefs. In the fame manner, a man iz cautious of forming a connection with a woman, whoze predilection for the Cciences might take her attention from neceffary family concerns.

Ladies however are not generally charged with a too frong attachment to books. It iz neceffary that they fhould be wel acquainted with every thing that refpects life and manners; with a knowlege of the humar hart and the graceful accomplifhments. The greateft miffortune iz, that your erly ftudies are not always wel directed ; and you are permitted to devour a thoufand volumes of fictitious nonfen!e, when a. finaller number of books, at lefs trubble and expenfe, would furnifh you with more valuable trezures of knowlege.

To be lovely then you mutt be content to be wimen; to be mild, focial and fentimental ; to be acquainted with all that belongs to your department, and leeve the mafculine virtues, and the profound refearches of fiudy, to the province of the other fex. .

That it may be neceffary, for political purpofes, to confider man az the fuperior in authority, iz to me probable. I queftion whether a different maxim would not deftroy your own happines.

A man iz pleezed with the deference hiz wife fhows for hiz opinions ; he often loves her even for her. want of information, when it creates a kind of dependence upon hiz judgement. On the other hand, a woman always defpifes her hubband for hiz inferiority in underfanding and knowlege, and blufhes at the figure he makes in the company of men who poffefs fuperior talents. Do not theze facts juftify the order of focie$t y$, and render fome difference in rank between the fexes, neceflary to the happinefs of both ? But this fuperiority iz comparativ, and in fome mezure, mutual. In many things, the woman iz az much fuperior to her hufband, az he iz to hier, in any article of information. Thiey depend on eech other, and the affumption of any prerogativ
prerogativ or fuperiority in domeftic life, iz a proof: that the union iz not perfect ; it iz a frong evidence the parties are not, or wil not be happy.

Ladies are often ridiculed for their loquacioufnefs. But ridicule iz not the wort punifhment of this fault. However witty, fprightly and fentimental your converfation may be, depend on it, az a maxim that holds. without exception, that the perfon who talks inceffantly , wil foon ceefe to be refpected. From congrefs to private families, the remark iz tru, that a man or woman who talks much, loozes all influence. To your fex, talkativnefs iz very injurious; for a man wil hardly ever chooze a noizy loquacious woman for hiz companion. A delicate rezerv iz a becuming, a commanding characteriftic of an amiable woman ; the want of which no brilliant accomplifhments wil fupply. A want of ability to converfe, iz fearcely fo mucla cenfured, az a want of difcretion to know when to fpeek and when to be filent.

In the choice of hurbands, my fair reeders, what fhall I fay? It haz been faid or infinuated, that you prefer men of inferior talents. This iz not tru. You are fenfible that a good addrefs and a refpeetful attention, are the qualities which moft generally recommend to the efteem of both fexes. A philofopher, who iz abfent and ftupid, wil not pleafe az a companion; but of two perfons êqual in other refpects, the man of fuperior talents iz your choice.: If my obzervations hav not deceeved me, you pride yourfelves in being connected with ment of eminence. I mention this to contradict the opinion maintained in the Lounger, that ladies giv a fort of preference to men of inferior talents. The opinion wants extenfion and qualification ; it extends to both fexes, when tru, but iz never tru, except when men of talents are deftitute of focial accomplifhments.

Money iz the great object of defire with both fexes; but how few obtain it by marriage ? With refpect to our fex, I confefs, it iz not much to a man's credit to. feek a fortune without any exertions of hiz own; but
the ladies often make a capital miftake in the meens of obtaining their object. They afk, wisat iz a man's fortune? Whereas, if they are in purfuit of welth, folid perimanent welth, they fhould afk, is be a man of bizzinefs? Of talents? Of perfevering induffry? Does be know the ufe of money? The difference in the two cafes iz this: The man of fortune, who haz not formed a habit of acquiring property, iz generally ignorant of the ufe of it. He not only fpends it, but he fpends it withour fyftem or advantage, and often dies a poor man. But the man who knows how to acquire property, generally keeps hiz expenditures within hiz income; in exerting hiz talents to obtain, he forms a habit of uzing hiz property to advantage, and commonly enjoys life az wel in accumulating an eftate, az the man of fortune does in diffapatiag one. My idea iz breefly this ; that the woman who marries a man of bizzinefs, with very little property, haz a better chance for a fortune in middle life and old age, than one who marries a rich man who livs in idlenefs.

After all, ladies, it depends much on yourfelves to determin, whether your families fhall enjoy eezy circumftances. Any man may acquire fomething by hiz application ; but economy, the moft difficult article in conducting domeftic concerns, iz the womans province.

You fee with what franknefs and candor I tell you my opinions. This iz undoutedly the beit mode of conducting focial intercourfe, and particularly our intercourfe with the faireff part of the creation.
I rite from feéling: from obzervation ; from experience. The fexes, while eech keep their proper fphere, cannot fail to render eech other focial and happy. But frail az yours iz commonly reprefented, you may not only boaft of a fuperior thare of virtu yourfelves, but of garding and cherifhing ours. You hav not only an intere!t in being good for your own fakes, but fociety iz interefted in your goodnefs; you polifi our manners, correct our vices, and infpire our harts with a love of virtue. Can a man who loves an amiable woman, abandon bimfelf to vices which the ab-
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hors? May your influence over our fex be increefed; not merely the influence of beauty and gay accomplihunents, but the influence of your virtues, whoze dominion controls the evils, and multiplies the bleflings of fociety.



[^0]:    * This remark is confined folely to its confruciion; in point of orthography, our language is intolerably irregular.

[^1]:    * The veneration we have for a great character, ceafes with an intimate acquaintance with the man. The fame principle is obfervable in the body. High feafoned food, without frequent intervals of abftinence, lofes its relifh. On the other lhand, objects that make flight impreffions at firf, acquire frength by repetition. An elegant fimplicity in a building may not affect the mind with great pleafure at firf fight ; but the pleafure will always increafe with repeated examinations of the fructure. Thus by habit, we become exceffively fond of food which does not relifh at firft tafting; and ftrong attachments between the fexes ofien take place from indifference, and even from averfion.

[^2]:    * Great caution fhould be obferved in teaching children to pronounce the letters of the alphabet. The labials are eafily pronounced; thus the firft words a child can fpeak arc papa and mama. But there are fome letters, particularly $l$ and $r$, which are of difficult pronunciation, and children thould not be prefficd to fpeak words in which they occur. The difficulty may produce a habit of itammering.

[^3]:    * The practice of employing low characters in fchools is not novel-Afcham, preceptor to Queen Elizabeth, gives us the following account of the practice in his time. "Pity it is that' commonly more care is had; yea and that among very wife men, to find ont rather a cunning man for their horfe, than a cunning man for their children. They fay, may, in word ; but

[^4]:    * The fact related by Juftin, of an ancient people, will arply univerfally. "Tanto plus in illis proficit victiorum ignoratio, quam in his cognitio virtutis." An ignorance of vies. las a better effect, than a knowlege of virtue.

[^5]:    *Spirit of Lails. Book 4

[^6]:    -The power of entailing real eftates repugnant to the Spirit of our American governments.

    + I have known inflructions from the inliabitants of a comnty, two thirds of whom could not write their nancs. How competent muft fuch men be to decide an important point in icciflation!

[^7]:    * It is worthy of remark, that in proportion as laws are favorable to the equal rights of men, the number of crimes in a frate is diminifhed ; except where the human mind is debafed by extreme fervitude, or by fuperftition. In France, there are but few crimes; religion and the rigor of a military force prevent them ; perhaps alfo, ignorance in the peafantry may be afligned as another reafon. But in England and Ircland the human mind is not fo depreffed, yet the diffribution of property and honors is not equal ; the lower claffes of people, bold and independent, as well as poor, feel the injuries which flow from the feudal fyftem, cven in its relaxed fate ; they become defprate, and turn highwaymen. Hence thofe kingdoms produce more culprits than half Europe befides.

[^8]:    * Cicero was twenty cight years old when he left Italy to travel into Greece and Alia. "He did not ftir abroad," fays

[^9]:    * Not that the Englifh nation was originally in flavery; for the prinitiv Saxons and Germans were free. But the military tenures, eftablified by the Gothic conquefts, depreffed the people; fo that under the rigor of the feudal fyitem, about the date of Magna Charta, the King and Nobles held their tenants in extreme fervitude. From this depreflion, the Enclib have gradually emerged into ancient freedom..

[^10]:    * The nominal diftinction of Convention and Lescißature was probably copied from the Englifls; but the American diftinction goes farther, it implies, in common acceptation, a difference of powver. This difference does not exift in G. Britain. The affemely of Lords and Commons which reftored Charles II, and that which raifed the Prince of Orange to the throne, were

[^11]:    * In Penfylvania, after the late choice of Delegates to Congrefs by the people, one of the Gentlemen fent his refignation to the Prefident and Council, who refered it to the Legilla ture then fitting. This body, compozed of the fervants of the people, I fuppoze, folemnly refolved, that there was no nowe in the State which would accept the refignation. The refolv was grounded on the idea that the power of the people is paramount to that of the Legiflature ; whereas the people hav no power at all, except in choofing reprefentativs. Al! Iegiflativ and Executiv powers are vefted in their Reprefentativs, in Council or Affembly, and the Council hould have accepted the refignation and iffued a precept for another choice. Their compelling the man to ferve was an adt of tyranny.

[^12]:    * This pernicious error fubverts the whole foundation of government. It refembles the practice of fome Gentlemen in the country, who hire a poor ftrolling vagabond to kecp a fchool, and then let the children know that he is a mere fervant. The confequence is, the children defpife him and his rules, and a conftant war is maintained between the mafter and his pupils. The boys think themfelves more refpectable than the mafter, and the mafter has the rod in his hand, which he never fails to exercife. A proper degree of refpect for the man and his laws, would prevent a thoufand hard knocks. This is government in miniature. Men are taught to believe that their rulers are their ferziants, and then are rewarded with a prifon and a gallows for defpiing their laws.

[^13]:    * The feptennial aft was judged the only guard againft a Popifh reign, and therefore highly popular.

[^14]:    * Some jealous people ignorantly call the propored Conftitution of Federal Government, an driffocracy. If fuchmen are honeft, their honefty deferves pity: There is not a feature of true ariftocracy in the Conftitution; the whole frame of Gov. ernment is a pure Reprefentativ Republic.

[^15]:    * Calvini Lexicon Juridicum.
    * See Laws of the Saxon Kings.

[^16]:    - Such is the article, which excludes the clergy from a right to hold civil offices. The people, might, with the fame propriety, have declared, that no merchants nor lawyers fhould be eligible to civil offices. It is a common opinion that the bufinefs of the clergy is wholly fpiritual. Never was a groffer error. A part of their bulinefs is to inform the minds of people on all fubjects,' and correct their morals; fo that they luave a direct influence on government. At any rate they are fabjeets of law, and ought as freemen to be eligible to a feat in the Legiflature ; provided the people incline to choofe them.

[^17]:    No. II. IV. V.

[^18]:    * It is a capital defect in fome of the States, that the gov. ernment is fo organized as not to admit fubordinate acis of legiflation in finall diftricts. In thefe States, every little collection of people in a village muft petition the Legiflature for liberty to lay out a highway or build a bridge; an affair in which the State at large has very little intereft, and of the neceffiry and utility of which the Legiflature are not fuitable judges. This occafions much trouble for the State ; it is a needlefs expenfe. A State fhould be divided into inferior corporations, vefled with powers competent to all acts of local police. What right have the inhabitants of Suffolk to interfere in the buildingof a bridge in Montgomeryt? Who are the moft competent judges of a local convenience; the whole State, or the inhabit tants of the particular diftrict?
    $t$ This was written in New York.

[^19]:    * An error, originating in miftake, is often purfued thro obarinacy and pride ; and fometimes a familiarity with falfebood? makes it appear like $\boldsymbol{t r u t h}$.

[^20]:    * New York.

[^21]:    * Some have fufpected from thefe fentiments, that I favor the infurrection in Maffachufets. If it is neceffary to be more explicit than I have been in the declaration, "I reprobate, छ'c." I muft add, that in gevernments like ours, derived from the people, I believe there is no poffible fituation in which violent oppofition to laws can be juftified; becaufe it can never be neceffary. General evils will always be legally redreffed, and partial evils muft be borne, if the majority require it. A tender law, which interferes with paft contracts, is perhaps the wickedeft act that a Legiflature can be guilty of ; and yet I think the people in Rhode Inand have done right, in not op. pofing their's, in a violent manner.

[^22]:    * Penfylvania.

[^23]:    *This affertion may feem ta be contradicted by the oppa. fition of Connecticut to the half pay act ; but that oppofition did not even threaten violence or arms : It was conducted in a peaceable manner; and I do not know that the State has furnifhed an inflance of a tumultuous interruption of law.

[^24]:    *Thefe remarks are not applicable to the mercantile part of the people, who, fince the revolution, have been diftinguifhed by their punctuality.

[^25]:    . Sec page : 25.

[^26]:    * I would juft mention to my fair readers, whom I love and efteen, that teathers and other frippery of the head, are dir. reputable in Euroje.

[^27]:    * Some of the bills of rights in America declare, that the people have a right to meet together, and confult for the public fafety; that their legiflators are refponfible to them; that they are fervants, \&cc. Such declarations give people an idea, that as individuals, or in town meetings, they have a power farmount th that of the Legifature. No wonder, that with iuch ileas, they aftempt to refift law.

[^28]:    * General Prideaux was killed by the burting of a mortar, before the furrender of the French.

[^29]:    * Fecaufe it would be eafy for the Count de Graffe, in good time hefore his departure from the We! Indies, to giv notice, by exprefy at what place he could moft conveniently firt touch to receive advice.

[^30]:    * A dollar, in fterling moncy, is 4f6. But the price of a dollar rofe in New England currency to 6f; in New York to $8_{f}$; in New Jerfey, Penfylvania and Maryland to $7 f 6$; in Virninia to $6 f$; in North Carolina to $8 /$; in South Carolina and Georgia to $4 / 8$. This difference, originating between paper and ffecie, or bills, contimued afterwards to exift in the nom. inal eftimation of gold and filver.

[^31]:    * A dollar was ufually cut in five pieces, and each paffed by toll for a quarter; fo that the man who cut it gained a quarter, or rather a fifth. If the State fould recoin this fitver, it muift lofe a fifth.

[^32]:    * This pernicious opinion has prevailed in all the States, and done infinit mirchief.

[^33]:    * Culumbian Marazine for May, 1787.

[^34]:    *The exiftence of a cuftom of paying refpect to thefe Indian beaps, as they are called, is proved by a ludicrous practice, that prevails among the Anglo Americans in the vicinity, of making ftrangers pull off their hats as they pafs by this grave. A man paffing by with one who is a franger to the cuftom, never fails to practife a jeft upon him, by telling him that a fpider, a caterpillar, or fome other infect is upon his hat ; the unfurpecting traveller immediately takes off his hat, to brufh away the offending infect, and finds by a roar of laughter, that a trick is put upon him. I have often feen this trick played upon frangers, and upon the neighbors who happen to be off their guard, to the great amufement of the country people. The jeft, however, is a proof that the aborigines paid a refpect to thefe rude monuments, and in ridicule of that refpect, probably, originated the vulgar practice of the Englifh, which exe iffs to this day.

[^35]:    * Camden's Britannia, volume II, page 759.
    + Muna Antiq. Reftanr, page 47.
    $\ddagger$ That the primitiv Britons may claim a very direct defcent from the ancient inhabitants of Syria and Phenicia, whofe languages were but branches from the fame common flock, with as Hebrew, may be made to appear probable by a comparifon of their cuftoms ; but may be almof demonftrated by a collation of the old Britifh language with the Hebrew roots. See my Differtations on the Englijb Language, Appendix.

[^36]:    * Britannia, volume $I$, page $12 \%$

[^37]:    * One as large as that is faid to be found at Grave Crcek ${ }_{2}$ about eighty miles above Mufkingum.
    $\dagger$ Volume II, page 763.0

[^38]:    * Camden, volume II, page 75r.

[^39]:    * Monf. Mallet, in his Northern Antiquities, has produced unqueftionable teftimony, from the Chronicles of Iceland and others hiftories of the north, that the American continent was difgovered about the tenth century; and the efquimaux are clearly of the fame race as the Greenlanders.

[^40]:    * Elements of Criticifin. Vol. I, page 198.

[^41]:    * A line of houfes built on the defcent of land to the river, with a ftreet adjacent to the houfes on both fides.

[^42]:    * This title, and many of the following ideas, are borrowed from a treatife of Mr. Michaelis, director of the Royal Society of Gottingen.

[^43]:    * It is a fact, fupported by unqueftionable teftimony, that the favage nations on the frontiers of thefe States, have fewer vices in proportion to their virtues, than are to be found in the beft regulated civilized focieties with which we are ace: quainted.

[^44]:    * Uxores habent deni, duodenique inter fe communes ; et maxime fratres cum fratribus, et parentes cum liberis. Sed fi qui funt ex his nati ; eorum habenter liberi a quibus primun virgines queque ductr funt.-Gefar de bell. Gall. Lib. 5 .
    $\dagger$ Let an individual depend folely on his sown exertions for frod, and a fingle failure of crops fubjects him to a famin. Let a populous country depend folely on its own produce, and the probability of a famine is diminifhed; yet is ftill poffible. But a commercial intercourfe between all nations, multiplies the chances of fubfiftence, and reduces the matter to a certainty. China, a well peopled country, is fubjeit to 2 famin meren ly for want of a free commerce.

[^45]:    - Juhnfon derives lay from the Greek $\lambda \alpha 0$; ; as he does all other words which hav fome refemblance to Greek words in found or fignification. 1 beleev the Saxon or Gothic original and the Greek may be the fanc, and of equal antiquity;

[^46]:    - Blackfone Cum, vol. 1. зiz.

[^47]:    *The feudal fyftem iz commonly fuppofed to hav originated in the conqueft of the Roman empire by the northern nations. The rudiments of it however may be difcovered az erly az the Cimbric invafion of Italy, a century before the Chriftian era. Se Florus. lib. 3. c. 3. The Cimbri and Teutones were tribes, of the fame northern race, az the Germans and Saxons.

[^48]:    - So it iz fpelt in the Saxon laws; but its root waz probably circe, from fciran, to divide. $C$ before $i$ and $c$ was in Saxon pronounced ch or neerly ; hence circe is chirche.

[^49]:    * Blackfone Com. vol. I, ir2. That each fhire had its bifhop, feems to be obvicus from a law of Edgar, c. 5 , where, refpecting the county court, it iz ordered, "celeberrimo huic conventui epifcopus et aldermannus interfunto ;" not unus epifceporum, but the bijhop and erl.

[^50]:    * Parjon iz faid, by Coke and others; to be derived from. perfona, becaufe this officer reprefents the corporation or church, vicem feu perfonam ecclefia gerere. This reezon feems to be obfcure and unfatisfactory. It iz poffible the word may procced from the fame root az parif, viz, par.

[^51]:    * Great fynod-great meeting.

[^52]:    * Stuarts Englifh Confitution, ps 275 .

[^53]:    * Mallets North. Antiq. Vol. I. 61. The northern nations had, like the Greeks, twelo principal deities, and this article in their religious beleef might originate the inflitution of twelv. preefs, twelv judges, \&cc. Many civil inftitutions among rude nations, may be traced to their religious opinions; and perhaps the preference given to the number twelv, in Germany, in Greece, and in Judea, had its origin in fome circumftances az ancient az the race of the Jews.

    Odin, which in Anglo Saxon, waz Woden, waz the fupreme god of the Goths, anfwering to the Jupiter of the Greeks: And it iz remarkable that the words,'god, good, odin and woden, all fprung from one fource. We fhall not be furprized that the fame word fhould begin with fuch different letters, when we reflect that fuch changes are very common. The Danes omit $w$ in word; a dictionary they call ord-bog, a word book'; and the Spaniards, in attempting to pronounce $\tau v$, always ariculate $g$. Sce my Differtations, p. 335.

[^54]:    * See Chárdin's Travels, Vol. III.

[^55]:    * Blackfone, Vol. II. 52, fays, "we now wze the word valfal opprobrioufy, az finonimous to flave" or bondman, on account of tbe prejudices que kav ivytly conceeved againf. tbe decerrins grafted on the feudal Cbfem." So good a nann ought noi to hav uzed the word preiudice; and yo great a man ought to hav afiigned a better reezon for this of pecorisufnets of the modern word raalji.?

[^56]:    * Thefe facts gave rife to Cokes quaint remarks, " that the law delighteth herfelf in the number of twelv;" and he adds, " the number of twelv iz much refpected in holy writ; as 12 apoftles, 12 ftones, 12 tribes, \&c." On juries, fol. 155.
    + Com. Vol. I. 39 S.

[^57]:    $\ddagger$ See Cowel on the word thane ; and in Domerday, "tha. rus, eft tenens, qui eft caput manerii."

[^58]:    * Coke Litt. 74. That the freeholders were judges iz tru; but that the oarons and freeholders derived their authority from kings, iz wholly a mifake,

[^59]:    * r. Coke Litt. 73.—— Cap. J. Sect. III.
    $\pm$ He mult fpeck of the fate of things after the conquefts otherwife jufices in eyre would not hav been mentioned.
    §Lav Diet. Court baron.
    || Bacon's Selden. chan. 2 . .

[^60]:    - Some fay this fee waz eight hundred akers of land; others, fix hundred and eighity, or 201. a year, which, confidering the difference in the value of money, waz equal perhaps to 3001 . or 4001 . at the prefent time. Here feems to be a confufion of ancient and modern ideas. The ancient knights fee waz a certain tract of land; in later times that fee waz palued at 201 . in money.
    tHale*s Hift of Com. Law, 154.1 L L Ethel. c. 4.

[^61]:    * We find by ancient records, that the clergy, before the conquett, werc fometimes fummoned az jurors or judges in the

[^62]:    * Laglinant, to this day, iz the name of a judge or magiffrate, buth in Sweden and Iceland. In theze countries it retains its primitiv and tru Englith meening.-Mallets North. Antiq. Vol. I.

[^63]:    * L. . . C. 25.

[^64]:    * "The divifion of the county waz done by the freemen; two are the fole judges thereof." . Selden, Matthew, Paris, and others,
    * Selden on the authority of Polydore.

[^65]:    * In the time of Henry II, there were in England eleven hundred and fifteen cafles, and az many tyrants az lords of caftes. William of Newbury fays, in the reign of Stephen, "Erant in Angliaquodammodo tot reges, vel potitis tyranni, quot domini caltellorum." It waz the tyranny of theze lords or their deputies, which rendered the intervention of twelv judges of the naborhood, highly neceffary to preferve the peeple from the impofitions of their ra acious mafters. Hence the privilege of this mode of trial derived an ineRtmable valu.

[^66]:    * Connesticuit.
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[^67]:    * About the year $580 .-\dagger$ Heriots or reliefs.

[^68]:    * Lancæe.—— Pombly for Alancufa, i. e. thirty pence.
    $\ddagger$ Capratanei.
    This opinion of the lerned Camden, adds no fmall weight to my conjectures refpecting the origin of trial per pares.

[^69]:    : Optinos.

[^70]:    * See my Differtations on the Englifh language, 3 13.

[^71]:    * This iz not accurate. The thanerhips or lordmips of the Saxons, at the conqueft, took the title of baronies; but the divifions probably exifted before.

[^72]:    * Curtis, courl and the Spanifh Corte are all the fame word.

[^73]:    * In a converfation I had at Dr. Franklin's on this fubject, the doctor admitted the principle, and remarked, that a man who haz roool. in cafh, can loan it for fix per cent. profit only; but he may bild a houfe with it, and if the demand for houles iz fufficient, he may rent hiz houfe for fifteen per cent. on the value. This iz a bair ftate of the argument, and I challenge my antagonifts to giv a grood reezon for the diftinction which the laws make in the two cafes; or why a man flould hav an unreftrained right to take any fum he can get for the ufe of hiz houfe, and yet hiz right to make profit by the loan of money, be abridged by law.

[^74]:    * Sce Blackftone on this fubject, Com. Vol. II. 455, where the author's reezoning holds good, whether againf fixing the value of horfe hire or money lent. All exorbitant demands are ifnjuft in foro confcientice; but what right haz a legiflature to fix the price of money loaned, and not of houfe-rent ?

[^75]:    * The legiflatures of feveral fates during the late war, were rafh enough to make the attempt ; and the fuccefs of the fcheme waz juft equal to the wifdom that planned it.

[^76]:    - Robertions Charles V. Vol. I. 280.

[^77]:    * Rlac̣iftonc Com. Val. I. 369.

[^78]:    * Blackfone remarks that allegiance iz applicable, not only to the political capacity of the king, or regal ottice, but to hiz natural perfon and blood royal. I would ank then what dood roynd there can be in a man, except in hiz kingly capacity?

[^79]:    * Except the cafe of Ambaffadors or other asents.

[^80]:    * It may be faid, that moral right and rong muft vitimately be refolved into the wil of Deity, becaufe fociety itfelf depends on hiz wil. This iz conceded; I only contend that moral fitnefs and unfitnefs refult immediately from the ftate of created beings, with relation to eech other, and not from any arbitiary sules impofed by Deity, fubfequent to creation.

[^81]:    * By the ancient laws of England, relations in the fame degree, whether by confanguinity or affinity, were placed exactly an a footing. See the futtle reezoning by which the prohibitions were fupported, in Reeve's Hiftory of the Englifh Laws, Vol. IV.

[^82]:    * Sce ny Dimeitations on thic Englih Languzge, page ioco

[^83]:    * See Winthrop's Journal, Mather's Magnalia, and Hutclan ifons Collection of papezs.

[^84]:    - Duringthe late war, eight thoufand newfpapers were ablifhed weekly at one prefs in Martford:

[^85]:    * Uxor deinde ac liberi amplexi; fletufque ab omni turba mulierum ortus, et comploratio fui patriague, fregere tanden ? Tirum.-Liv. lib ii. 40.

[^86]:    * The forecroing fact: are taken from Leaming and Spicer's Collcetion; a concife view of the controverfy, \&e. publifhed in 1785 ; and from the afts of the legillature of New Jerfey.

[^87]:    * See Dr. Franklins Review of the guvernment of Penfylvania.
    $\dagger$ The powers of legiflation by the late conftitution, were defigned to be vefted in the peeple; but in fact were vefted no where. The pretended legiflature confifted of but one houfe; and no bill, except on prefling occafions, could be paffed into a law, until it had been publifted for the afient of the peeple:

[^88]:    * Clerk or regifter.

[^89]:    * See the proceedings of the legiflature of Maryland in 3785.

[^90]:    * Virginia however iz not alone in this mezure. Rhode Ifland formerly took the fame fteps, and fil adheres to its lib. frality.

[^91]:    * The confumption of beef in New England iz the reczon why the exports of that article do not exceed thoze of Ircland. Moft of the laboring peeple in New England cet meet twice a day, and az much az their appetites demand. Suppofe eech perfon to eet but fix ounces a day on an average, which iz a low eftimate, and the inhabitants of New England confume more than one bundred million pounds of meet, in a yeer. I do not know what proportion of this iz beef, but the greateft part iz beef and pork, worth two pence, and two pence balf penny a pourd. By the belt accounts from Ireland, it iz probable the inhabitants do not confume a twentieth part of the meet, confrumed in the northern fates, in proport ionto their numbers.

[^92]:    * I fay Bofton, but I beleey the obfervations to be made at Cambridge.

[^93]:    * I once faffed the cape at five or $f_{1} x$ leegs from the breakers, and found but feven fathomis of water.

[^94]:    * It iz evident, from the filence of all ancient monuments, that the heeling art waz not cultivated, and farcely known among the old Romans. For feveral ages from the bilding of Rome, there iz hardly any mention made of a phyfician: Pliny relates, that Rome flurifhed, fix hundred yeers, without phyticians; that iz, the profeffion waz not honorable, being confined to fervants or other low karacters. In Seneca's time, many of theze liad acquired eftates by the bizzinels; but they were fill held in no eftimation." "Bona in arte medendi hu: millimifque quibus contingere videmus." After the conqueft of Greece and Afia, the manners of the Romans were corrupted by the luxuries of the eeft ; difeefes multiplied, and the practice of phyfic became more necelfary and more reputable ; but the art of furgery waz not feparated from that of medicin, til the tirnes of the emperors.

[^95]:    * See Vattels Laty of Nations, b. I. cli. 15.

[^96]:    - See my Difertations on the Englith Language, 4 .

